# **Total Maximum Daily Load** For Organic Enrichment/Low DO and Nutrients

# Hickahala and Senatobia Creeks **Yazoo River Basin**

# Panola and Tate Counties Mississippi

Prepared By Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality

Office of Pollution Control TMDL/WLA Section/Water Quality Assessment Branch

MDEQ PO Box 10385 Jackson, MS 39289-0385 (601)961-5171 <u>www.deq.state.ms.us</u>



## FOREWORD

This report has been prepared in accordance with the schedule contained within the federal consent decree dated December 22, 1998. The report contains one or more Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) for waterbody segments found on Mississippi's 1996 Section 303(d) List of Impaired Waterbodies. Because of the accelerated schedule required by the consent decree, many of these TMDLs have been prepared out of sequence with the State's rotating basin approach. The implementation of the TMDLs contained herein will be prioritized within Mississippi's rotating basin approach.

The amount and quality of the data on which this report is based are limited. As additional information becomes available, the TMDLs may be updated. Such additional information may include water quality and quantity data, changes in pollutant loadings, or changes in landuse within the watershed. In some cases, additional water quality data may indicate that no impairment exists.

	Prefixes for fractions and multiples of SI units						
Fraction	Prefix	Symbol	Multiple	Prefix	Symbol		
10-1	deci	d	10	deka	da		
10-2	centi	с	$10^{2}$	hecto	h		
10-3	milli	m	10 <sup>3</sup>	kilo	k		
10-6	micro	μ	$10^{6}$	mega	Μ		
10-9	nano	n	109	giga	G		
10-12	pico	р	$10^{12}$	tera	Т		
10-15	femto	f	$10^{15}$	peta	Р		
10-18	atto	a	10 <sup>18</sup>	exa	E		

#### **Conversion Factors**

To convert from	То	Multiply by	To Convert from	То	Multiply by
Acres	Sq. miles	0.0015625	Days	Seconds	86400
Cubic feet	Cu. Meter	0.028316847	Feet	Meters	0.3048
Cubic feet	Gallons	7.4805195	Gallons	Cu feet	0.133680555
Cubic feet	Liters	28.316847	Hectares	Acres	2.4710538
cfs	Gal/min	448.83117	Miles	Meters	1609.344
cfs	MGD	.6463168	mg/l	ppm	1
Cubic meters	Gallons	264.17205	μg/l * cfs	Gm/day	2.45

- 1

# CONTENTS

FOREWORD	1
CONTENTS	2
TMDL INFORMATION PAGE	4
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	5
INTRODUCTION	6
INTRODUCTION 1.1 Background 1.2 Applicable Water Body Segment Use 1.3 Applicable Water Body Segment Standard 1.4 Selection of a TMDL Endpoint and Critical Condition	7 8 8
WATER BODY ASSESSMENT	
<ul> <li>2.1 Discussion of Instream Water Quality Data</li> <li>2.2 Assessment of Point Sources</li></ul>	9
MODELING PROCEDURE: LINKING THE SOURCES TO THE ENDPOINT	. 12
<ul> <li>3.3 Source Representation</li> <li>3.4 Model Results</li> <li>3.5 Evaluation of Ammonia Toxicity</li> </ul>	. 18
ALLOCATION. 4.1 Wasteload Allocation. 4.2 Load Allocation	. 22 . 22 . 23 . 23
CONCLUSION	. 25 . 25
REFERENCES	26
DEFINITIONS	27
ABBREVIATIONS	32
Appendix A	.34

## PHOTOS

Photo 1.	Hickahala	Creek	5

## FIGURES

Figure 1. Hickahala Creek Watershed	6
Figure 2. Hickahala Creek Watershed 303(d) Listed Segments	7
Figure 3. Landuse Distribution Map for Hickahala Creek Watershed	. 11
Figure 4. Instream Processes in a Typical DO Model	. 13
Figure 5. Hickahala Creek Model Setup (Note: Figure not to Scale)	. 14
Figure 5. Hickahala Creek Model Setup (Note: Figure not to Scale)	. 14
Figure 6. Senatobia Creek Model Setup (Note: Figure not to Scale)	. 15
Figure 7. Baseline Model Output for Senatobia Creek	. 19
Figure 8. Baseline Model Output for Senatobia Creek	. 19

# TABLES

Table i. Listing Information	4
Table ii. Water Quality Standard	4
Table iii. Inventory of Point Source Dischargers	
Table iv. Total Maximum Daily Load	
Table 1. USGS Water Quality Data	9
Table 2. Army Corp of Engineers (COE) Water Quality Data	9
Table 3. Identified NPDES Permitted Facilities	. 10
Table 4. Landuse Distribution	. 11
Table 5. Point Source Loads as Input into the Model	. 17
Table 6. Hickahala Creek Nonpoint Source Loads as Input into the Model	. 17
Table 7. Senatobia Creek Nonpoint Source Loads as Input into the Model	. 18
Table 8. Model Output for Hickahala Creek after Application of Maximum Load Scenarios	. 20
Table 9. Wasteload Allocation	. 22
Table 10. Load Allocations – Hickahala Creek Nonpoint Sources	. 23
Table 11. Load Allocations – Senatobia Creek Nonpoint Sources	. 23
Table 12. TMDL for TBODu, for Critical Conditions in Hickahala Creek	. 24
Table A-1. DO Data from Hickahala Creek Station 07277530	
Table A-2. DO Data from Hickahala Creek Station 07277520	
Table A-3. DO Data from Hickahala Creek Station 07277700	. 43
Table A-4. DO Data from Senatobia Creek Station 07277730	. 55
Table A-5. DO Data from Hickahala Creek Station A0928	. 65

# TMDL INFORMATION PAGE

Name	ID	County	HUC	Cause	Mon/Eval	
Hickahala Creek Seg 3	MS303M3	Tate	08030204	Organic Enrichment/Low DO and Nutrients	Monitored	
Near Coldwater: From Conflue	nce with Senatobia Ca	nal and Unnamed C	anal to Senatobia or	utfall (North) and Side C	Canal	
Senatobia Creek Seg 2	MS304M2	Tate	08030204	Nutrients	Evaluated	
Near Senatobia: From confluen	ce with Mattic Creek	to Confluence with	Old Senatobia Canal	l		
Senatobia Creek-DA	MS304E	Panola /Tate	08030204	Organic Enrichment/Low DO and Nutrients	Evaluated	
Near Senatobia from headwater	s to confluence with c	old Senatobia Canal				
Hickahala Creek-DA	MS305E	Tate	08030204	Organic Enrichment/Low DO and Nutrients	Evaluated	
Near Senatobia from headwaters to confluence with Senatobia Creek						

#### Table i. Listing Information

#### Table ii. Water Quality Standard

Parameter	Beneficial use	Water Quality Criteria
Dissolved Oxygen	Aquatic Life Support	DO concentrations shall be maintained at a daily average of not less than 5.0 mg/l with an instantaneous minimum of not less than 4.0 mg/l

#### Table iii. Inventory of Point Source Dischargers

NPDES ID	Facility Name	Subwatershed	Receiving Water	Flow (mgd)
MS0028070	Baptist Children's Village	08030204022	Bad Branch	.01
MS0054801	Bartlett Subdivision	08030204022	Hickahala Creek	.08
MS0051217	East Tate Elementary School	08030204022	Hickahala Creek	.01
MS0032573	Tyro-East Headstart	08030204022	Wolf Creek	.00
MS0032689	Royal Heights Subdivision	08030204022	Senatobia River	.03
MS0033162	Back Acres Subdivision	08030204021	Senatobia River	.09
MS0050768	Delta Rain Utility Company, Inc.	08030204021	Hickahala Creek	.04
MS0052221	City of Senatobia	08030204020	Hickahala Creek	2.0

Table iv. Total Maximum Daily Load

LA (lbs/day)	WLA(lbs/day)	MOS	TMDL (lbs/day)
678.8	638.2	Explicit	1,317.0

- 4

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Segments of Hickahala and Senatobia Creeks are on the Mississippi 1998 Section 303(d) List of Waterbodies as monitored water body segments, due to organic enrichment/low dissolved oxygen and nutrients. Drainage areas of Hickahala and Senatobia Creeks have also been placed on the list due to organic enrichment/low DO and nutrients. The applicable state standard specifies that the DO concentrations shall be maintained at a daily average of not less than 5.0 mg/l with an instantaneous minimum of not less than 4.0 mg/l. Hickahala and Senatobia Creeks are water bodies in the Yazoo River Basin. Mississippi currently does not have standards for allowable nutrient concentrations, so a TMDL specifically for nutrients will not be developed. However, since elevated levels of nutrients may cause low levels of dissolved oxygen, the TMDL developed for dissolved oxygen also addresses the potential impact of elevated nutrients in Hickahala and Senatobia Creeks.

The headwaters of Hickahala Creek begin in Tate County north of Wyatt, MS. It flows in a westerly direction to its confluence with Arkabutla Lake at Coldwater, MS. Senatobia Creek is also located in Tate County, near Senatobia from the confluence with Mattic Creek to the confluence with Old Senatobia Canal. This TMDL, however, has been developed for the sections of the Hickahala and Senatobia Creeks found on the 303(d) List. The 4-mile long impaired section of Hickahala Creek is in Tate County near Coldwater, from the confluence with the Senatobia Canal and unnamed canal to Senatobia outfall north photo 1.




Photo 1. Hickahala Creek

The predictive model used to calculate this TMDL is based primarily on assumptions described in MDEQ Regulations. A modified Streeter-Phelps DO sag model was selected as the modeling framework for performing the TMDL allocations for this study. A mass-balance approach was used to ensure that the instream concentration of total ammonia  $(NH_3)$  did not exceed the water quality criteria for toxicity. The critical modeling period was determined to be during low-flow, high-temperature conditions that occur during the summer (May - October) period. The sevenday, ten-year (7Q10) low-flow value was used to establish the hydrologic flow for the modeled segment.

The model used in developing this TMDL included both nonpoint and point sources of total ultimate biochemical oxygen demand (TBODu) in the Hickahala Creek Watershed. The location of the watershed is shown in Figure 1. TBODu loading from nonpoint sources in the watershed was accounted for by using an assumed background concentration of TBODu in the stream as directed in MDEQ Regulations. The background concentration was determined based on *Empirical Stream Model Assumptions for Conventional Pollutants and Conventional Water Quality Models* (MDEQ, 1995). The Senatobia POTW facility is the primary point source discharger of TBODu in the watershed. There are seven other minor NPDES Permitted discharges located in the watershed that are included as point sources in the model.

The existing point sources in the Hickahala Creek Watershed do not currently exceed the waste load allocation for TBODu developed in this TMDL. Thus, modification of the current permits will not be necessary.




#### Figure 1. Hickahala Creek Watershed

# **INTRODUCTION**

## 1.1 Background

The identification of waterbodies not meeting their designated use and the development of total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) for those waterbodies are required by Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act and the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Water Quality Planning and Management Regulations (40 CFR part 130). The TMDL process is designed to restore and maintain the quality of those impaired waterbodies through the establishment of pollutant specific allowable loads. The impairment is caused by reduced levels of dissolved oxygen (DO) in the creek due to oxidation of organic material. Thus, this TMDL has been developed for organic enrichment and Nutrients. This TMDL was developed for the 303(d) listed segments shown in Figure 2.





Organic enrichment is measured in terms of TBODu. TBODu represents the oxygen consumed by microorganisms while stabilizing or degrading carbonaceous and nitrogenous compounds under aerobic conditions over an extended time period. The carbonaceous compounds are referred to as CBODu, and the nitrogenous compounds are referred to as NBODu. TBODu is equal to the sum of NBODu and CBODu, Equation 1.

#### TBODu = CBODu + NBODu

(Equation 1)

#### 1.2 Applicable Water Body Segment Use

The water use classification for the listed segments of Hickahala and Senatobia Creeks, as established by the State of Mississippi in the *Water Quality Criteria for Intrastate, Interstate and Coastal Waters* regulation, is Fish and Wildlife Support. The designated beneficial uses for Hickahala and Senatobia Creeks are Secondary Contact and Aquatic Life Support.

#### 1.3 Applicable Water Body Segment Standard

The water quality standard applicable to the use of the water body and the pollutant of concern is defined in the *State of Mississippi Water Quality Criteria for Intrastate, Interstate, and Coastal Waters*. The applicable standard specifies that the dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations shall be maintained at a daily average of not less than 5.0 mg/l with an instantaneous minimum of not less than 4.0 mg/l. The 5.0 mg/l daily average water quality standard will be used as the targeted endpoint to evaluate impairments and establish this TMDL.

#### 1.4 Selection of a TMDL Endpoint and Critical Condition

One of the major components of a TMDL is the establishment of instream numeric endpoints, which are used to evaluate the attainment of acceptable water quality. Instream numeric endpoints, therefore, represent the water quality goals that are to be achieved by meeting the load and wasteload allocations specified in the TMDL. The endpoints allow for a comparison between observed instream conditions and conditions that are expected to restore designated uses. The instream DO target for this TMDL is a daily average of not less than 5.0 mg/l. The instantaneous minimum portion of the DO standard was considered when establishing the instream target for this TMDL. However, it was determined that using the daily average standard with the conservative modeling assumptions would be sufficiently protective of the instantaneous minimum standard.

Low DO typically occurs during seasonal low-flow periods of late summer and early fall. Elevated oxygen demand is of primary concern during low-flow periods because the effects of minimum dilution and high temperatures combine to produce the worst-case potential effect on water quality (USEPA, 1997). The low-flow, high-temperature period is referred to as the critical condition. The maximum impact of oxidation of organic material is generally not at the location of the point source discharge, but at some distance downstream, where the maximum DO deficit occurs. The DO deficit is defined as the difference between the DO concentration at 100% saturation and the actual DO. The point of maximum DO deficit, also called the DO sag, will be used to define the endpoint required for this TMDL. The endpoint for this TMDL will be based on a daily average of not less than 5.0 mg/l DO within the 303(d) listed segments during critical conditions in Hickahala and Senatobia Creeks.

# WATER BODY ASSESSMENT

This TMDL Report includes an analysis of available water quality data and the identification of all known potential pollutant sources in the Hickahala and Senatobia Creeks watershed. The potential point and nonpoint pollutant sources were characterized by the best available information, monitoring data, and literature values. This section documents the available information for Hickahala and Senatobia Creeks.

## 2.1 Discussion of Instream Water Quality Data

The State's 1998 Section 305(b) Water Quality Assessment Report was reviewed to assess water quality conditions and data available for the watershed. Limited water quality data are available for the listed segments of Hickahala and Senatobia Creek. According to the report, Hickahala and Senatobia Creek are partially supporting for the use of aquatic life support. These conclusions were based on instantaneous water chemistry data and screening-level biological assessment conducted by The United States Geological Survey (USGS) and Army Corps of Engineers (COE). The data are summarized in Table 1. Additional water quality samples were collected by the COE, Table 2. The actual data collected at these stations along with the sample date and time are given in Appendix A. Sample collection times were not available for the COE station A0928. The data collected by the USGS does not indicate any violations of the water quality standard. The daily average DO collected at the COE station also does not show violation of the water quality standard. However, approximately 4% of the measured DO values were less than the instantaneous DO standard of 4.0 mg/l.

Station Number	Station Location	Number of Samples	Average DO (mg/l)	Minimum DO (mg/l)	Data Collection Dates
07277530	Hickahala Creek	158	9.1	7.0	6/3/88 - 11/2/95
7277520	Hickahala Creek	136	9.3	6.8	6/3/88 - 11/2/95
07277700	Hickahala Creek	522	8.5	5.6	2/2/88 - 8/12/96
07277730	Senatobia Creek	458	8.9	5.1	2/2/88 - 8/12/96

 Table 1. USGS Water Quality Data

Table 2	Army Cori	of Engineers	(COE) Wat	er Quality Data
1 4010 2.	runny con	or Engineer.	(001) 11 44	or Quanty Data

State Number	Station Location	Number of Samples	Average DO (mg/l)	Minimum DO (mg/l)	Data Collection Dates
A0928	Hickahala Creek, Near Coldwater	335	8.5	.8	8/29/73 - 5/12/92

#### 2.2 Assessment of Point Sources

The first step in assessing pollutant sources in the Hickahala Creek watershed was locating the NPDES permitted sources. There are eight sources permitted to discharge into Hickahala and Senatobia Creeks, or their tributaries, Table 3. The effluent from each facility was characterized based on all available data including information on each facility's wastewater treatment system, permit limits, and discharge monitoring reports. Discharge monitoring data are vital to

characterizing effluent from each facility. The average flows, BOD<sub>5</sub>, and NH<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations, as reported in DMRs for the past year (4/1/2001 through 4/1/2002), are given in Table 3.

Name	NPDES Permit	Permitted Discharge (mgd)	Actual Average Discharge (mgd)	Actual BOD5 (mg/L)	Permitted BOD5 (mg/l)	Actual NH3-N (mg/l)
Baptist Children's Village	MS0028070	.01	.04	5.7	30	No Data
Bartlett S/D	MS0054801	.08	No Disch	narge	30	No Data
East Tate Elementary School	MS0051217	.01	.01 No Data		30	No Data
Tyro-East Headstart	MS0032573	.02	.02 .007		30	No Data
Royal Heights S/D	MS0032689	.03	.02	8	30	No Data
Back Acres S/D	MS0033162	.099	.06	5	30	No Data
Delta Rain Utility Company, Inc.	MS0050768	.04	No Discharge		30	No Data
City of Senatobia	MS0052221	2.0	1.1	8.5	14	.75

 Table 3. Identified NPDES Permitted Facilities

At this time, a lagoon has not been constructed for the Bartlett Subdivision. This facility, however, was included in the point source assessment because it may be constructed in the future. Delta Rain Utility Company, Inc. has not had a discharge in the past two years and will submit supporting documentation. It is also important to note that the East Tate Elementary School has not submitted discharge information over the past 2 years.

Baptist Children's Village had a discharge violation that occurred as a result of excessive rainy periods. Tyro-East Headstart submitted documentation supporting only one sample of BOD<sub>5</sub> taken over the past 2 years. This one sample resulted in a BOD<sub>5</sub> sample of 35 mg/l.

## 2.3 Assessment of Nonpoint Sources

Nonpoint loading of TBODu in a waterbody results from the transport of the pollutants into receiving waters by overland surface runoff and groundwater infiltration. Landuse activities within the drainage basin, such as agriculture, and silviculture contribute to nonpoint source loading. Other nonpoint pollution sources include atmospheric deposition and natural weathering of rocks and soil.

The 149,190-acre drainage area of Hickahala Creek contains many different landuse types, including urban, forest, cropland, pasture, water, wetlands, and aquaculture. The landuse information is based on data collected by the State of Mississippi's Automated Resource Information System (MARIS) 1997. This data set is based on Landsat Thematic Mapper digital images taken between 1992 and 1993. Pasture is the dominant landuse within this watershed. The landuse distribution within the Hickahala Creek Watershed is shown in Table 4 and Figure 3.

	Table 4. Landuse Distribution										
	Urban	Forest	Cropland	Pasture	Wetland	Aquaculture	Water	Total			
Area (acres)	3,101	21,808	30,830	87,775	3,993	82	1,601	149,190			
% Area	2%	15%	21%	59%	3%	0%	1%	100%			




Figure 3. Landuse Distribution Map for Hickahala Creek Watershed

## MODELING PROCEDURE: LINKING THE SOURCES TO THE ENDPOINT

Establishing the relationship between the instream water quality target and the source loading is a critical component of TMDL development. It allows for the evaluation of management options that will achieve the desired source load reductions. The link can be established through a range of techniques, from qualitative assumptions based on sound scientific principles to sophisticated modeling techniques. Ideally, the linkage will be supported by monitoring data that allow the TMDL developer to associate certain water body responses to flow and loading conditions. In this section, the selection of the modeling tools, setup, and model application are discussed.

#### 3.1 Modeling Framework Selection

A mathematical model, named AWFWUL1, for DO distribution in freshwater streams was used for developing the TMDL. The use of AWFWUL1 is promulgated in the *Wastewater Regulations for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permits, Underground Injection Control (UIC) Permits, State Permits, Water Quality Based Effluent Limitations and Water Quality Certification* (MDEQ, 1994). This model has been approved by EPA and has been used extensively at MDEQ. A key reason for using the AWFWUL1 model in TMDL development is its ability to assess instream water quality conditions in response to point and nonpoint source loadings.

The model is a steady-state, daily average computer model that utilizes a modified Streeter-Phelps DO sag equation. Instream processes simulated by the model include CBODu decay, nitrification, reaeration, sediment oxygen demand, and respiration and photosynthesis of algae. Figure 4 shows how these processes are related in a typical DO model. Reaction rates for the instream processes are input by the user and corrected for temperature by the model. The model output includes water quality conditions in each computational element for DO, CBODu, and NH<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations. The hydrological processes simulated by the model include stream velocity and flow from point sources and spatially distributed inputs.

The model was set up to calculate reaeration within each reach using the Tsivoglou formulation. The Tsivoglou formula calculates reaeration (Ka) within each reach according to Equation 2.

#### Ka =CSU (Equation 2)

S is the slope in ft/mile, U is the reach velocity in mile/day, and C is the escape coefficient, which is 0.11 for reaches with flow less than 10 cfs and 0.0597 for reaches with flow greater than 10 cfs and less than 280 cfs. The slope of each reach was estimated from USGS quad maps and input into the model in units of feet/mile.




Figure 4. Instream Processes in a Typical DO Model

#### 3.2 Model Setup

The TMDL model includes the 303(d) listed portions of Hickahala and Senatobia Creeks, from the headwaters to the mouth at Arkabutla flood pool, as well as all the drainage areas that are upstream of the segments. The modeled water bodies were divided into reaches for input into the AWFWUL1 model. Reach divisions were made at any major change in the hydrology of the water body, such as a significant change in slope or the confluence of a tributary or point source discharge. The watershed was modeled according to the diagrams shown in Figures 5 and 6. As

shown in Figures 5 and 6, there are eight NPDES permitted point sources that discharge into Hickahala Creek and Senatobia Creek. The numbers on the figure represent river miles (RM) at which point sources discharges or confluence of the creeks are located. River miles are assigned to waterbodies, beginning with zero at the mouth. Within each reach, the modeled segments were divided into computational elements of 0.1 mile. The hydrological and water quality characteristics were calculated and output by the model for each computational element.




Figure 5. Hickahala Creek Model Setup (Note: Figure not to Scale)




Figure 6. Senatobia Creek Model Setup (Note: Figure not to Scale)

The model was setup to simulate low-flow, high-temperature conditions, which was determined to be the critical condition for this TMDL. The temperature used in the model is 26°C. The headwater instream DO was assumed to be 85% of saturation at the stream temperature. The instream CBODu decay rate is dependent on temperature, according to Equation 3.

$$Kd_{(T)} = Kd_{(20^{\circ}C)}(1.047)^{T-20}$$
 (Equation 3)

Where Kd is the CBODu decay rate and T is the assumed instream temperature. The assumptions regarding the instream temperatures, background DO saturation, and CBODu decay

rate are required by the *Empirical Stream Model Assumptions for Conventional Pollutants and Conventional Water Quality Models* (MDEQ, 1994).

## 3.3 Source Representation

Both point and nonpoint sources were represented in the model. The loads from NPDES permitted sources were added as direct inputs into the appropriate reach of the water body as a flow in cfs and a load of CBODu and ammonia nitrogen in lbs/day. Spatially distributed loads, which represent nonpoint sources of flow, CBODu, and ammonia nitrogen were distributed evenly into each computational element of Hickahala and Senatobia Creeks.

Organic material discharged to a stream from an NPDES permitted point source is typically quantified as 5-day biochemical oxygen demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>). BOD<sub>5</sub> is a measure of the oxidation of carbonaceous and nitrogenous material over a 5-day incubation period. However, oxidation of nitrogenous material, called nitrification, usually does not take place within the 5-day period because the bacteria that are responsible for nitrification are normally not present in large numbers and have slow reproduction rates (Metcalf and Eddy, 1991). Thus, BOD<sub>5</sub> is generally considered equal to CBOD<sub>5</sub>. Because permits for point source facilities are written in terms of BOD<sub>5</sub> while predictive models used for TMDL development are typically developed using CBOD<sub>4</sub>, a ratio between the two terms is needed, Equation 4.

#### CBODu = CBOD<sub>5</sub> \* Ratio (Equation 4)

The CBODu to CBOD<sub>5</sub> ratios are given in *Empirical Stream Model Assumptions for Conventional Pollutants and Conventional Water Quality Models* (MDEQ, 1995). These values are recommended for use by MDEQ regulations when actual field data are not available. The value of the ratio depends on the treatment type. A ratio of 1.5:1 was used for all eight of the facilities included in the model.

In order to convert the ammonia nitrogen (NH<sub>3</sub>-N) loads to an oxygen demand, a factor of 4.57 pounds of oxygen per pound of ammonia nitrogen (NH<sub>3</sub>-N) oxidized to nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>) was used (Davis and Cornwell, 1988). Using this factor is a conservative modeling assumption because it assumes that all of the ammonia is converted to nitrate through nitrification, which is not necessarily accurate. The oxygen demand caused by nitrification of ammonia is equal to the NBODu load. The sum of CBODu and NBODu is equal to the point source load of TBODu. The permitted loads of TBODu from each of the existing point sources are given in Table 5. The loads were based on the maximum allowable loads according to NPDES permits, which represents another conservative assumption.

	-			baus as input i			
Facility	Flow (cfs)	CBOD5 (mg/l)	CBOD <sub>u</sub> : CBOD <sub>5</sub> Ratio	CBODu (lbs/day)	NH3-N (mg/l)	NBODu (lbs/day)	TBODu (lbs/day)
Baptist Children's Village	.02	30	1.5	4.9	2	.1	5.0
Bartlett S/D	.1	30	1.5	31.3	2	6.4	37.7
East Tate Elementary School	.02	30	1.5	4.7	2	.9	5.5
Tyro-East Headstart	.03	30	1.5	7.5	2	1.5	9.1
Royal Heights S/D	.1	30	1.5	12.1	2	2.5	14.6
Back Acres S/D	.2	30	1.5	37.1	2	7.5	44.7
Delta Rain Utility Company, Inc.	.1	30	1.5	15.0	2	3.1	18.1
City of Senatobia	3.1	14	1.5	350.3	2	152.5	502.7
Total				462.9		174.5	637.4

Table 5. Point Source Loads as Input into the Model

The background contributions of CBODu and total ammonia as nitrogen (NH<sub>3</sub>–N) were estimated based on *Empirical Stream Model Assumptions for Conventional Pollutants and Conventional Water Quality Models* (MDEQ, 1994). According to these regulations, the background concentrations used in modeling are CBODu = 2.0 mg/l and NH<sub>3</sub>-N = 0.1 mg/l.

Nonpoint source flows were estimated based on low flow data for the Hickahala Creek watershed. According to *Techniques for Estimating 7-Day, 10-Year Low Flow Characteristics for Ungaged Sites on Streams in Mississippi*, the 7Q10 flow for Hickahala Creek is 6.5 cfs. The 7Q10 flow was used to estimate nonpoint source flow in Hickahala and Senatobia Creek. The estimated flows were multiplied by the background concentrations of CBODu and NH<sub>3</sub>-N to calculate the nonpoint source loads in the model, Tables 6 and 7. Direct measurements of nonpoint source loads of CBODu and NH<sub>3</sub>-N were not available for the Hickahala Creek Watershed.

Watershed	Flow (cfs)	CBOD5 (mg/l)	CBODu:CBOD5 Ratio	CBODu (lbs/day)	NH <sub>3</sub> -N (mg/l)	NBODu (lbs/day)	TBODu (lbs/day)
1	.2	1.33	1.5	2.4	.1	.6	2.9
2	1.4	1.33	1.5	15.5	.1	3.6	19.0
3	.9	1.33	1.5	10.2	.1	2.3	12.5
4	.7	1.33	1.5	7.7	.1	1.8	9.5
5	.7	1.33	1.5	7.7	.1	1.8	9.5
6	2.4	1.33	1.5	25.7	.1	5.9	31.5
7	.5	1.33	1.5	5.3	.1	1.2	6.5
8	.9	1.33	1.5	9.2	.1	2.1	11.3
Total				83.7		19.3	102.7

 Table 6. Hickahala Creek Nonpoint Source Loads as Input into the Model

Watershed	Flow (cfs)	CBOD5 (mg/l)	CBODu:CBOD5 Ratio	CBODu (lbs/day)	NH3-N (mg/l)	NBODu (lbs/day)	TBODu (lbs/day)
1	3.5	1.33	1.5	37.8	.1	8.7	46.5
2	.6	1.33	1.5	6.8	.1	1.6	8.3
3	.4	1.33	1.5	4.4	.1	1.0	5.4
4	.9	1.33	1.5	10.2	.1	2.4	12.6
5	.6	1.33	1.5	6.3	.1	1.4	7.7
Total				65.5		15.1	80.5

Table 7. Senatobia Creek Nonpoint Source Loads as Input into the Model

## 3.4 Model Results

Once the model setup was complete, the model was used to predict water quality conditions in Hickahala and Senatobia Creeks. The model was first run under baseline conditions. Under baseline conditions, the loads from NPDES permitted point sources were set at their existing load scenarios as determined from the discharge monitoring reports, Table 5. Thus, baseline model runs reflect the current condition of Hickahala Creek without any reduction of TBODu loads. The model was then run using a trial-and-error process to determine the maximum TBODu loads from the nonpoint sources and point source facilities which would not violate water quality standards for DO. These model runs are called maximum load scenarios.

#### 3.4.1 Baseline Model Runs

The model results from the baseline model run are shown in Figures 7 and 8. The figures show the modeled daily average DO in Hickahala Creek and Senatobia Creek. The red line represents the DO standard of 5.0 mg/l. Figure 7 shows the daily average instream DO concentrations in Senatobia Creek under existing permit conditions beginning with river mile 13.5 and ending with river mile 0.0. Figure 8 shows the daily average instream DO concentrations in Hickahala Creek under existing conditions, beginning with river mile 17.3 and ending with river mile 0.0. The DO sag, or maximum DO deficit, occurs in Hickahala Creek below the discharges from the NPDES Permitted facilities around river mile 13.6.






Figure 7. Baseline Model Output for Senatobia Creek





#### 3.4.2 Maximum Load Scenarios

The graphs of the baseline model output show that the predicted DO does not fall below the DO standard in Hickahala Creek during critical conditions. Thus, reductions from the baseline loads of TBODu are not necessary.

Calculating the TMDL involved increasing the loads and running the model using a trial-anderror process until the modeled DO was just above 5.0 mg/l. The different model runs included three scenarios, as shown in Table 8. Scenario 1 involved increasing the nonpoint source loads by a factor of 3.7, which resulted in a TMDL of 1,317.0 lbs/day. Scenario 2 involved increasing the point source loads by a factor of 4.5, which resulted in TMDL of 3,055.2 lbs/day. Scenario 3 involved increasing the nonpoint and point source loads both by a factor of 2.8, which resulted in a TMDL of 2300.5 lbs/day. Scenario 1 was determined to be the most conservative load and is therefore used as the maximum load of TBODu.

	LA – Nonpoint     WLA       Sources (lbs/day)     (lbs/day)		MOS	TMDL (lbs/day)
CBODu	552.3	462.9	Implicit	1,015.2
NBODu	126.5	175.3	Implicit	301.8
TBODu	678.8	638.2	Implicit	1,317.0

	Scenario 2										
	LA – Nonpoint Sources (lbs/day)	WLA (lbs/day)	MOS	TMDL (lbs/day)							
CBODu	149.3	2,082.8	Implicit	2,871.7							
NBODu	34.2	788.9	Implicit	1,83.5							
TBODu	183.5	2,871.7	Implicit	3,055.2							

Scenario 3

S een with e								
	LA – Nonpoint Sources (lbs/day)	WLA (lbs/day)	MOS	TMDL (lbs/day)				
CBODu	418.0	1,296.0	Implicit	1,713.9				
NBODu	95.7	490.9	Implicit	586.6				
TBODu	513.7	1,786.9	Implicit	2,300.5				

## 3.5 Evaluation of Ammonia Toxicity

Ammonia must not only be considered due to its effect on dissolved oxygen in the receiving water, but also its toxicity potential. Ammonia nitrogen concentrations can be evaluated using the criteria given in 1999 Update of Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Ammonia (EPA-822-R-99-014). The maximum allowable instream ammonia nitrogen (NH<sub>3</sub>-N) concentration at a pH of

7.0 and stream temperature of  $26^{\circ}$ C is 2.82 mg/l. Based on the model results, this criteria was not exceeded in Hickahala and Senatobia Creeks under the current NH<sub>3</sub>-N loads.

# ALLOCATION

The allocation for this TMDL involves a wasteload allocation for point sources and a load allocation for nonpoint sources necessary for attainment of water quality standards in segments MS303M3, MS304M2, and drainage areas MS304E and MS305E.

#### 4.1 Wasteload Allocation

Eight NPDES Permitted facilities in the Hickahala Creek watershed are included in the wasteload allocation, Table 9.

Facility	CBODu (lbs/day)	NBODu (lbs/day)	TBODu (lbs/day)
Baptist Children's Village	4.9	.1	5.0
Bartlett S/D	31.3	6.4	37.7
East Tate Elementary School	4.6	.9	5.5
Tyro-East Headstart	7.5	1.5	9.1
Royal Heights S/D	12.1	2.5	14.6
Back Acres S/D	37.1	7.5	44.7
Delta Rain Utility Company, Inc.	15.0	3.1	18.1
City of Senatobia	350.3	152.5	502.7
Total	462.8	174.6	637.4

#### 4.2 Load Allocation

The headwater and spatially distributed loads are included in the load allocation. The TBODu concentrations of these loads were determined by using an assumed CBOD<sub>5</sub> concentration of 1.33 mg/l and an NH<sub>3</sub>-N concentration of 0.1 mg/l. These concentrations should be assumed when reliable field data are not available, according to *Empirical Stream Model Assumptions for Conventional Pollutants and Conventional Water Quality Models* (MDEQ, 1994). The spatially distributed flows were calculated for the Hickahala Creek Watershed by delineating the drainage area into subwatersheds. Flows from each subwatershed were based on the 7Q10 flow coefficient for the watershed and the watershed size. Then, the load allocations were calculated to determine the CBODu and NBODu loads in lbs/day, Table 10 and 11. The LA in Tables 9 and 10 consist of the estimated loads, multiplied by a factor of 3.7.

Watershed	Flow (cfs)	CBOD5 (mg/l)	CBODu:CBOD5 Ratio	CBODu (lbs/day)	NH3-N (mg/l)	NBODu (lbs/day)	TBODu (lbs/day)
1	.2	1.33	1.5	8.95	.1	.5	11.0
2	1.4	1.33	1.5	57.3	.1	13.1	70.4
3	.95	1.33	1.5	37.6	.1	8.6	46.2
4	.7	1.33	1.5	28.7	.1	6.6	35.2
5	.7	1.33	1.5	28.7	.1	6.6	35.2
6	2.4	1.33	1.5	94.9	.1	21.7	116.7
7	.5	1.33	1.5	19.7	.1	4.5	24.2
8	.9	1.33	1.5	34.02	.1	7.8	41.8
Total				309.9		69.4	380.7

Table 10. Load Allocations - Hickahala Creek Nonpoint Sources

Table 11. Load Allocations - Senatobia Creek Nonpoint Sources

Watershed	Flow (cfs)	CBOD5 (mg/l)	CBODu:CBOD5 Ratio	CBODu (lbs/day)	NH3-N (mg/l)	NBODu (lbs/day)	TBODu (lbs/day)
1	3.5	1.33	1.5	139.9	.1	32.0	171.9
2	.6	1.33	1.5	25.1	.1	5.7	30.8
3	.4	1.33	1.5	16.3	.1	3.7	20.1
4	.95	1.33	1.5	37.96	.1	8.7	46.7
5	.6	1.33	1.5	23.3	.1	5.3	28.6
Total				242.6		55.4	298.1

## 4.3 Seasonality

Seasonal variation may be addressed in the TMDL by using seasonal water quality standards or developing model runs to reflect seasonal variations in temperature and other parameters. Mississippi's water quality standards for dissolved oxygen, however, do not vary according to the seasons. The TMDL model was set up to simulate dissolved oxygen during the critical condition period, the low-flow, high-temperature period that typically occurs during the summer season. Since the critical condition represents the worst-case scenario, the TMDL developed for critical conditions is protective of the water body at all times. Thus, this TMDL will ensure attainment of water quality standards for each season.

## 4.4 Incorporation of a Margin of Safety

The margin of safety is a required component of a TMDL and accounts for the uncertainty about the relationship between pollutant loads and the quality of the receiving water body. The two types of MOS development are to implicitly incorporate the MOS using conservative model assumptions or to explicitly specify a portion of the total TMDL as the MOS. The MOS selected for this model is implicit.

Conservative assumptions which place a higher demand of DO on the water body than may actually be present are considered part of the margin of safety. The assumption that all of the ammonia nitrogen present in the water body is oxidized to nitrate nitrogen, for example, is a conservative assumption. In addition, the TMDL is based on the critical condition of the water body, which is represented by the 7Q10 flow. Therefore, modeling the water body at this flow provides protection in the worst-case scenario.

#### 4.5 Calculation of the TMDL

The TMDL was calculated based on Equation 5.

#### TMDL = WLA + LA + MOS (Equation 5)

Where WLA is the wasteload allocation, LA is the load allocation, and MOS is the margin of safety. All units are in lbs/day of TBODu. The TMDL for TBODu was calculated based on the maximum allowable loading of the pollutants in Hickahala and Senatobia Creeks, according to the model. The TMDL calculations are shown in Table 12. As shown in the table, TBODu is the sum of CBODu and NBODu. The wasteload allocations incorporate the CBODu and NH<sub>3</sub>-N contributions from identified NPDES Permitted facilities. The load allocations include the spatially distributed TBODu and NH<sub>3</sub>-N contributions from surface runoff and groundwater infiltration. The implicit margin of safety for this TMDL is derived from the conservative assumptions used in setting up the model.

	LA (lbs/day)	WLA (lbs/day)	MOS	TMDL (lbs/day)
CBODu	552.3	462.9	Implicit	1,015.2
NBODu	126.5	175.3	Implicit	301.8
TBODu	678.8	638.2	Implicit	1,317.0

Table 12. TMDL for TBODu, for Critical Conditions in Hickahala Creek Spatial and Point Source Loads Increased by 3.7 (Scenario 1)

# CONCLUSION

This TMDL will place restrictions on NPDES permitting activities in Hickahala and Senatobia Creeks, such that the loading specified in this TMDL will not be exceeded. The maximum load of TBODu, as determined by this TMDL, is 1317 lbs/day.

### 5.1 Future Monitoring

MDEQ has adopted the Basin Approach to Water Quality Management, a plan that divides Mississippi's major drainage basins into five groups. During each year-long cycle, MDEQ's resources for water quality monitoring will be focused on one of the basin groups. During the next monitoring phase in the Yazoo Basin, Hickahala Creek will receive additional monitoring to identify any change in water quality. The additional monitoring may allow confirmation of the assumptions used in the model used for calculating the TMDL. If the additional data show that the assumptions used were not accurate, the model as well as the TMDL may be updated.

## 5.2 Public Participation

This TMDL will be published for a 30-day public notice. During this time, the public will be notified by publication in the statewide newspaper. The public will be given an opportunity to review the TMDL and submit comments. MDEQ also distributes all TMDLs at the beginning of the public notice to those members of the public who have requested to be included on a TMDL mailing list. TMDL mailing list members may request to receive the TMDL reports through either, email or the postal service. Anyone wishing to become a member of the TMDL mailing list should contact Greg Jackson at (601) 961-5098 or by Greg\_Jackson@deq.state.ms.us.

At the end of the 30-day period, MDEQ will determine the level of interest in the TMDL and make a decision on the necessity of holding a public hearing. If a public hearing is deemed appropriate, the public will be given a 30-day notice of the hearing to be held at a location near the watershed. That public hearing would be an official hearing of the Mississippi Commission on Environmental Quality, and would be transcribed.

All comments received during the public notice period and at any public hearings become a part of the record of this TMDL. All comments will be considered in the submission of this TMDL to EPA Region 4 for final approval.

## REFERENCES

Davis, Mackenzie L., and David A. Cornwell. *Introduction to Environmental Engineering*. 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed. Boston: McGraw-Hill, 1998.

MDEQ. 1994. Wastewater Regulations for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permits, Underground Injection Control (UIC) Permits, State Permits, Water Quality Based Effluent Limitations and Water Quality Certification. Office of Pollution Control.

MDEQ. 2002. State of Mississippi Water Quality Criteria for Intrastate, Interstate, and Coastal Waters. Office of Pollution Control.

MDEQ. 1998. *Mississippi List of Waterbodies, Pursuant to Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act.* Office of Pollution Control.

MDEQ. 1998. *Mississippi 1998 Water Quality Assessment, Pursuant to Section 305(b) of the Clean Water Act.* Office of Pollution Control.

Metcalf and Eddy, Inc. 1991. *Wastewater Engineering: Treatment, Disposal, and Reuse* 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Telis, Pamela A. 1992. *Techniques for Estimating 7-Day, 10-Year Low Flow Characteristics for Ungaged Sites on Streams in Mississippi*. U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Investigations Report 91-4130.

USEPA. 1997. Technical Guidance Manual for Developing Total Maximum Daily Loads, Book 2: Streams and Rivers, Part 1: Biochemical Oxygen Demand/Dissolved Oxygen and Nutrients/ Eutrophication. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington, D.C. EPA 823-B-97-002.

# DEFINITIONS

**5-Day Biochemical Oxygen Demand**: Also called BOD<sub>5</sub>, the amount of oxygen consumed by microorganisms while stabilizing or degrading carbonaceous or nitrogenous compounds under aerobic conditions over a period of 5 days.

Activated Sludge: A secondary wastewater treatment process that removes organic matter by mixing air and recycled sludge bacteria with sewage to promote decomposition

**Aerated Lagoon**: A relatively deep body of water contained in an earthen basin of controlled shape which is equipped with a mechanical source of oxygen and is designed for the purpose of treating wastewater.

**Ammonia**: Inorganic form of nitrogen (NH<sub>3</sub>); product of hydrolysis of organic nitrogen and denitrification. Ammonia is preferentially used by phytoplankton over nitrate for uptake of inorganic nitrogen.

**Ammonia Nitrogen**: The measured ammonia concentration reported in terms of equivalent ammonia concentration; also called total ammonia as nitrogen (NH<sub>3</sub>-N)

**Ammonia Toxicity**: Under specific conditions of temperature and pH, the unionized component of ammonia can be toxic to aquatic life. The unionized component of ammonia increases with pH and temperature.

Ambient Stations: A network of fixed monitoring stations established for systematic water quality sampling at regular intervals, and for uniform parametric coverage over a long-term period.

**Assimilative Capacity**: The capacity of a body of water or soil-plant system to receive wastewater effluents or sludge without violating the provisions of the State of Mississippi Water Quality Criteria for Intrastate, Interstate, and Coastal Waters and Water Quality regulations.

**Background**: The condition of waters in the absence of man-induced alterations based on the best scientific information available to MDEQ. The establishment of natural background for an altered waterbody may be based upon a similar, unaltered or least impaired, waterbody or on historical pre-alteration data.

**Biological Impairment**: Condition in which at least one biological assemblages (e.g., fish, macroinvertabrates, or algae) indicates less than full support with moderate to severe modification of biological community noted.

**Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand**: Also called CBODu, the amount of oxygen consumed by microorganisms while stabilizing or degrading carbonaceous compounds under aerobic conditions over an extended time period.

**Calibrated Model**: A model in which reaction rates and inputs are significantly based on actual measurements using data from surveys on the receiving waterbody.

**Conventional Lagoon**: An un-aerated, relatively shallow body of water contained in an earthen basin of controlled shape and designed for the purpose of treating water.

**Critical Condition**: Hydrologic and atmospheric conditions in which the pollutants causing impairment of a waterbody have their greatest potential for adverse effects.

**Daily Discharge**: The "discharge of a pollutant" measured during a calendar day or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents the calendar day for purposes of sampling. For pollutants with limitations expressed in units of mass, the "daily discharge" is calculated as the total mass of the pollutant discharged over the day. For pollutants with limitations expressed in other units of measurement, the "daily average" is calculated as the average.

**Designated Use**: Use specified in water quality standards for each waterbody or segment regardless of actual attainment.

**Discharge Monitoring Report**: Report of effluent characteristics submitted by a NPDES Permitted facility.

**Dissolved Oxygen**: The amount of oxygen dissolved in water. It also refers to a measure of the amount of oxygen that is available for biochemical activity in a water body. The maximum concentration of dissolved oxygen in a waterbody depends on temperature, atmospheric pressure, and dissolved solids.

**Dissolved Oxygen Deficit**: The saturation dissolved oxygen concentration minus the actual dissolved oxygen concentration.

**DO Sag**: Longitudinal variation of dissolved oxygen representing the oxygen depletion and recovery following a waste load discharge into a receiving water.

**Effluent Standards and Limitations**: All State or Federal effluent standards and limitations on quantities, rates, and concentrations of chemical, physical, biological, and other constituents to which a waste or wastewater discharge may be subject under the Federal Act or the State law. This includes, but is not limited to, effluent limitations, standards of performance, toxic effluent standards and prohibitions, pretreatment standards, and schedules of compliance.

**Effluent**: Treated wastewater flowing out of the treatment facilities.

**First Order Kinetics**: Describes a reaction in which the rate of transformation of a pollutant is proportional to the amount of that pollutant in the environmental system.

**Groundwater**: Subsurface water in the zone of saturation. Groundwater infiltration describes the rate and amount of movement of water from a saturated formation.

**Impaired Waterbody**: Any waterbody that does not attain water quality standards due to an individual pollutant, multiple pollutants, pollution, or an unknown cause of impairment.

**Land Surface Runoff**: Water that flows into the receiving stream after application by rainfall or irrigation. It is a transport method for nonpoint source pollution from the land surface to the receiving stream.

**Load Allocation (LA)**: The portion of a receiving water's loading capacity attributed to or assigned to nonpoint sources (NPS) or background sources of a pollutant

Loading: The total amount of pollutants entering a stream from one or multiple sources.

**Mass Balance**: An equation that accounts for the flux of mass going into a defined area and the flux of mass leaving a defined area, the flux in must equal the flux out.

**Nonpoint Source**: Pollution that is in runoff from the land. Rainfall, snowmelt, and other water that does not evaporate become surface runoff and either drains into surface waters or soaks into the soil and finds its way into groundwater. This surface water may contain pollutants that come from land use activities such as agriculture; construction; silviculture; surface mining; disposal of wastewater; hydrologic modifications; and urban development.

**Nitrification**: The oxidation of ammonium salts to nitrites via *Nitrosomonas* bacteria and the further oxidation of nitrite to nitrate via *Nitrobacter* bacteria.

**Nitrogenous Biochemical Oxygen Demand**: Also called NBODu, the amount of oxygen consumed by microorganisms while stabilizing or degrading nitrogenous compounds under aerobic conditions over an extended time period.

**NPDES Permit**: An individual or general permit issued by the Mississippi Environmental Quality Permit Board pursuant to regulations adopted by the Mississippi Commission on Environmental Quality under Mississippi Code Annotated (as amended) §§ 49-17-17 and 49-17-29 for discharges into State waters.

**Photosynthesis**: The biochemical synthesis of carbohydrate based organic compounds from water and carbon dioxide using light energy in the presence of chlorophyll.

**Point Source**: Pollution loads discharged at a specific location from pipes, outfalls, and conveyance channels from either wastewater treatment plants or industrial waste treatment facilities. Point sources can also include pollutant loads contributed by tributaries to the main receiving stream.

**Pollution**: Contamination, or other alteration of the physical, chemical, or biological properties, of any waters of the State, including change in temperature, taste, color, turbidity, or odor of the waters, or such discharge of any liquid, gaseous, solid, radioactive, or other substance, or leak into any waters of the State, unless in compliance with a valid permit issued by the Permit Board.

**Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW)**: A waste treatment facility owned and/or operated by a public body or a privately owned treatment works which accepts discharges which would otherwise be subject to Federal Pretreatment Requirements.

**Reaeration:** The net flux of oxygen occurring from the atmosphere to a body of water across the water surface.

**Regression Coefficient**: An expression of the functional relationship between two correlated variables that is often empirically determined from data, and is used to predict values of one variable when given values of the other variable.

**Respiration**: The biochemical process by means of which cellular fuels are oxidized with the aid of oxygen to permit the release of energy required to sustain life. During respiration, oxygen is consumed and carbon dioxide is released.

**Sediment Oxygen Demand**: The solids discharged to a receiving water are partly organics, which upon settling to the bottom decompose aerobically, removing oxygen from the surrounding water column.

**Storm Runoff**: Rainfall that does not evaporate or infiltrate the ground because of impervious land surfaces or a soil infiltration rate than rainfall intensity, but instead flows into adjacent land or water bodies or is routed into a drain or sewer system.

**Streeter-Phelps DO Sag Equation**: An equation which uses a mass balance approach to determine the DO concentration in a waterbody downstream of a point source discharge. The equation assumes that the stream flow is constant and that CBODu exertion is the only source of DO deficit while reaeration is the only sink of DO deficit.

**Total Ultimate Biochemical Oxygen Demand**: Also called TBODu, the amount of oxygen consumed by microorganisms while stabilizing or degrading carbonaceous or nitrogenous compounds under aerobic conditions over an extended time period.

Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen: Also called TKN, organic nitrogen plus ammonia nitrogen.

**Total Maximum Daily Load or TMDL**: The calculated maximum permissible pollutant loading to a waterbody at which water quality standards can be maintained.

**Waste**: Sewage, industrial wastes, oil field wastes, and all other liquid, gaseous, solid, radioactive, or other substances which may pollute or tend to pollute any waters of the State.

**Wasteload Allocation (WLA)**: The portion of a receiving water's loading capacity attributed to or assigned to point sources of a pollutant.

**Water Quality Standards**: The criteria and requirements set forth in *State of Mississippi Water Quality Criteria for Intrastate, Interstate, and Coastal Waters*. Water quality standards are standards composed of designated present and future most beneficial uses (classification of waters), the numerical and narrative criteria applied to the specific water uses or classification, and the Mississippi antidegradation policy.

**Water Quality Criteria**: Elements of State water quality standards, expressed as constituent concentrations, levels, or narrative statements, representing a quality of water that supports the present and future most beneficial uses.

**Waters of the State**: All waters within the jurisdiction of this State, including all streams, lakes, ponds, wetlands, impounding reservoirs, marshes, watercourses, waterways, wells, springs, irrigation systems, drainage systems, and all other bodies or accumulations of water, surface and underground, natural or artificial, situated wholly or partly within or bordering upon the State, and such coastal waters as are within the jurisdiction of the State, except lakes, ponds, or other surface waters which are wholly landlocked and privately owned, and which are not regulated under the Federal Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C.1251 et seq.).

Watershed: The area of land draining into a stream at a given location.

# ABBREVIATIONS

7Q10	Seven-Day Average Low Stream Flow with a Ten-Year Occurrence Period
BASINS	Better Assessment Science Integrating Point and Nonpoint Sources
BMP	Best Management Practice
CBOD5	5-Day Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand
CBODu	Carbonaceous Ultimate Biochemical Oxygen Demand
CWA	
DMR	
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
GIS	
HUC	
LA	
MARIS	
MDEQ	
MGD	
MOS	
NBODu	
NH3	
NH3-N	
NO <sub>2</sub> + NO <sub>3</sub>	
NPDES	
RBA	

TBOD5	
TBODu	Total Ultimate Biochemical Oxygen Demand
TKN	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen
TN	
ТОС	
ТР	
USGS	United States Geological Survey
WLA	
WWTP	

# Appendix A

Table A-1. DO Data from Hickahala Creek Station 07277530					
Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)			
6/3/1988	6:30	7.8			
6/3/1988	12:40	9.1			
6/3/1988	18:30	8.1			
6/4/1988	0:45	8			
6/4/1988	6:40	8.3			
6/4/1988	12:40	9.1			
6/4/1988	18:30	8.1			
6/5/1988	0:30	7.3			
6/5/1988	6:30	8.2			
9/13/1988	6:00	7			
9/13/1988	12:00	9.2			
9/13/1988	18:00	9.3			
9/14/1988		7.5			
9/14/1988	6:30	7.1			
9/14/1988	12:00	9.5			
9/14/1988	18:00	8.7			
9/15/1988		7.4			
9/15/1988	6:00	7.4			
10/25/1988	6:00	9.4			
10/25/1988	12:00	10.4			
10/25/1988	18:00	9.7			
10/26/1988		9.2			
10/26/1988	6:00	10			
10/26/1988	12:00	10.9			
10/26/1988	18:00	10.2			
10/27/1988		9.5			
10/27/1988	6:00	9.8			
4/3/1989	18:30	9.3			
4/4/1989	0:30	10.3			
4/4/1989	6:30	10.2			
4/4/1989	12:30	10.2			
4/4/1989	18:25	10.1			
4/5/1989	0:30	10			
4/5/1989	6:30	11.4			
4/5/1989	12:30	12.1			
4/5/1989	18:30	11.1			
4/25/1990	18:20	8.2			
4/26/1990	0:20	8			
4/26/1990	6:20	8.2			
4/26/1990	12:20	8.9			
4/26/1990	18:20	8.1			
4/27/1990	0:20	8			
4/27/1990	6:00	8.5			

 Table A-1. DO Data from Hickahala Creek Station 07277530

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
4/27/1990	12:20	8.4
4/27/1990	18:20	8.1
4/28/1990	0:20	7.9
4/28/1990	6:20	8.2
4/28/1990	12:20	8.8
4/28/1990	18:20	8.5
4/29/1990	0:20	8.2
4/29/1990	6:20	8.8
4/29/1990	12:20	9.1
4/29/1990	18:20	8.4
4/30/1990	0:20	8.2
1/9/1991	12:30	11
1/9/1991	18:30	10.2
1/10/1991	0:30	10.2
1/10/1991	6:30	10.4
1/10/1991	12:30	10.4
1/10/1991	12:30	9.7
1/11/1991		
	0:30	10.8
1/11/1991 1/11/1991	12:30	11
7/16/1991	12:30	8.8
7/16/1991	18:30	8.2
7/17/1991	0:30	7.4
7/17/1991	6:30	7.6
7/17/1991	12:30	8.3
7/17/1991	18:30	7.5
7/18/1991	0:30	7.2
7/18/1991	6:30	7.5
7/18/1991	12:30	8.4
10/22/1991	12:30	10.5
10/22/1991	18:30	8
10/23/1991	0:30	7.8
10/23/1991	6:30	8
10/23/1991	12:30	8.9
10/23/1991	18:30	8.2
10/24/1991	0:30	7.3
10/24/1991	6:30	7.8
10/24/1991	12:30	9.6
6/2/1992	18:30	8.4
6/3/1992	0:30	7.9
6/3/1992	6:30	8.6
6/3/1992	13:15	8.1
6/3/1992	18:30	7.8
6/4/1992	0:30	7.9

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
6/4/1992	6:30	7.6
6/4/1992	12:30	7.5
6/4/1992	18:30	7.4
3/30/1993	15:30	8.8
3/30/1993	18:30	8.8
3/30/1993	21:30	8.1
3/31/1993	0:30	9
3/31/1993	3:30	9
3/31/1993	6:30	8.5
3/31/1993	9:30	9
3/31/1993	12:30	8.8
3/31/1993	15:30	8.4
3/31/1993	18:30	10.6
3/31/1993	21:30	9
4/1/1993	0:30	10
4/1/1993	3:30	8.8
4/1/1993	6:30	8.8
4/1/1993	9:30	9.1
4/1/1993	12:30	9.6
4/1/1993	15:30	9.2
1/26/1994	19:00	10.5
1/26/1994	22:00	11.2
1/27/1994	1:00	11
1/27/1994	4:00	9.4
1/27/1994	7:00	9.6
1/27/1994	10:00	10.1
1/27/1994	13:00	9.8
1/27/1994	19:00	11.2
1/27/1994	22:00	11.8
1/28/1994	1:00	11.2
1/28/1994	4:00	11.6
1/28/1994	7:00	11.2
1/28/1994	10:00	10.6
1/28/1994	13:00	10.6
1/28/1994	16:00	10.6
1/28/1994	19:00	10.6
2/15/1995	6:30	7.8
2/15/1995	9:30	8.2
2/15/1995	12:30	8.4
2/15/1995	15:30	11.2
2/15/1995	18:30	10
2/15/1995	21:30	9.2
2/16/1995	0:30	8.4
2/16/1995	3:30	9

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
2/16/1995	6:30	10.2
2/16/1995	9:30	10.2
2/16/1995	12:30	11.2
2/16/1995	15:30	11.1
2/16/1995	18:30	10.4
2/16/1995	21:30	10.2
2/17/1995	0:30	10.2
2/17/1995	3:30	11.4
2/17/1995	6:30	10.3
10/31/1995	6:30	8.4
10/31/1995	9:30	8.8
10/31/1995	12:30	9
10/31/1995	15:30	8.7
10/31/1995	18:30	9.2
10/31/1995	21:30	8.2
11/1/1995	0:30	8.4
11/1/1995	3:30	7.9
11/1/1995	6:30	7.8
11/1/1995	9:30	8.4
11/1/1995	12:30	8.8
11/1/1995	15:30	8.5
11/1/1995	18:30	8.5
11/1/1995	21:30	8.2
11/2/1995	0:30	8.4
11/2/1995	3:30	8.5
11/2/1995	6:30	7.8

	from Hickahala Cre	
Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
6/3/1988	6:00	7.2
6/3/1988	12:00	10.4
6/3/1988	18:00	
6/4/1988	6:00	7.7
6/4/1988	12:00	10.4
6/4/1988	18:00	
6/5/1988	6:00	7.3
9/13/1988	6:30	7.5
9/13/1988	12:20	9.1
9/13/1988	18:15	8.9
9/14/1988	0:15	7.3
9/14/1988	6:00	7.1
9/14/1988	12:15	9.9
9/14/1988	18:15	9
9/15/1988	0:15	7.2
9/15/1988	6:15	7.3
10/25/1988	6:20	7.7
10/25/1988	12:15	9.9
10/25/1988	18:15	10.6
10/26/1988	0:15	8.6
10/26/1988	6:30	8.7
10/26/1988	12:15	9.6
10/26/1988	18:15	10.8
10/27/1988	0:15	9.1
10/27/1988	6:15	8.9
4/3/1989	18:00	9.5
4/4/1989	6:00	10.5
4/4/1989	12:00	9.9
4/4/1989	18:00	9.3
4/5/1989	6:00	11.3
4/5/1989	12:00	13.6
4/5/1989	18:00	11.3
4/25/1990	18:00	7.8
4/26/1990	6:00	8.3
4/26/1990	12:00	8.2
4/26/1990	18:00	8
4/27/1990	6:30	8.5
4/27/1990	12:00	9.5
4/27/1990	18:00	8
4/28/1990	6:00	9
4/28/1990	12:00	8.8
4/28/1990	18:00	8.5
4/29/1990	6:00	9.1

Table A-2. DO Data from Hickahala Creek Station 07277520

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
4/29/1990	12:00	8.6
4/29/1990	18:00	8.3
1/9/1991	12:00	11.2
1/9/1991	18:00	10.8
1/10/1991	6:00	10.8
1/10/1991	12:00	12
1/10/1991	18:00	10.1
1/11/1991	6:00	10.8
1/11/1991	12:00	11.8
7/16/1991	12:00	9
7/16/1991	18:00	8.4
7/17/1991	6:00	7.6
7/17/1991	12:00	8.8
7/17/1991	18:00	8
7/18/1991	6:00	7.2
7/18/1991	12:00	8.9
10/22/1991	12:00	10.2
10/22/1991	18:00	9.4
10/23/1991	6:00	7.9
10/23/1991	12:00	8.6
10/23/1991	18:00	8.4
10/24/1991	6:00	7
10/24/1991	12:00	8.4
6/2/1992	18:00	8.5
6/3/1992	6:00	8.4
6/3/1992	12:00	8.1
6/3/1992	18:00	8
6/4/1992	6:00	7.6
6/4/1992	12:00	7.7
6/4/1992	18:00	7.9
3/30/1993	15:00	11.1
3/30/1993	18:00	8.3
3/30/1993	21:00	8.3
3/31/1993	3:00	9.2
3/31/1993	6:00	8.7
3/31/1993	9:00	9
3/31/1993	12:00	9.5
3/31/1993	15:00	8.6
3/31/1993	18:00	10
3/31/1993	21:00	9.7
4/1/1993	3:00	8.8
4/1/1993	6:00	8.4
4/1/1993	9:00	9
4/1/1993	12:00	9.2

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
4/1/1993	15:00	9
1/26/1994	18:00	11.2
1/26/1994	21:00	12.2
1/27/1994	3:00	8.2
1/27/1994	6:00	10.6
1/27/1994	9:00	10.9
1/27/1994	12:00	10
1/27/1994	15:00	9.5
1/27/1994	18:00	11.2
1/27/1994	21:00	11.4
1/28/1994	3:00	11.8
1/28/1994	6:00	11.4
1/28/1994	9:00	10.6
1/28/1994	12:00	10.6
1/28/1994	15:00	10.8
1/28/1994	18:00	10.4
2/15/1995	6:00	7.2
2/15/1995	9:00	8.6
2/15/1995	12:00	11.8
2/15/1995	15:00	12
2/15/1995	18:00	13.2
2/15/1995	21:00	13.4
2/16/1995	3:00	9.6
2/16/1995	6:00	11.2
2/16/1995	9:00	13
2/16/1995	12:00	12.4
2/16/1995	15:00	11.6
2/16/1995	18:00	11
2/16/1995	21:00	11
2/17/1995	3:00	10.2
2/17/1995	6:00	12.3
10/31/1995	6:00	7.2
10/31/1995	9:00	7.9
10/31/1995	12:00	8.6
10/31/1995	15:00	9.2
10/31/1995	18:00	8
10/31/1995	21:00	8
11/1/1995	3:00	7.4
11/1/1995	6:00	7.8
11/1/1995	9:00	7.4
11/1/1995	12:00	8.2
11/1/1995	15:00	8.5
11/1/1995	18:00	8.7
11/1/1995	21:00	7.6

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
11/2/19	95 3:00	7.4
11/2/19	95 6:00	6.8

<b>Sample Date</b> 2/2/1988	<b>Sample Time</b> 10:20	DO (mg/L)
2/2/1988	10.20	
	10.20	9.9
2/16/1988	15:00	8.6
3/1/1988	11:20	10
3/15/1988	9:30	11.6
3/30/1988	8:50	8.9
4/27/1988	13:50	9.2
5/11/1988	9:45	7.6
5/24/1988	9:00	8.1
6/3/1988	6:00	6.9
6/3/1988	7:00	6.9
6/3/1988	8:00	6.9
6/3/1988	9:00	7.2
6/3/1988	10:00	7.6
6/3/1988	11:00	8.1
6/3/1988	12:00	8.4
6/3/1988	13:00	8.6
6/3/1988	14:00	8.8
6/3/1988	15:00	8.9
6/3/1988	16:00	8.6
6/3/1988	17:00	7.4
6/3/1988	18:00	6.8
6/3/1988	19:00	7
6/3/1988	20:00	6.9
6/3/1988	21:00	6.7
6/3/1988	22:00	6.5
6/3/1988	23:00	6.3
6/4/1988		6.2
6/4/1988	1:00	6.2
6/4/1988	2:00	6.3
6/4/1988	3:00	6.3
6/4/1988	4:00	6.4
6/4/1988	5:00	6.4
6/4/1988	6:00	6
6/4/1988	7:00	6.2
6/4/1988	8:00	6.4
6/4/1988	9:00	6.3
6/4/1988	10:00	6.7
6/4/1988	11:00	7
6/4/1988	12:00	7.4
6/4/1988	13:00	7.7
6/4/1988	14:00	7.9
6/4/1988	15:00	8
6/4/1988	16:00	8

Table A-3. DO Data from Hickahala Creek Station 07277700

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
6/4/1988	17:00	7.2
6/4/1988	18:00	6
6/4/1988	19:00	6
6/4/1988	20:00	6.2
6/4/1988	21:00	6.2
6/4/1988	22:00	6
6/4/1988	23:00	5.8
6/5/1988		5.9
6/5/1988	1:00	5.9
6/5/1988	2:00	6.1
6/5/1988	3:00	6.3
6/5/1988	4:00	6.5
6/5/1988	5:00	6.6
6/5/1988	6:00	6.8
6/5/1988	6:20	6.7
6/7/1988	16:30	7.3
6/23/1988	8:10	6.8
7/20/1988	8:15	6.4
8/3/1988	18:00	6
8/18/1988	10:05	5.6
8/31/1988	9:00	6.7
9/12/1988	17:30	6.8
9/13/1988	7:00	7.4
9/13/1988	8:00	7.2
9/13/1988	9:00	7
9/13/1988	10:00	6.9
9/13/1988	11:00	7.1
9/13/1988	12:00	8
9/13/1988	13:00	8.9
9/13/1988	14:00	9
9/13/1988	15:00	9.1
9/13/1988	16:00	9.5
9/13/1988	17:00	9.4
9/13/1988	18:00	9.3
9/13/1988	19:00	8.8
9/13/1988	20:00	8.5
9/13/1988	21:00	8.4
9/13/1988	22:00	8.3
9/13/1988	23:00	7.9
9/14/1988		7.8
9/14/1988	1:00	7.2
9/14/1988	2:00	6.7
9/14/1988	3:00	6.7
9/14/1988	4:00	6.8

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
9/14/1988	5:00	7
9/14/1988	6:00	7.1
9/14/1988	7:00	7.1
9/14/1988	8:00	7.1
9/14/1988	9:00	6.9
9/14/1988	10:00	6.9
9/14/1988	11:00	7.1
9/14/1988	12:00	8.1
9/14/1988	13:00	8.1
9/14/1988	14:00	8.5
9/14/1988	15:00	8.5
9/14/1988	16:00	9.2
9/14/1988	17:00	9.4
9/14/1988	18:00	9.4
9/14/1988	19:00	9
9/14/1988	20:00	8.6
9/14/1988	20:00	8.4
9/14/1988	22:00	8.2
9/14/1988	22:00	7.7
9/15/1988	23.00	7.8
9/15/1988	1:00	7.2
9/15/1988	2:00	7
9/15/1988	3:00	7
9/15/1988	4:00	7.1
9/15/1988	5:00	7.3
9/15/1988	6:00	7.4
10/3/1988	10:20	7.5
10/19/1988	9:00	8.8
10/25/1988	6:00	6.8
10/25/1988	7:00	7.8
10/25/1988	8:00	8
10/25/1988	9:00	8.2
10/25/1988	10:00	8.8
10/25/1988	11:00	8.8
10/25/1988	12:00	7.5
10/25/1988	13:00	9.4
10/25/1988	14:00	9.7
10/25/1988	15:00	9.7
10/25/1988	16:00	9.4
10/25/1988	17:00	9.4
10/25/1988	18:00	8.6
10/25/1988	19:00	9.1
10/25/1988	20:00	8.7
10/25/1988	21:00	8.1

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
10/25/1988	22:00	7.8
10/25/1988	23:00	7.6
10/26/1988		7.6
10/26/1988	1:00	7.3
10/26/1988	2:00	7.2
10/26/1988	3:00	7.2
10/26/1988	4:00	7.2
10/26/1988	5:00	7.3
10/26/1988	6:00	7.5
10/26/1988	7:00	7.5
10/26/1988	8:00	7.6
10/26/1988	9:00	7.9
10/26/1988	10:00	8.1
10/26/1988	11:00	8.4
10/26/1988	12:00	7.7
10/26/1988	13:00	9.5
10/26/1988	14:00	9.9
10/26/1988	15:00	10
10/26/1988	16:00	9.5
10/26/1988	17:00	9.2
10/26/1988	18:00	8.7
10/26/1988	19:00	9.1
10/26/1988	20:00	8.8
10/26/1988	21:00	8.5
10/26/1988	22:00	8.2
10/26/1988	23:00	7.9
10/27/1988		8.5
10/27/1988	1:00	7.7
10/27/1988	2:00	7.6
10/27/1988	3:00	7.7
10/27/1988	4:00	7.8
10/27/1988	5:00	7.9
10/27/1988	6:00	7.3
11/3/1988	12:50	8.9
11/17/1988	9:35	8.3
11/29/1988	17:15	10.1
12/14/1988	8:45	10.6
12/29/1988	8:45	10.7
1/25/1989	16:40	9.8
2/15/1989	11:00	8.6
2/28/1989	19:30	10.8
3/7/1989	17:20	11.3
3/22/1989	7:35	10.8
4/3/1989	18:00	8.4

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
4/3/1989	19:00	7.8
4/3/1989	20:00	7.7
4/3/1989	21:00	7.7
4/3/1989	22:00	7.8
4/3/1989	23:00	7.8
4/4/1989		7.3
4/4/1989	1:00	7.9
4/4/1989	2:00	7.9
4/4/1989	3:00	7.8
4/4/1989	4:00	8
4/4/1989	5:00	7.9
4/4/1989	6:00	7.6
4/4/1989	7:00	7.9
4/4/1989	8:00	7.9
4/4/1989	9:00	7.9
4/4/1989	10:00	8
4/4/1989	11:00	8.1
4/4/1989	12:00	8.6
4/4/1989	13:00	8.2
4/4/1989	14:00	8.2
4/4/1989	15:00	8.2
4/4/1989	16:00	8.1
4/4/1989	17:00	8
4/4/1989	18:00	8.4
4/4/1989	19:00	7.9
4/4/1989	20:00	7.9
4/4/1989	21:00	7.7
4/4/1989	22:00	7.8
4/4/1989	23:00	7.9
4/5/1989		8.1
4/5/1989	1:00	8.1
4/5/1989	2:00	8.2
4/5/1989	3:00	8.3
4/5/1989	4:00	8.4
4/5/1989	5:00	8.6
4/5/1989	6:00	8.8
4/5/1989	7:00	8.8
4/5/1989	8:00	8.9
4/5/1989	9:00	9
4/5/1989	10:00	9
4/5/1989	11:00	9
4/5/1989	12:00	9.3
4/5/1989	13:00	8.8
4/5/1989	14:00	8.6

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
4/5/1989	15:00	8.5
4/5/1989	16:00	8.3
4/5/1989	17:00	8.2
4/5/1989	18:00	8.9
4/12/1989	11:35	10.8
4/19/1989	10:15	9.6
5/10/1989	13:25	7
5/17/1989	14:45	8.3
5/31/1989	14:50	7.4
6/14/1989	13:25	6.4
6/27/1989	14:55	6.8
7/11/1989	12:25	7.6
7/26/1989	12:05	8.1
8/9/1989	17:15	10
8/22/1989	17:15	7
9/5/1989	12:35	7.4
9/19/1989	14:05	8.9
10/3/1989	16:30	9
10/17/1989	9:15	9.7
11/1/1989	7:50	9.2
11/15/1989	7:55	7
11/29/1989	11:00	11.6
12/13/1989	16:25	11.6
1/4/1990	7:40	10.6
1/10/1990	8:45	10.2
1/23/1990	9:50	10.5
2/5/1990	7:15	10.8
2/21/1990	7:40	9.9
3/7/1990	9:00	9
3/21/1990	17:30	10.8
4/3/1990	17:05	10.8
4/18/1990	11:45	12.2
4/25/1990	18:00	7.5
4/26/1990		6.3
4/26/1990	6:00	8.2
4/26/1990	12:00	8
4/26/1990	18:00	9.2
4/27/1990		8.7
4/27/1990	6:00	7.2
4/27/1990	12:00	8.6
4/27/1990	18:00	9.5
4/28/1990		9.4
4/28/1990	6:00	9.2
4/28/1990	12:00	9.3

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
4/28/1990	18:00	8.8
4/29/1990		8.6
4/29/1990	6:00	8.6
4/29/1990	12:00	9.5
4/29/1990	18:00	9.2
4/30/1990		8.4
4/30/1990	8:25	9.6
5/16/1990	8:30	6.7
5/31/1990	9:50	8
6/13/1990	16:45	7.8
6/26/1990	9:40	7.5
7/11/1990	13:30	8.9
7/24/1990	17:30	8.6
8/6/1990	11:30	7.8
8/21/1990	16:00	8.1
9/5/1990	8:55	6.5
9/19/1990	12:00	7.8
11/14/1990	9:50	8.7
11/28/1990	10:00	6.6
12/10/1990	17:15	11.3
12/17/1990	16:30	9
1/9/1991	10:00	12.1
1/9/1991	12:00	10.5
1/9/1991	18:00	10.8
1/10/1991		10
1/10/1991	6:00	10
1/10/1991	12:00	11.2
1/10/1991	18:00	10.6
1/11/1991		9.8
1/11/1991	6:00	9.6
1/11/1991	12:00	9.7
1/23/1991	10:00	9.8
2/5/1991	13:00	9.1
2/20/1991	9:00	9.4
2/26/1991	14:00	12.7
3/5/1991	14:15	10.2
3/19/1991	9:35	11.3
4/2/1991	11:45	9.6
4/17/1991	10:30	8.2
5/2/1991	17:00	8.8
5/15/1991	10:15	7.5
5/28/1991	13:45	7.8
6/12/1991	9:30	7.6
6/25/1991	17:00	7.3

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
7/9/1991	13:30	10.2
7/16/1991	12:30	9.8
7/16/1991	18:30	7.7
7/17/1991	0:30	6.9
7/17/1991	6:30	8.4
7/17/1991	12:30	8.6
7/17/1991	18:30	8
7/18/1991	0:30	6.7
7/18/1991	6:30	7
7/18/1991	12:30	8
7/24/1991	8:45	8.2
	11:20	
8/7/1991 8/13/1991	13:10	8.4
8/21/1991	15:40	9.2
9/4/1991	8:00	7.3
9/17/1991	14:20	9.6
10/1/1991	12:00	9.8
10/17/1991	9:15	9.6
10/22/1991	12:30	9.8
10/22/1991	18:30	8.9
10/23/1991	0:30	7.2
10/23/1991	6:30	7.4
10/23/1991	12:30	8.7
10/23/1991	18:30	8.1
10/24/1991	0:30	6.4
10/24/1991	6:30	7
10/24/1991	12:30	8.5
10/29/1991	15:00	6.9
11/13/1991	10:15	11.4
12/4/1991	12:50	11.1
12/10/1991	10:45	9.4
12/17/1991	13:00	9.9
1/7/1992	10:00	10.5
1/21/1992	16:00	12.2
2/5/1992	8:00	10
2/20/1992	8:00	10.9
3/5/1992	13:30	9.4
3/17/1992	12:50	9.6
4/1/1992	13:30	8.7
4/14/1992	15:25	9.9
4/28/1992	8:55	8.2
5/7/1992	7:15	8.1
5/12/1992	16:00	10.2
6/2/1992	18:30	10.2

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
6/3/1992	0:30	8.3
6/3/1992	6:30	8.1
6/3/1992	12:30	8.5
6/3/1992	18:30	7.5
6/4/1992	0:30	6.5
6/4/1992	6:30	7.5
6/4/1992	12:30	7.8
6/4/1992	18:30	7
6/10/1992	17:00	6.5
6/15/1992	13:40	7.9
6/23/1992	10:05	7.6
7/7/1992	14:30	7
7/22/1992	13:45	7
8/3/1992	18:45	7
8/19/1992	11:45	8.5
9/2/1992	8:30	7.6
9/11/1992	9:00	8.4
10/14/1992	13:00	8.5
10/28/1992	8:50	7
11/10/1992	9:00	6.2
11/24/1992	10:55	9.6
12/9/1992	14:30	12.1
12/17/1992	8:50	10.2
1/6/1993	15:50	7.9
1/20/1993	9:40	8.1
2/3/1993	9:30	10.9
2/16/1993	14:30	9.3
3/2/1993	11:00	9.8
3/16/1993	9:40	12.8
3/30/1993	15:30	9.1
3/30/1993	18:00	8.4
3/30/1993	21:00	7.5
3/31/1993		7.5
3/31/1993	3:00	7.4
3/31/1993	6:30	7.7
3/31/1993	9:30	8
3/31/1993	12:30	7.7
3/31/1993	15:30	7.4
3/31/1993	18:00	7.8
3/31/1993	21:00	7.4
4/1/1993		7.5
4/1/1993	3:00	7.7
4/1/1993	6:30	8.4
4/1/1993	9:30	8.8

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
4/1/1993	12:30	8.8
4/1/1993	15:30	8.8
4/7/1993	14:30	11.9
4/22/1993	14:15	10.5
4/28/1993	10:00	8.8
5/11/1993	14:30	7.5
5/18/1993	9:00	6
6/16/1993	9:10	7.9
6/24/1993	9:00	7.4
7/8/1993	8:10	7.1
7/20/1993	7:30	6.8
8/4/1993	8:45	6.1
8/24/1993	10:00	7.1
9/2/1993	8:30	6.2
9/8/1993	17:30	10.1
9/14/1993	17:00	7
10/5/1993	12:15	9.2
10/18/1993	18:15	5.9
11/5/1993	8:00	9
11/16/1993	9:20	7.6
11/30/1993	11:45	10.6
12/14/1993	10:45	10.5
1/3/1994	16:00	11.9
1/12/1994	12:00	10.4
1/26/1994	18:30	9
1/26/1994	21:30	9.6
1/27/1994	0:30	8.6
1/27/1994	3:30	8.8
1/27/1994	6:30	9
1/27/1994	9:30	10.2
1/27/1994	12:30	9.5
1/27/1994	15:30	9.8
1/27/1994	18:30	8.9
1/27/1994	21:30	9.6
1/28/1994	0:30	9.9
1/28/1994	3:30	8.9
1/28/1994	6:30	9
1/28/1994	9:30	8.4
1/28/1994	12:30	9.2
1/28/1994	15:30	9.2
1/28/1994	18:30	9.6
2/16/1994	15:00	10.2
2/24/1994	16:20	10.1
3/9/1994	11:10	12.4

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
3/23/1994	10:15	10
4/7/1994	9:30	9.3
4/20/1994	8:30	8.5
5/10/1994	9:00	7.6
5/17/1994	9:20	8
5/31/1994	12:30	7
6/14/1994	11:00	8.2
6/28/1994	12:15	5.8
7/15/1994	11:00	7.5
8/3/1994	16:20	9.6
8/10/1994	10:00	8.1
8/25/1994	8:30	7.7
9/7/1994	15:30	10.1
9/21/1994	12:00	8
10/5/1994	10:00	9.4
10/18/1994	9:30	8.4
11/2/1994	13:30	10.7
11/14/1994	12:40	10.7
11/29/1994	14:30	8.8
12/14/1994	10:45	11.8
1/4/1995	15:15	11.4
1/12/1995	11:15	9.2
1/24/1995	12:00	11.9
2/7/1995	14:30	11.5
2/15/1995	6:30	10.4
2/15/1995	9:30	10.6
2/15/1995	12:30	10.4
2/15/1995	15:30	10
2/15/1995	18:30	10.2
2/15/1995	21:30	9.1
2/16/1995	0:30	10.2
2/16/1995	3:30	9.7
2/16/1995	6:30	10.2
2/16/1995	9:30	9.7
2/16/1995	12:30	9.9
2/16/1995	15:30	10
2/16/1995	18:30	11.6
2/16/1995	21:30	10.4
2/17/1995	0:30	10.2
2/17/1995	3:30	10.5
2/17/1995	6:30	10.4
2/23/1995	7:00	10.1
3/7/1995	15:30	9.1
3/23/1995	9:15	10

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
3/28/1995	9:45	10.5
4/11/1995	11:00	9.5
4/18/1995	15:00	8.9
5/15/1995	16:30	8
6/1/1995	10:20	7.1
6/12/1995	13:30	7.3
6/28/1995	11:00	8
7/11/1995	13:35	6.7
7/27/1995	9:15	7.5
8/7/1995	15:30	7
8/15/1995	10:30	8
8/23/1995	13:45	7.7
9/6/1995	12:30	8.3
9/19/1995	10:45	8.7
10/2/1995	10:45	8.3
10/18/1995	9:30	10.2
10/31/1995	6:30	8.4
10/31/1995	9:00	10
10/31/1995	9:30	8.6
10/31/1995	12:30	8.9
10/31/1995	15:30	8.5
10/31/1995	18:30	9.1
10/31/1995	21:30	9.2
11/1/1995	0:30	9.4
11/1/1995	3:30	9.3
11/1/1995	6:30	8.3
11/1/1995	9:30	8.9
11/1/1995	12:30	9.2
11/1/1995	15:30	7.8
11/1/1995	18:30	8.8
11/1/1995	21:30	8
11/2/1995	0:30	8.6
11/2/1995	3:30	8.4
11/27/1995	12:00	5.9
12/5/1995	9:30	9.2
12/13/1995	12:45	11.5
2/27/1996	14:30	9
5/22/1996	16:15	9.6
8/12/1996	17:30	6.7

Table A-4. DO Data from Senatobia Creek Station 07277730		
Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
2/2/1988	12:45	10.8
2/16/1988	16:30	10.4
3/1/1988	12:35	9.9
3/15/1988	12:30	11.6
3/30/1988	10:10	9.4
4/5/1988	16:00	8.3
4/27/1988	14:55	9.8
5/11/1988	10:20	10.1
5/24/1988	10:25	9
6/3/1988	6:00	7.3
6/3/1988	6:15	7.3
6/3/1988	7:00	7.6
6/3/1988	8:00	8.1
6/3/1988	9:00	9
6/3/1988	10:00	10
6/3/1988	11:00	10.6
6/3/1988	12:00	11
6/3/1988	12:15	11
6/3/1988	13:00	11.2
6/3/1988	14:00	11.2
6/3/1988	15:00	11.3
6/3/1988	16:00	11.5
6/3/1988	17:00	10.6
6/3/1988	18:00	10.0
6/3/1988	18:30	10
6/3/1988	19:00	9.4
6/3/1988	20:00	8.2
6/3/1988	21:00	7.2
6/3/1988	22:00	6.6
6/3/1988	23:00	6.6
6/4/1988	23.00	6.6
6/4/1988	0:30	6.6
6/4/1988	1:00	6.5
6/4/1988	2:00	6.6
6/4/1988	3:00	6.4
6/4/1988	4:00	6.6
6/4/1988	5:00	6.7
6/4/1988	6:00	6.5
6/4/1988	6:25	6.5
6/4/1988	7:00	6.9
6/4/1988	8:00	7.5
6/4/1988	9:00	8.1
6/4/1988	10:00	8.1
6/4/1988	11:00	9.6 10
6/4/1988	12:00	
6/4/1988	12:30	10
6/4/1988	13:00	10
6/4/1988	14:00	10
6/4/1988	15:00	9.8

 Table A-4. DO Data from Senatobia Creek Station 07277730

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
6/4/1988	16:00	9.5
6/4/1988	17:00	9
6/4/1988	18:00	8.4
6/4/1988	18:30	8.4
6/4/1988	19:00	7.7
6/4/1988	20:00	7
6/4/1988	21:00	6.2
6/4/1988	22:00	6
6/4/1988	23:00	6.1
6/5/1988	23.00	6.1
6/5/1988	0:30	6.1
6/5/1988	1:00	6.3
6/5/1988	2:00	6.4
6/5/1988	3:00	6.7
6/5/1988	4:00	6.9
6/5/1988	5:00	7.1
6/5/1988	6:00	7.1
6/5/1988	6:35	7.1
6/8/1988	7:45	8.4
6/23/1988	8:30	8.1
7/20/1988	11:45	8.1
8/4/1988	7:10	5.1
8/18/1988	8:40	<u> </u>
8/31/1988	12:30	8.8
9/12/1988	12:30	6.4
9/12/1988	6:00	8.4
		8.4
9/13/1988	6:20	8
9/13/1988	7:00	
9/13/1988	8:00	7.9
9/13/1988	9:00	7.5
9/13/1988	10:00	7.5
9/13/1988	11:00	7.7
9/13/1988	12:00	8.3
9/13/1988	12:20	8.3
9/13/1988	13:00	8.8
9/13/1988	14:00	9.3
9/13/1988	15:00	9.3
9/13/1988	16:00	9.4
9/13/1988	17:00	9.1
9/13/1988	18:00	8.8
9/13/1988	18:20	8.8
9/13/1988	19:00	8.7
9/13/1988	20:00	8.4
9/13/1988	21:00	8.2
9/13/1988	22:00	7.9
9/13/1988	23:00	7.7
9/14/1988	0.00	7.5
9/14/1988	0:20	7.5
9/14/1988	1:00	6.9
9/14/1988	2:00	6.7

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
9/14/1988	3:00	6.5
9/14/1988	4:00	6.3
9/14/1988	5:00	6.2
9/14/1988	6:00	6.3
9/14/1988	6:20	6.3
9/14/1988	7:00	6.2
9/14/1988	8:00	6.4
9/14/1988	9:00	6.3
9/14/1988	10:00	6.8
9/14/1988	11:00	7.3
9/14/1988	12:00	8.4
9/14/1988	12:00	8.4
9/14/1988	12:20	8.9
9/14/1988	14:00	9.1
9/14/1988	14.00	9.6
9/14/1988	15:00	9.0
9/14/1988	17:00 18:00	9.5
9/14/1988		9.1
9/14/1988	18:20	9.1
9/14/1988	19:00	8.8
9/14/1988	20:00	8.7
9/14/1988	21:00	8.4
9/14/1988	22:00	8.2
9/14/1988	23:00	8.1
9/15/1988	0.00	7.7
9/15/1988	0:20	7.7
9/15/1988	1:00	7.1
9/15/1988	2:00	7
9/15/1988	3:00	6.6
9/15/1988	4:00	6.4
9/15/1988	5:00	6.3
9/15/1988	6:00	6.4
9/15/1988	6:20	6.4
10/3/1988	13:00	8.6
10/19/1988	9:30	9
10/25/1988	6:25	7.2
10/25/1988	12:15	8.6
10/25/1988	18:15	10.3
10/26/1988	0:15	9.2
10/26/1988	6:15	7.8
10/26/1988	12:20	8.7
10/26/1988	18:15	11
10/27/1988	0:15	9.7
10/27/1988	6:15	8.2
11/3/1988	13:20	9.8
11/17/1988	12:45	9.6
11/30/1988	8:45	10.2
12/13/1988	17:00	11.6
1/26/1989	7:15	10.4
2/15/1989	14:30	10.5

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
2/28/1989	18:00	10.2
3/7/1989	17:50	12.7
3/22/1989	10:40	11.4
4/3/1989	18:30	9.1
4/4/1989	0:30	8
4/4/1989	6:30	7.8
4/4/1989	12:30	8.8
4/4/1989	18:30	8.1
4/5/1989	0:30	8.2
4/5/1989	6:30	9
4/5/1989	12:30	9.7
4/5/1989	18:30	9
4/12/1989	13:00	12.3
4/19/1989	13:00	10.1
5/10/1989	16:05	8.9
5/17/1989	15:35	10
5/31/1989	15:50	10.4
6/14/1989	17:00	6.9
6/27/1989	16:25	7.9
7/11/1989	14:30	7.8
7/26/1989	15:10	8.8
8/9/1989	15:00	10.2
8/22/1989	18:15	8.8
9/5/1989	14:55	7.6
9/19/1989	14.55	8.3
10/2/1989	15:30	8.9
10/17/1989	12:45	5.9
11/1/1989	9:25	8.2
11/15/1989	9:30	6.9
11/13/1989	13:30	11.4
12/13/1989	16:00	11.4
1/4/1990 1/10/1990	8:25 9:45	10.6 10.2
1/10/1990		10.2
	10:40	
2/5/1990	8:15	10.7
2/21/1990	8:40	9.9 9
3/7/1990 3/21/1990	10:30 15:50	8.6
		8.0 10.5
4/4/1990 4/18/1990	8:30	
	12:15	11.5
4/25/1990	18:25	11
4/26/1990 4/26/1990	0:15	7.2
4/26/1990	6:15	7.8
	12:15	
4/26/1990	18:15	11.3
4/27/1990	0:30	8
4/27/1990	6:15	7.1
4/27/1990	12:15	10.8
4/27/1990	18:15	10.4
4/28/1990	0:15	10

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
4/28/1990	6:15	8.9
4/28/1990	12:15	9.3
4/28/1990	18:15	9.2
4/29/1990	0:15	8.9
4/29/1990	6:15	9
4/29/1990	12:15	9.3
4/29/1990	18:15	9
4/30/1990	0:15	9
4/30/1990	9:05	8.3
5/16/1990	11:00	8.4
5/31/1990	10:30	8.4
6/14/1990	8:25	6.1
6/25/1990	11:30	8.1
7/11/1990	14:10	7.8
7/24/1990	18:15	10
8/6/1990	14:10	9.8
8/21/1990	16:45	9
9/5/1990	9:55	6.7
9/19/1990	13:00	9
11/14/1990	12:50	10.2
11/28/1990	10:40	7.3
12/10/1990	18:00	11.1
12/17/1990	15:45	9.7
1/9/1991	10:45	11.6
1/9/1991	12:30	10.7
1/9/1991	18:30	10.6
1/10/1991	0:30	10.2
1/10/1991	6:30	10.4
1/10/1991	12:30	11.3
1/10/1991	18:30	10.8
1/11/1991	0:30	10.4
1/11/1991	6:30	10
1/11/1991	12:30	10.2
1/23/1991	11:00	12.3
2/6/1991	13:45	9.6
2/20/1991	10:45	11.7
2/26/1991	14:00	11.9
3/5/1991	15:15	10
3/19/1991	12:20	12.1
4/2/1991	12:45	9.9
4/17/1991	11:00	8
5/2/1991	18:30	9.4
5/15/1991	9:30	7.7
5/28/1991	15:00	7.7
6/12/1991	11:30	8.7
6/25/1991	17:50	8.5
7/9/1991	14:30	11.3
7/16/1991	13:00	9.5
7/16/1991	19:00	9.6
7/17/1991	1:00	7.2

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
7/17/1991	7:00	8.3
7/17/1991	13:00	9.2
7/17/1991	19:00	9.5
7/18/1991	1:00	7.4
7/18/1991	7:00	6.6
7/18/1991	13:00	8.5
7/24/1991	7:30	6
8/7/1991	12:00	8.4
8/13/1991	13:30	9.4
8/21/1991	16:00	10.4
9/4/1991	9:50	6.6
9/17/1991	15:05	9.4
10/1/1991	14:00	8.5
10/17/1991	9:50	9.4
10/22/1991	13:00	10
10/22/1991	19:00	10.6
10/23/1991	1:00	8.6
10/23/1991	7:00	6
10/23/1991	13:00	8.7
10/23/1991	19:00	10.5
10/24/1991	1:00	8
10/24/1991	7:00	6
10/24/1991	13:00	8.9
10/29/1991	15:45	8.6
11/13/1991	10:45	10.2
12/4/1991	14:30	10.2
12/10/1991	14:30	11.1
12/17/1991	12:00	10.7
1/7/1992	12:30	10.7
1/21/1992	15:35	12.4
2/5/1992	8:45	11.1
2/20/1992	9:20	11.1
3/5/1992	9.20	9
3/17/1992	14:40	9.8
4/1/1992 4/14/1992	15:45 16:15	9 10.7
4/14/1992 4/28/1992	10:05	10.7
5/13/1992	9:45	9.4
6/2/1992	19:00	9.4
6/3/1992	19:00	8.8
6/3/1992	7:00	8.1
6/3/1992	13:00	8.2
6/3/1992	19:00	8
6/4/1992	1:00	7.6
6/4/1992	7:00	7.8
6/4/1992	13:00	8.3
6/4/1992		7.4
6/10/1992	19:00 16:40	7.4
6/15/1992	14:10	8.4
6/23/1992	11:05	8.8

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
7/7/1992	15:30	9.3
7/22/1992	14:20	6.7
8/3/1992	16:25	6.5
8/19/1992	12:15	10.6
9/2/1992	10:30	7.2
9/16/1992	10:45	9.3
10/14/1992	12:15	9.9
10/28/1992	10:40	8.3
11/10/1992	10:00	9.3
11/24/1992	11:50	9.8
12/9/1992	15:30	12.1
12/16/1992	15:20	11
1/6/1993	15:00	9.3
1/20/1993	13:00	8
2/3/1993	10:30	10.5
2/16/1993	15:05	10.2
3/2/1993	13:45	10.2
3/16/1993	13:10	13
3/30/1993	16:00	10
3/30/1993	18:30	10.2
3/30/1993	21:30	8.2
3/31/1993	0:30	7.4
	3:30	7.3
3/31/1993		8
3/31/1993	7:00	
3/31/1993	8:00	8.8
3/31/1993	10:00	8.5
3/31/1993	13:00	8.2
3/31/1993	16:00	7.8
3/31/1993	18:30	7.7
3/31/1993	21:30	7.6
4/1/1993	0:30	7.7
4/1/1993	3:30	7.8
4/1/1993	7:00	8.7
4/1/1993	10:00	9.4
4/1/1993	13:00	9.5
4/1/1993	16:00	10
4/7/1993	15:30	13.6
4/22/1993	15:00	11.4
4/28/1993	11:15	10.5
5/11/1993	15:00	8.5
5/18/1993	9:45	7.1
6/15/1993	16:00	11.9
6/24/1993	10:45	7.2
7/8/1993	8:45	6.6
7/20/1993	9:00	6.7
8/4/1993	9:30	6
8/24/1993	11:00	8.5
9/2/1993	10:00	5.8
9/9/1993	9:15	7.1
9/14/1993	14:30	8

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
10/5/1993	14:45	9.2
10/18/1993	17:45	6.2
11/5/1993	8:40	9.2
11/16/1993	11:00	8.4
11/30/1993	13:00	12.8
12/14/1993	12:00	11.1
1/3/1994	13:20	10.8
1/12/1994	13:20	9.7
1/26/1994	19:00	8.2
1/27/1994	1:00	9.2
1/27/1994	4:00	9.6
1/27/1994	7:00	9.1
1/27/1994	10:00	9.8
1/27/1994	13:00	9.2
1/27/1994	16:00	9.4
1/27/1994	19:00	9.2
1/27/1994	22:00	8.9
1/28/1994	1:00	11
1/28/1994	4:00	8.9
1/28/1994	7:00	9.5
1/28/1994	10:00	10
1/28/1994	13:00	9.3
1/28/1994	16:00	10.5
1/28/1994	19:00	9.4
2/17/1994	9:00	9.4
2/25/1994	8:40	11.2
3/9/1994	12:00	11.2
3/23/1994	12:00	12
4/7/1994	10:30	10.6
4/20/1994	9:00	8.5
5/10/1994	11:30	8.2
5/17/1994	10:30	7.8
5/31/1994	13:00	8.2
6/14/1994	8:20	<u> </u>
6/28/1994 7/15/1994	13:30 10:45	5.9 6.6
8/3/1994		8.2
8/3/1994 8/10/1994	18:00	6.3
8/10/1994 8/25/1994	10:40 9:20	5.6
9/8/1994	8:30	6.8
9/8/1994	12:25	7.1
10/5/1994	12:23	7.1
10/18/1994	9:45	7.8
11/2/1994	14:00	11
11/2/1994	13:30	9
11/14/1994	15:40	9.5
12/14/1994	10:15	9.5
1/12/1994	10:15	9.3
1/12/1995	13:00	9.5
2/7/1995	15:00	12
2/ 1/ 1995	15:00	12.9

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
2/15/1995	7:00	9.8
2/15/1995	10:00	10
2/15/1995	13:00	7.8
2/15/1995	16:00	12
2/15/1995	19:00	11.5
2/15/1995	22:00	11.6
2/16/1995	1:00	9.3
2/16/1995	4:00	9.2
2/16/1995	7:00	9.8
2/16/1995	10:00	9.8
2/16/1995	13:00	9.9
2/16/1995	16:00	10.1
2/16/1995	19:00	11.3
2/16/1995	22:00	10.2
2/17/1995	1:00	10.2
2/17/1995	4:00	10.2
2/17/1995	7:00	10.1
2/23/1995	8:00	10.4
3/7/1995	16:30	9.2
3/23/1995	10:15	9.2
3/28/1995	10:30	9.9
4/11/1995	11:30	8.3
	15:30	8.8
4/18/1995		
5/15/1995	17:45	10.7
6/1/1995	9:45	7.3
6/12/1995	17:10	7.8
6/28/1995	11:20	7.9
7/11/1995	14:20	7.3
7/27/1995	10:15	7.2
8/7/1995	16:45	7.5
8/15/1995	11:20	8
8/23/1995	13:45	9.4
9/6/1995	11:00	9.1
9/19/1995	11:15	9
10/2/1995	12:45	8.9
10/18/1995	10:00	8
10/31/1995	7:00	8.5
10/31/1995	9:30	9.2
10/31/1995	10:00	8.7
10/31/1995	13:00	9.6
10/31/1995	16:00	9.5
10/31/1995	19:00	9.6
10/31/1995	22:00	9.2
11/1/1995	1:00	9.1
11/1/1995	4:00	9.1
11/1/1995	7:00	7.2
11/1/1995	10:00	8.5
11/1/1995	13:00	8.7
11/1/1995	16:00	8.3
11/1/1995	19:00	8.4

Sample Date	Sample Time	DO (mg/L)
11/1/1995	22:00	7.3
11/2/1995	1:00	8.6
11/27/1995	14:00	9.9
12/5/1995	10:15	9.3
12/13/1995	13:30	10.2
2/27/1996	15:45	9.5
5/22/1996	17:30	10.7
8/12/1996	18:00	7

DO Data from Hickal Sample Date	DO (mg/l)
8/29/73	8.10
10/21/73	10.50
12/21/73	15.30
2/10/74	13.50
4/7/74	10.00
6/22/74	10.00
9/13/74	7.00
9/20/74	9.00
9/27/74	5.80
<u>9/2///4</u> 10/4/74	12.30
10/11/74	11.00
10/18/74	10.70
10/25/74	11.30
11/2/74	7.70
11/8/74	11.90
11/22/74	9.20
11/29/74	12.80
12/6/74	13.10
12/13/74	12.90
12/20/74	13.60
12/27/74	13.60
1/3/75	13.20
1/7/75	13.80
1/14/75	14.20
1/21/75	14.40
1/28/75	13.00
2/4/75	13.40
2/11/75	13.00
2/18/75	13.30
2/25/75	12.80
3/4/75	13.70
3/11/75	13.70
3/18/75	13.70
3/25/75	10.60
4/1/75	13.40
4/1/75	
	11.80
4/15/75	11.40
4/28/75	8.90
5/5/75	8.00
5/12/75	8.70
5/19/75	8.70
5/27/75	7.60
6/2/75	7.90
6/9/75	8.90
6/16/75	9.80
6/23/75	8.00
6/30/75	7.90
7/7/75	7.00
7/14/75	8.00

 Table A-5. DO Data from Hickahala Creek COE Station A0928

Sample Date	DO (mg/l)
7/21/75	7.80
7/28/75	7.60
8/4/75	5.40
8/15/75	5.50
4/19/76	7.60
4/26/76	8.40
5/6/76	5.60
5/10/76	6.20
5/20/76	6.80
5/25/76	6.00
6/3/76	7.00
6/8/76	6.00
6/16/76	7.20
6/21/76	8.00
7/1/76	8.00
7/8/76	8.20
7/14/76	6.00
7/19/76	6.80
7/30/76	7.20
8/4/76	6.20
8/12/76	6.00
8/18/76	5.60
8/23/76	6.00
9/2/76	6.50
9/7/76	6.50
9/16/76	5.00
9/21/76	6.80
9/29/76	7.00
10/7/76	7.40
10/15/76	
	8.00
10/18/76	8.00
10/25/76	9.00
11/1/76	9.00
11/8/76	9.00
11/15/76	9.50
11/22/76	9.00
11/30/76	9.00
12/6/76	11.00
12/13/76	10.00
12/20/76	9.00
12/27/76	11.00
1/3/77	12.00
1/10/77	11.00
1/20/77	11.00
1/27/77	9.00
2/2/77	10.90
2/8/77	9.00
2/14/77	9.00
2/22/77	10.00
3/3/77	11.00

Sample Date	DO (mg/l)
3/8/77	12.00
3/17/77	11.00
3/24/77	10.00
3/31/77	9.50
4/7/77	9.80
4/15/77	9.00
7/20/77	7.00
7/27/77	6.50
8/1/77	6.00
8/11/77	6.50
8/17/77	6.50
8/24/77	7.00
8/31/77	6.00
9/6/77	6.00
9/13/77	5.00
9/19/77	5.00
9/27/77	6.00
10/5/77	6.50
10/14/77	7.00
10/17/77	8.00
10/27/77	8.00
11/3/77	8.00
11/7/77	8.50
11/14/77	9.00
11/21/77	9.00
11/30/77	9.50
12/5/77	9.50
12/12/77	9.50
12/19/77	10.00
12/27/77	12.00
1/5/78	11.00
1/12/78	12.00
1/16/78	11.00
1/23/78	9.80
1/30/78	10.80
2/6/78	9.50
2/13/78	9.30
2/20/78	10.00
2/27/78	9.00
3/6/78	9.80
3/13/78	10.00
3/20/78	10.00
3/27/78	9.50
4/3/78	9.80
4/10/78	9.00
7/17/78	6.60
7/24/78	6.20
8/4/78	6.00
8/7/78	6.30
8/14/78	6.50

Sample Date	DO (mg/l)
8/21/78	7.00
8/29/78	6.10
9/4/78	6.00
9/11/78	5.00
9/18/78	5.00
9/25/78	6.00
10/7/78	6.30
10/13/78	7.00
10/17/78	9.00
10/28/78	8.00
11/2/78	9.00
11/11/78	9.00
11/18/78	9.00
11/22/78	10.00
12/2/78	10.00
12/9/78	12.00
12/16/78	9.00
12/18/78	10.00
12/28/78	10.00
1/5/79	12.00
1/13/79	11.80
1/19/79	9.20
1/27/79	7.00
2/2/79	14.00
2/3/79	8.00
2/10/79	10.00
	11.00
2/17/79	11.00
2/21/79	
3/10/79	9.00
3/17/79	10.00
3/23/79	8.80
3/31/79	8.90
4/7/79	8.60
4/14/79	9.00
11/21/79	9.00
11/28/79	9.00
12/5/79	8.20
12/12/79	10.00
12/19/79	12.50
12/26/79	11.00
1/2/80	10.50
1/9/80	12.00
1/16/80	14.10
1/23/80	12.10
1/30/80	13.50
2/6/80	12.20
2/13/80	12.00
2/19/80	13.00
2/26/80	14.00
3/3/80	12.00

Sample Date	DO (mg/l)
3/10/80	10.60
3/17/80	9.80
3/24/80	12.10
3/31/80	9.20
4/7/80	10.60
4/14/80	9.90
4/21/80	12.20
4/28/80	9.40
5/5/80	9.10
5/13/80	5.80
2/22/84	10.65
3/20/84	9.62
4/17/84	9.90
5/16/84	6.28
6/12/84	6.89
7/17/84	3.47
8/21/84	6.36
9/18/84	8.90
10/16/84	2.26
11/14/84	7.21
12/18/84	7.53
1/16/85	7.19
2/13/85	13.24
3/5/85	7.31
4/12/85	11.04
5/7/85	8.78
6/5/85	7.95
7/9/85	6.59
8/6/85	5.27
9/11/85	5.30
10/9/85	7.71
11/6/85	7.75
12/4/85	6.70
1/6/86	8.52
2/4/86	8.18
2/18/86	6.39
3/4/86	9.90
3/18/86	5.84
4/1/86	8.75
4/15/86	7.72
4/29/86	7.65
5/12/86	6.47
5/27/86	6.51
6/9/86	4.42
6/23/86	4.97
7/8/86	3.90
7/21/86	6.26
8/4/86	6.23
8/18/86	5.71
9/2/86	6.91

Sample Date	DO (mg/l)
9/16/86	7.29
9/30/86	7.75
10/14/86	8.61
10/28/86	6.87
11/11/86	9.25
11/25/86	6.61
12/9/86	9.28
12/22/86	7.44
1/6/87	10.34
5/21/87	7.04
6/2/87	5.75
6/15/87	6.72
6/30/87	8.42
7/14/87	4.84
7/27/87	7.28
8/10/87	6.46
8/24/87	7.67
9/9/87	7.93
9/21/87	9.14
10/5/87	8.87
10/19/87	9.30
11/2/87	7.94
11/2/87	8.26
11/30/87	9.17
12/14/87	10.04
12/14/87	8.75
1/12/88	11.39
1/12/88	8.55
2/8/88	8.23
	7.57
2/22/88	
5/16/88	8.17
5/30/88	7.74
6/13/88	6.39
6/27/88	
7/11/88	7.31
7/26/88	7.12
8/8/88	7.35
8/22/88	7.69
9/5/88	6.62
9/19/88	7.48
10/3/88	7.12
10/17/88	7.95
10/31/88	11.69
11/14/88	9.24
11/28/88	7.37
12/12/88	9.42
12/26/88	8.19
1/9/89	8.41
1/23/89	11.09
2/8/89	12.39

Sample Date	DO (mg/l)
2/20/89	8.33
3/6/89	9.48
3/20/89	6.24
4/3/89	5.74
4/17/89	5.59
5/1/89	8.78
5/15/89	8.24
9/4/89	2.78
9/18/89	5.22
10/2/89	4.99
10/16/89	5.86
10/30/89	6.95
11/13/89	7.36
11/27/89	8.14
12/11/89	10.12
12/27/89	6.48
1/8/90	5.84
1/23/90	4.66
2/6/90	5.38
2/20/90	6.35
3/6/90	5.53
3/20/90	8.25
4/3/90	7.25
4/17/90	10.56
5/1/90	7.86
5/15/90	2.39
8/6/91	1.96
9/4/91	0.98
10/2/91	2.35
11/11/91	0.84
12/10/91	4.01
1/15/92	5.90
2/10/92	2.12
3/9/92	5.30
4/15/92	3.51
5/12/92	2.35