# **OPEN-FILE REPORT 4**

MONITER WELL CONSTRUCTION AND HYDROLOGICAL TESTING, TATUM SALT DOME, LAMAR COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI, MARCH-APRIL 1981

# **OPEN-FILE REPORT 4**

# MONITER WELL CONSTRUCTION AND HYDROLOGICAL TESTING, TATUM SALT DOME, LAMAR COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI, MARCH-APRIL 1981

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

September 21, 1981

Gentlemen:

The Bureau of Geology is pleased to transmit to you open file report OF-4 entitled "Monitor Well Construction and Hydrological Testing, Tatum Salt Dome, Lamar County, Mississippi, March-April 1981," by Curtis W. Stover, Danny W. Harrelson and W. Howard Johnson. This publication summarizes hydrological testing of the "Local Aquifer" overlying Tatum Dome, and is a contribution to the growing geological information of the state.

Respectfully submitted,

Danny W. Harrelson Chief Ground Water Geologist

DWH:mm

Enclosure

MONITOR WELL CONSTRUCTION AND HYDROLOGICAL TESTING, TATUM SALT DOME, LAMAR COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI, MARCH-APRIL 1981

> Prepared by Curtis W. Stover Danny W. Harrelson W. Howard Johnson

Mississippi Department of Natural Resources

Bureau of Geology

OPEN FILE REPORT 4

July 1981

## CONTENTS

		Page
1.0	Introduction	1
2.0	Purpose/Objectives	. 1
3.0	Authorization	1
4.0	Drill Site Selection	1
5.0	Pre-Drilling Activities	2
6.0	Drilling Program	2
	6.1 Observation Well OW-2	3
	6.2 Observation Well OW-1	4
	6.3 Monitor Well HM-L2	6
7.0	Hydrologic Program	. 9
	7.1 Rate and Direction of Ground-Water Movement	. 19
8.0	Post-Drilling and Hydrologic Testing Activities	. 20
9.0	Summary	. 21
10.0	Acknowledgments	. 21
	Appendix	. 23

## List of Figures

Figure		Page
1	Well Construction Diagram Observation Well	
	OW-2	5
2	Well Construction Diagram Observation Well	
	OW-1	7
3	Well Construction Diagram Monitor Well HM-	
	L2	8
4	Sand Analysis Curve	10
5	Location of Observation and Monitor Wells	
	at Tatum Dome	12
6	Transmissibility from Residual-Drawdown	
	Curve at OW-1	13
7	Transmissibility from Drawdown Data at OW-1	14
8	Transmissibility Determined from Drawdown	
	at HM-L2	15
9	Ground-Water Velocity Calculations	16

### 1.0 Introduction

During March and April 1981, the Mississippi Bureau of Geology conducted a drilling and hydrological testing program at Tatum Salt Dome, Lamar County, Mississippi. Participating in this project were personnel from the Mississippi State Board of Health (Divisions of Radiological Health and Public Water Supply), Mississippi Mineral Resources Institute, and the U. S. Department of Energy - Nevada Operations Office.

## 2.0 Purpose/Objectives

The purpose of this project was to acquire additional hydrological information on the local aquifer at the Tatum Dome test site in Lamar County. General objectives of this project were to: (1) help determine the approximate rate and direction of ground-water flow in the local aquifer, and (2) provide additional monitor and observation wells to investigate possible migration of any contamination that may be present. Data obtained by this project will supplement data published in the U. S. Department of Energy report NVO-225.

## 3.0 Authorization

Authorization for the Bureau of Geology to conduct drilling and hydrological testing at Tatum Dome was by letter of agreement dated May 23, 1980, signed by <u>Alton B. Cobb</u>, M.D., State Health Officer, Mississippi State Board of Health, <u>Charlie L. Blalock</u>, Executive Director, Mississippi Department of Natural Resources, and <u>Thomas M. Humphrey</u>, Jr., Tatum Dome Project Manager, Nevada Operations Office, U. S. Department of Energy.

## 4.0 Drill Site Selection

Two drill sites were selected and mutually agreed upon by officals

of the State of Mississippi and by officials of the U. S. Department of Energy, Nevada Operations Office. The two selected sites provided an ideal over-dome triangulation with previously drilled U. S./D. O. E. well HM-L, located near ground zero. Prior to this project HM-L was the only well on top of the dome completed in the local aquifer. Other desirable site selection qualities were: (1) excellent accessibility by road, (2) excellent to good working and equipment storage area, (3) excellent to fair surface conditions during inclement weather, (4) close proximity to two previously drilled U. S./A. E. C. wells (E-5 and E-7) provided good well control, and (5) no known surface soil or water contamination.

#### 5.0 Pre-Drilling Activities

Several months prior to beginning drilling operations, personnel from the Bureau of Geology and the Division of Radiological Health collected surface soil samples to 6.5 feet in depth at both proposed drill sites. Water samples were collected from Half Moon Creek and from a small branch in the vicinity of U. S./A. E. C. well E-5. All soil and water samples were submitted to the Mississippi State Board of Health laboratory for chemical and radiological analyses. This was done to insure that no possible contaminants from surficial soils or from drilling fluids would be introduced into the local aquifer by drilling operations.

#### 6.0 Drilling Program

On March 23, 1981, the Bureau of Geology began a drilling program at Tatum Salt Dome using the Bureau's Failing 1500 drill rig and supporting equipment. General procedures, responsibilities, and well construction techniques were outlined in a letter of proposal from Mr.

Humphrey dated May 8, 1980. Certain procedural and well construction changes were made during drilling operations which were mutually agreed upon by on-site representatives of participating agencies.

Well construction materials, drilling supplies, special equipment, and on-site services were furnished by Griner Drilling Service, Inc., with the exception of certain services furnished by Mr. B. R. Anderson. Mr. Anderson furnished equipment and labor for road repairs, mud pit construction, and general clean-up or roust-about duties.

Specific objectives of the program were to: (1) drill and construct one six-inch PVC monitor well (designated HM-L2) screened in the local aquifer near U. S./A. E. C. Well E-5, (2) drill and construct one two-inch PVC observation well (designated OW-1) screened in the local aquifer near U. S./A. E. C. Well E-5, and (3) drill and construct one two-inch PVC well (designated OW-2) screened in the local aquifer near U. S./A. E. C. Well E-7.

The order of drilling was OW-2, OW-1, and HM-L2. A 200-gallon portable steel mud pit was used in drilling OW-2 and OW-1 and a dug pit approximately 20 feet long, 8 feet wide, and 4 feet deep was used to drill HM-L2. Resistivity (long and short normal), spontaneous potential, and gamma ray logs were run on all three wells.

#### 6.1 Observation Well OW-2

Location: 535,750 N., 268,350 E., Mississippi Coordinate System, East Zone.

Drilling began 0926 hours, March 24, 1981, and terminated 1700 hours, March 25, 1981, at a total depth of 210 feet. Drilling fluid was obtained from Half Moon Creek and transported to the drill site by vacuum truck. Core samples were collected on 10-foot intervals using

either a thin wall shelby tube or a splitspoon sampler. After recovering a sample from the 160-161 foot interval a mutual decision was made by representatives of participating agencies to cease core sampling. All samples were submitted to State Board of Health personnel for chemical and radiological testing.

Well construction was of 2 inch schedule 40 PVC casing with 10 feet of .008 inch PVC screen set at 180-190 feet (Figure 1). The screened interval was determined by electrical logs.

Well development was accomplished by (1) backwashing clean water through the casing and backwash valve until all drilling fluids were evacuated, (2) high velocity jetting with clean water at 120 p.s.i. through a 3/4 inch pipe fitted with a jetting tool, and (3) blowing the well with compressed air at 40 p.s.i. for approximately one hour. The yield was approximately 20-30 gallons per minute.

The casing was grouted on top of a packer set at 10 feet to the surface using approximately 4 cubic feet of ready-mix cement. A 6-inch hinged steel well cover was constructed over the casing and a 2 foot x 2 foot x 4 inch concrete pad was laid around the well.

6.2 Observation Well OW-1

Location: 537,878.1 N., 267,101.5 E., Mississippi Coordinate System, East Zone

Drilling began 1510 hours, March 26, 1981, and terminated 1144 hours, March 27, 1981, at a total depth of 185 feet. Drilling fluid was pumped from a small branch near the drilling rig. Core samples were recovered from pre-selected depths of 30-31 feet and 60-61 feet using a thin wall shelby tube sampler and from 128-129.5 feet using a splitspoon sampler. All core samples were submitted to State Board of



FIGURE I

. 1

Health personnel for chemical and radiological testing. Cutting samples were collected through all sand zones for sieve analyses.

Well construction was of 2 inch schedule 40 PVC casing with 10 feet of .008 inch PVC screen set at 162-172 feet and 20 feet of .008 inch PVC screen set at 115-135 feet (Figure 2). The screened intervals were determined by electrical logs.

Well development was accomplished by (1) backwashing clean water through the casing and backwash valve until all drilling fluids were evacuated and (2) blowing the well with compressed air at 40 p.s.i. for approximately one hour. The yield was approximately 20-30 gallons per minute.

The casing was grouted on top of a packer set at 14 feet to the surface using approximately 4 cubic feet of ready-mix cement. A 6 inch hinged steel well cover was constructed over the casing and a 2 foot x 2 foot x 4 inch concrete pad was laid around the well.

6.3 Monitor Well HM-L2

Location: 537,878.7 N., 267,221.5 E. Mississippi Coordinate System, East zone

Drilling began 1000 hours, March 31, 1981, and terminated 1145 hours, April 1, 1981, at a total depth of 200 feet. Drilling fluid was pumped from a small nearby branch to a dug pit at the drill site. To facilitate a 6-inch casing the well was first drilled to total depth using a 4 1/2 inch bit, reamed to total depth with an 8 5/8 inch bit, and then reamed to 170 feet with a 12 inch bit. No core samples were taken.

Well construction was of 6 inch schedule 40 PVC casing with 10 feet of .012 inch stainless steel screen set at 178-188 feet (Figure 3).



FIGURE 2

# WELL CONSTRUCTION DIAGRAM

MONITOR WELL HM-L2



FIGURE 3

Not to Scole

The screened interval was determined by electrical logs and screen size was determined by sieve analyses of samples taken from OW-1 (Figure 4). Formation packers were attached to the casing at depths of 30 feet and 170 feet.

Well development was accomplished by backwashing clean water through the casing and backwash valve until all drilling fluids were evacuated and then alternating water jetting with clean water at 200 p.s.i. and air blowing at 40 p.s.i. This procedure was repeated on 30-minute intervals for 6 hours, yielding approximately 60-70 gallons per minute during air blowing. At the completion of development procedures return water was clear and free of sand.

The casing was grouted on top of a packer set at 30 feet to the surface using approximately 57 cubic feet of ready-mix cement. HM-L2 was completed by constructing a 10-inch well cover over the casing and then laying a concrete pad 4 feet x 4 feet x 4 inches around the well.

A Flint and Walling, 5 horsepower, 460-volt submersible pump •was lowered into the well on 170 feet of 2-inch steel pipe obtained from the Fenix and Scisson pipe yard located at Mr. B. R. Anderson's home. Electrical connections and a permanent power box were installed by a Griner Drilling Company electrician. All electrical connections, pipe fittings, and flow lines necessary for a pump test were installed by 1100 hours, April 6, 1981.

7.0 Hydrologic Program

Personnel from the Ground-Water Section, Bureau of Geology, conducted an aquifer test at the Tatum Dome test site. The purpose of this test was to collect additional hydrologic information about

# SAND ANALYSIS TATUM DOME TEST SITE WELL OW-I LOWER SAND



NOTES: Uniformity Coeff = 2.0 Recommended Slot Opening #12 Recommended Screen: Dia. 6"- Length 10'

the local aquifer in order to better determine rate and direction of ground-water flow in that aquifer.

The pump in HM-L2 was started 1400 hours, April 6, 1981. Rate of discharge and drawdown data for HM-L2, HM-L, OW-1, and OW-2 were recorded at specified intervals for a 24-hour period. Water samples were collected hourly for the first 6 hours by personnel of the Mississippi State Board of Health and the U. S./E. P. A. The pump was shut off at 1400 hours, April 7, 1981, and recovery data were collected for an additional 24 hours. Subsequent water-level measurements were recorded in all four wells at later dates.

HM-L2, OW-1, and OW-2 were completed in the "local aquifer" (Miocene undifferentiated) overlying Tatum Dome (Figure 5). These wells and other previously constructed wells (HM-L, HT-2C) were used to gather data to calculate the rate and direction of ground-water movement, transmissibility, permeability, and storage coefficient of the local aquifer (Figures 5-9). HM-L2 was pumped at an average discharge of 69 g.p.m. (open discharge) for 1440 minutes (24 hours). Discharge measurements were obtained by using a 4 inch orifice pipe, 2 1/2 inch orifice plate, and a piezometer tube. Water level data were recorded simultaneously at HM-L2 and OW-1 for the duration of the drawdown and recovery portions of the test. A transducer was utilized to provide drawdown/recovery data at HM-L2 and an electric tape was used to determine the water levels at OW-2, HM-L, and HT-2C (see appendix). During the drawdown portion of the aquifer test, the transducer at HM-L2 was lowered to within one foot of the top of the pump before the test was curtailed.

The data obtained from HM-L2 and OW-1 were used to calculate the



X.

FIGURE 5



## RESIDUAL-DRAWDOWN CURVE OW-I





Figure 9a. Ground-Water Velocity Calculations

Method I. Permeability determined from transmissibility at OW-1

Reference: U. S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 708, 1979, p. 6.

$$P = \frac{T}{b}$$

P = Permeability

T = Transmissivity at OW-1, 210.93  $\frac{ft^3pd}{ft}$ ; determined from transmis-

b = Thickness of aquifer, 10 ft.

$$P = \frac{210.93 \frac{ft^3pd}{ft}}{10 ft}$$
$$P = 21.093 \frac{ft}{day}$$

Darcy's Law V = PI and Q = AV

V = Velocity

P = Permeability, 21.093  $\frac{ft}{day}$ 

I = Hydraulic gradient; 
$$\frac{11.46 \text{ ft}}{5280 \text{ ft}}$$

Q = Volume of flow

A = Area of a 1 foot wide section of 10 foot thick aquifer; 10 ft<sup>2</sup>

Velocity: 
$$V = 21.093 \frac{ft}{day} \left(\frac{11.46 \text{ ft}}{5280 \text{ ft}}\right)$$
  
 $V = .0458 \frac{ft}{day} \text{ or } 16.72 \frac{ft}{year}$   
Volume:  $Q = 10 \text{ ft}^2 \left(.0458 \frac{ft}{day}\right)$ 

 $Q = .456 \frac{ft^3}{day}$  or 3.41 g.p.d.

## Figure 9b.

Method II. Permeability determined from equilibrium formula Reference: Ground Water and Wells, 1980, p. 106.

$$P = \frac{528 \ Q \left( \log \frac{r_2}{r_1} \right)}{M \ (h_2 - h_1)}$$

P = Permeability

Q = Pumping rate, 69 g.p.d.

r2 = Distance to OW-2 from HM-L2; 2,215.67 ft.

r<sub>1</sub> = Distance to OW-1 from HM-L2; 120.13 ft.

M = Thickness of aquifer; 10 ft.

 $h_2$  = Head at OW-2, measured from bottom of aquifer, 84 ft.

h<sub>1</sub> = Head at OW-1, measured from bottom of aquifer, 60 ft. Head measurements were made after 24 hours of pumping.

$$P = \frac{528 \ (69 \ \text{gpd}) \left( \log \frac{2215.67 \ \text{ft}}{120.13 \ \text{ft}} \right)}{10 \ \text{ft} \ (84 \ \text{ft} - 60 \ \text{ft})}$$

$$P = 192.15 \ \frac{\text{gpd}}{\text{ft}^2} \text{ or } 25.65 \ \frac{\text{ft}}{\text{day}}$$
Darcy's Law  $V = PI$  and  $Q = AV$ 
 $V = Velocity$ 

$$P = Permeability, 25.65 \ \frac{\text{ft}}{\text{day}}$$

$$I = \text{hydraulic gradient; } \frac{11.46 \ \text{ft}}{5280 \ \text{ft}}$$

$$Q = Volume \text{ of flow}$$

$$A = \text{Area of a 1 foot wide section of 10 foot thick aquifer, 10 \ \text{ft}^2}$$

$$V = .05567 \ \frac{\text{ft}}{\text{day}} \text{ or } 20.32 \ \frac{\text{ft}}{\text{year}}$$

Volume:

Q = 10 ft<sup>2</sup> 
$$(.05567 \frac{ft}{day})$$
  
Q = .5567  $\frac{ft^3}{day}$  or 4.17 g.p.d.

coefficient of transmissibility in the local aquifer. The coefficient of storage was calculated from OW-1 drawdown data. Drawdown data from HM-L2 (the pumping well) is not considered very accurate, because within the immediate vicinity of the pumped well there can be some fluctuation of the hydrostatic head. These fluctuations however are smoothed out with distance from the pumped well (Ground Water and Wells, p. 93). Analysis of the drawdown data from HM-L2 by the modified non-equilibrium formula indicates an average transmissibility coefficient value of 1194.07 gpd/ft. Higher values were obtained during latter portions of the test after the rate of water level decline had stabilized.

Observation well 1 (OW-1) located 120.25 feet from HM-L2 is far enough away from the pumping well that hydrostatic head fluctuations will not interfere with water level measurements. Analyzing OW-1 drawdown data by the Theis non-equilibrium well formula indicates a transmissibility coefficient of 1580 gpd/ft and a storage coefficient of  $4.96 \times 10^{-4}$ . Residual drawdown data from OW-1 were analyzed by the modified non-equilibrium formula, resulting in a transmissibility coefficient of 1584 gpd/ft.

### 7.1 Rate and Direction of Ground-Water Movement

The direction of ground-water movement within the local aquifer was determined by measuring the static water levels at HM-L2, OW-2, and HM-L. These water level values were used in a three point problem to obtain a direction of ground-water movement of north 30° 10' east (Figure 5). The velocity of ground-water movement was calculated by use of Darcy's Law which involves multiplying the permeability coefficient by the hydrologic gradient. The hydrologic gradient was calculated to be 11.46 feet per mile. Two permeability coefficients were

obtained by using the methods described in "Ground-Water Hydraulics," U. S. G. S. Professional Paper 708, 1979, p. 6, and "Ground Water and Wells," 1980, p. 106 (Figure 9). These two permeability coefficients indicate ground-water velocities of 16.72 feet per year and 20.32 feet per year respectively.

### 8.0 Post-Drilling and Hydrologic Testing Activities

After completion of drilling and well construction all equipment rented from Griner Drilling Company and not needed for the pump test was picked up and returned by Griner personnel. The HM-L2/OW-1 site was scraped and leveled with a grader blade and all trash or garbage was buried in the HM-L2 mud pit. Drilling equipment, tools, and supporting equipment belonging to the Bureau of Geology and not needed for the pump test were cleaned and hosed down at Half Moon Creek. This equipment and all Bureau personnel not needed for the pump test were released for return to Jackson 1600 hours, April 5, 1981.

After completion of the pump test all remaining equipment rented from Griner Drilling Company was picked up and returned by Griner personnel. Materials borrowed from the Fenix and Scisson pipe yard and not used for well construction were returned. Unused materials purchased from Griner were returned for credit.

Invoices which were to be submitted to Fenix and Scisson for materials, rental equipment, and services by both Griner Drilling Company and Mr. B. R. Anderson were reviewed and verified by the Bureau of Geology on-site representative.

All remaining Bureau of Geology personnel and equipment departed the Tatum Dome test site 1600 hours, April 8, 1981.

Surveying data were provided by personnel from the Mississippi

State Board of Health. Well elevation data were submitted to the Bureau of Geology May 28, 1981, and well location data were submitted June 5, 1981.

9.0 Summary

During March and April 1981, the Mississippi Bureau of Geology drilled two observation wells (OW-1 and OW-2) and one monitor well (HM-L2) which can be added to the long-term radiological monitoring program presently being conducted by the U. S./D. O. E. and U. S./ E. P. A. at the Tatum Dome test site. Valuable information concerning the thickness and areal extent of the local aquifer has been gained in drilling these wells. The hydrologic tests have provided more definitive data concerning the rate and direction of flow of ground water in the local aquifer. Additional information has been gained concerning the presence or absence of radiological contaminants in the local aquifer away from ground zero.

10.0 Acknowledgments

A number of individuals representing several state agencies worked as a team to drill and construct these wells and to generate data necessary for this report. In recognition of those who provided not only professional expertise but many hours of hard labor, their agencies, names, and titles are listed below.

Mississippi Mineral Resources Institute David Booth, Geologist Mississippi State Board of Health Warren Green, Engineer Mississippi Bureau of Geology, Ground-Water Section Jimmy Bradshaw, Geologic Aide, Driller

Danny Harrelson, Geologist

Howard Johnson, Geologist

Charlie Smith, Geologic Aide

Mississippi Bureau of Geology, Environmental Geology Section

Bob Merrill, Geologist

Mike Seal, Geologist

Mark Smith, Geologist

Curtis Stover, Geologist

APPENDIX

## APPENDIX

## Contents

Letter of Proposal, May 8, 1980: T. M. Humphrey, Jr., to	
A. B. Cobb and C. L. Blalock	1-/
Letter of Agreement, May 23, 1980: Signed Alton B. Cobb,	
Charlie L. Blalock, Thomas M. Humphrey, Jr.	9-/
Letter of Acknowledgment, June 2, 1980: T. M. Humphrey,	
Jr., to A. B. Cobb and C. L. Blalock	11-/
Letter Authorizing Funding, May 28, 1980: T. M. Humphrey,	
Jr., to J. A. Cross	12-4
Drillers Log, Observation Well OW-2	13-4
Drillers Log, Observation Well OW-1	15
Drillers Log, Monitor Well HM-L2	16
Verification - Delivery, Quantities and Acceptance of	
Materials and Services	17
Materials and Cost List	18
Transducer Calibration HM-L2	21
Drawdown Data HM-L2	22
Recovery Data HM-L2	26
Drawdown Data OW-1	30
Recovery Data OW-1	34
Drawdown and Recovery Data OW-2	38

Post-test Water Level Data HM-L	39-A
Post-test Water Level Data HT-2C	40-A
Electrical Logs HM-L2, OW-1, OW-2; Resistivity - Long	
and Short Normal; Spontaneous Potential; Gamma Ray Poc	ket 1

Page



Department of Energy Nevada Operations Office P. O. Box 14100 Las Vegas, NV 89114

MAY 8 1980

Dr. Alton B. Cobb State Health Officer Mississippi State Board of Health P. O. Box 1700 Jackson, MS 39205 Mr. Charlie Blalock Executive Director Mississippi Department of Natural Resources P. O. Box 20305 Jackson, MS 39209

Gentlemen:

Your letter of April 17, 1980, with the enclosed suggestion for a three-well hydrologic and water-quality study of the Local Aquifer on top of Tatum Salt Dome has been received and reviewed with much care.

Dr. Paul Fenske, Senior Hydrologist, Desert Research Institute, has determined that the direction of groundwater flow in the Local Aquifer is to the northwest and that the rate of flow is on the order of 10 to 15 feet per year. Dr. Fenske's findings are based on data from the HM-L well, the HT-2C well, and the Purvis municipal well. Because of the approximate eight miles distance and marked stratigraphic changes noted over shorter distances, the precise stratigraphy of the Purvis well can be questioned. Therefore, we are in agreement with you that this program to provide data that cannot be questioned, to determine the rate and direction of flow in the Local Aquifer over the Dome should be carried out.

The Local Aquifer was the only potable aquifer found to contain any radiological material in excess of background. The Local Aquifer was found to contain a maximum concentration of tritium on the order of 1/3 the concentration allowable in a community drinking water system.

## Multiple Addressees - 2 -

The DOE/NV fully approves of the program being carried out on a cooperative basis between Mississippi State organizations and the DOE/NV. We have no objections with the proposed hole locations. However, during exploratory drilling in the 1960's some amount of brine based drilling fluid was lost to the formation in the area to the northwest of ground zero (E-5). For that reason, a slight increase in salinity may be noted in water sampled from this area. For the purposes of this program, we believe this to be the best location for a monitoring well.

We have made only very minor modifications and additions to the suggested program and we hope that these meet with your approval. We are prepared to initiate the program when your approval is received.

Sincerely,

## ORIGINAL SIGNED BY THOMAS M. HUMPHREY Thomas M. Humphrey, Jr. Manager Tatum Dome Project

Enclosure: Suggested Program

cc w/encl: Honorable William F. Winter, Governor Honorable William A. Allain, Attorney General

## PURPOSE

This project is being developed primarily to acquire additional hydrological information on the Local Aquifer at the Tatum Dome Test Site in Lamar County, Mississippi. The general objectives of this project are to: 1) help determine the approximate rate and direction of groundwater flow in the Local Aquifer over Tatum Dome, and 2) provide additional monitor and observation wells to investigate the possible migration of any contamination that may be present in the Local Aquifer. We propose to construct one permanent monitor well capable of producing 150 gpm and two observation wells of sufficient size to accurately determine the water level in the Local Aquifer over the salt stock. These new wells will be monitored concurrently with wells HT-2C and HM-L to accomplish the above objectives.

## PARTICIPATING AGENCIES

I. Department of Energy, Nevada Operations Office (DOE/NV)

The DOE/NV and its support contractor's major areas of responsibility are:

- A) Provide all materials required to complete the proposed construction.
- B) Provide subcontracts for field services and on-site supervision for these services.
- II. Bureau of Geology, Mississippi Department of Natural Resources (DNR/BOG)

The DNR/BOG's major areas of responsibility are:

- A) Furnish Failing 1500 drill rig, equipment and crew to complete proposed construction.
- B) Furnish logging unit and operator to run electric and natural gamma ray logs on each hole.
- C) Provide geological and hydrological advice and/or assistance as required.
- III. Mississippi State Board of Health (MSBH)
  - A) Division of Radiological Health (MSBH/RH)
    - Provide radiological analyses using federally owned equipment at the site, sample ground water brought to the surface by pumping and analyze any soil samples collected.

- Perform all radiological surveys of equipment and decontamination procedures as required.
- Provide radiological monitoring in the field as required.
- B) Division of Water Supply (MSBH/WS)
  - Coordinate chemical analyses and sampling of ground water brought to the surface by pumping.
  - Provide routine field chemistry on water samples as required.
    - In coordination and in concurrence with DNR/BOG provide technical specifications for well design and construction.
  - Provide a surveyor, equipment, and crew to establish all elevations and locations as required.
    - 5) Perform all sand analyses.

The DNR/BOG and the MSBH are requested to make any necessary contractural arrangements with the site owner relative to site entry and reparation for damage prior to initiation of the hydrologic program.

#### MATERIAL SPECIFICATIONS

 Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) casing and screen shall conform to all requirements of Commercial Standard CS-207-60, Type 1, Grade 1, Schedule 40 dimensions.

Casing and screen sections shall bear the National Sanitation Foundation seal of approval and comply with the requirements of Type 1, Grade 1 of the ASTM resin spec d-1784-65T.

Casing sections should not exceed 20' in length.

Joints: Solvent weld joints shall be of the bell end type with a socket depth of not less than one pipe diameter. The solvent used in the welding process shall be as recommended by the pipe manufacturer.

Screen shall be factory slotted and sections should not exceed 20 feet in length.

- 2. All required PVC fittings shall be schedule 40 dimensions.
- Formation Packers suitable formation packers for above installation.

#### TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

General Statements

- All depths have been taken from an induction electric log run in instrument holes E-5 and E-7 and are subject to reinterpretation.
- 2. The screen slot size will be determined from analysis of the sand from the water bearing strata.
- All casings are to be extended at least 18 inches above ground surface.
- A concrete pad approximately 5' x 5' x 6" will be constructed around each well.

## Location

- The proposed site for the monitor well and one observation well is in the approximate vicinity of instrument hole E-5. The proposed site for the second observation well is in the general vicinity of instrument Hole E-7 (equipment storage yard).
- The on site technical representatives of DOE/NV, MSBH/WS and DNR/BOG or their designees shall concur on the final well sites.

Test Hole

- DNR/BOG shall mobilize a drill rig and drill a hole not less than 4 inches in diameter to a depth sufficient to penetrate the Local Aquifer (+180').
- DNR/BOG shall keep an accurate log of all formations and depths at which each were encountered.
- Soil samples will be collected at 10' intervals with a split barrel, thin wall tube and/or other appropriate sampling device.
- Soil samples shall be stored in suitable containers and marked with all pertinent data.
- After the hole has been drilled to the complete depth, the DNR/BOG shall run an electric log and natural gamma ray log on the full depth of hole.

Observation Well

 Observation well No. 1 will be constructed in the test hole as shown on Plate No.1.
Observation Well, continued

- Install +10 feet of 2 inch PVC screen opposite each of the major aquifer sands and extend the 2 inch casing to 18 inches above ground surface and clamp off in tension.
- Cement casing in place from the surface with cement grout or pea gravel concrete (see materials list) and allow to cure for a minimum of 12 hours.
  - 4. Develop well and demobilize rig.
- 5. After the three wells have been developed and after an appropriate recovery time has elapsed, obtain a depth to water measurement in all three wells and also HM-L and HT-2C within the shortest possible time span. All depth to water measurements should be repeated after approximately four hours.
  - Observation well No. 2 will be constructed using same procedure and materials as O.W. #1. O.W. #2 will be located in the general vicinity of E-7 (equipment storage yard), (see Plate 2).

Monitor Well (HM-L-2)

- 1. HM-L-2 will be located approximately 50' from O.W. #1.
- DNR/BOG will mobilize a drill rig and drill a 12" hole to a depth as determined by test hole drilling (see Plate 3).
- Drill an 8-5/8" hole from the base of the 12 inch hole to +180'.
- Run an electric log and a natural gamma ray log on full depth of hole.
- 5. Install +45 feet of 6 inch PVC screen opposite the aquifer sands and extend the 6 inch PVC casing to 18 inches above ground surface and clamp off in tension.
- Cement casing in place from the surface with cement grout or pea gravel concrete (see materials list) and allow to cure for 12 hours.
- 7. Develop well.
- 8. After the three wells have been developed and after an appropriate recovery time has elapsed, obtain a depth to water measurement in all three wells and also HM-L and HT-2C within the shortest possible time span. All depth to water measurements should be repeated after approximately four hours.

Monitor Well (HM-L-2), continued

- 9. Install 150 gpm pump with airline and conduct adequate aquifer test.
- After completion of necessary sampling, replace pump with 50 gpm monitoring pump and add well to annual monitoring program network.
- 11. Demobilize drill rig.
- 12. Install pump house.

Any procedural changes in these operations must mutually be agreed upon by the on-site representatives of the participating agencies.

Performance acceptance of each of the aforementioned activities shall be agreed to and concurred in by the on-site representatives of participating agencies.

- + 180 feet of 6" PVC casing
- + 50 feet of 6" PVC screen
- + 380 feet of 2" PVC casing
- + 40 feet of 2" PVC screen
  - 12 6" x 6" PVC couplings
  - 20 2" x 2" PVC couplings
    - 2 6" PVC caps
    - 4 2" PVC caps
    - 2 6" x 14" formation packers
    - 2 2" x 6" formation packers
    - 1 Flint & Walling pump Model 50FFll with all electrical equipment, pump column and discharge line, fittings, etc.
    - 2 2" PVC threaded couplings and steel caps

Adequate supply of cement grout or pea gravel concrete  $(\pm 5 \text{ yds}^3)$ 

Adequate supply of revert drilling mud

Small pump house

160' of 1/4" copper tubing, gage and necessary fittings for airline

Miscellaneous (fittings, valves, lumber, etc. as needed)



MISSISSIPPI STATE BOARD OF HEALTH 2423 NORTH STATE STREET, P. O. BOX 1700 JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI 39205

May 23, 1980

ALTON B. COBB. M.D., M.P.H. STATE HEALTH OFFICER

> United States Department of Energy Nevada Operations Office P. O. Box 14100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89114

Attention: Thomas M. Humphrey, Jr. Manager, Tatum Dome Project

Gentlemen:

On April 17, 1980, the State of Mississippi, through the Department of Natural Resources and the State Board of Health, submitted to your organization a proposal relative to a three-well hydrologic and water-quality study of the Local Aquifer on top of Tatum Salt Dome. Your counterproposal of May 8, 1980, has been received and reviewed by technical representatives of both Agencies.

On May 22, 1980, during a telephone conversation between Mr. Ronald Forsythe, Mississippi State Board of Health, Division of Radiological Health, and Mr. Thomas Humphrey, Jr., Department of Energy/Nevada Operations Office, a proposed change on your suggested "List of Materials" page was discussed. It was suggested by Mr. Forsythe that the copper tubing specification for the airline be changed to plastic tubing. The change was agreed to by Mr. Humphrey. No other changes were noted and the State is prepared to enter into final and formal Agreement with the Department of Energy concerning the proposed project.

With the exception of the aforementioned change, the State does hereby agree to your proposal submitted under letter of cover dated May 8, 1980, signed by Thomas M. Humphrey, Jr., Manager, Tatum Dome Project. This letter is intended to Page 2. United States Department of Energy May 23, 1980

satisfy the requirements of the Agreement between duly authorized signatory representatives of the State of Mississippi and the Department of Energy.

Agreed to and approved of , 1980. this 23 relday of /

Alton B. Cobb, M.D. State Health Officer Mississippi State Board of Health

Charlie L. Blalock Executive Director Mississippi Department of Natural Resources

Agreed to and approved of une, 1980. this 🛠 day of

Nevada Operations Office United States Department of Energy

ABC - CLB/og

1



JUN - 2 1980

Dr. Alton B. Cobb State Health Officer Mississippi State Board of Health P. O. Box 1700 Jackson, MS 39205 Mr. Charlie Blalock Executive Director Mississippi Department of Natural Resources P. O. Box 20305 Jackson, MS 39209

Gentlemen:

This responds to your Letter of Agreement dated May 23, 1980, two copies of which have been signed and are returned to you to satisfy the requirements between the duly authorized signatory representatives of the State of Mississippi and the Department of Energy, Nevada Operations Office.

It is my understanding that work will commence on or about June 2, 1980. I look forward to participating in this cooperative venture.

Sincerely,

Thomas M. Humphrey, Jr Manager Tatum Dome Project

Enclosure: As stated

And and and

cc w/encl: Honorable William F. Winter, & Governor, State of Mississippi Honorable William A. Allain, Attorney General, State of Mississippi

cc w/o encl: Mr. Eddie S. Fuente, Dir., Div. of Radiological Health, Jackson, MS Mr. John W. Green, Bureau of Geology, Jackson, MS



Department of Energy Nevada Operations Office P. O. Box 14100 Las Vegas, NV 89114

MAY 2 8 1980

Mr. Jack A. Cross Manager, Las Vegas Branch Fenix & Scisson, Inc. Post Office Box 15408 Las Vegas, NV 89114

Dear Mr. Cross:

This is your authorization to provide funding not to exceed \$13,263.00 for the materials listed on the last page of the attached agreement between Mississippi and the DOE/NV. These materials, or their less expensive equivalents, are to be made available at the Tatum Dome Site, Lamar County, Mississippi, through the Griner Drilling Service, Inc. of Columbia, Mississippi. They are to be made available at the verbal request of Mr. Curtis Stover, Mississippi Bureau of Geology, or his designee, who will be performing tool pusher chores for this operation.

The drilling program for which the materials will be used is scheduled to start at 8:00 A.M. on June 2, 1980. Please notify the Griner Drilling Service, Inc. of these arrangements.

Sincerely,

Shomes M. Humph

Thomas M. Humphrey, Jr. Manager Tatum Dome Project

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/o encl: Mr. Curtis Stover/Mr. John W. Green, Bureau of Geology, Jackson, MS Griner Drilling Service, Inc. Columbia, MS

.

HOLE NUMBER Tatum	Dome OW-2 COUNTY Lamar DATE 3-24-81	
LOCATION 535,750	0 N., 268,350 E.	
ELEVATION 266.79	TOTAL DEPTH 210' T.D. ELEC. LOG Yes	•
DRILLER J. Bradsha	w, C. Smith GEOLOGIST M. Smith	
REMARKS Located in	-line with and half-way between auger holes GSY-4 and GSY-5, Burea	u has
DEPTH	DESCRIPTION Sa	mples
0' - 2'	Clav: brown, silty	- 1
2' - 22'	Clay; gray, stiff, slightly silty, iron-stained, becomes sandy at	: 10'
22' - 88'	Clay; green, slightly silty, very silty from 56'-58', thin sand 1 from 62'-64', several thin streaks of yellowish-brown, very silty clay from 74'-77'	ayer ′
88' - 96'	Clay; mottled gray and brown, becomes brown, very hard, and shaly 93'	/ at •.
96' - 109'	Clay; green, moderately stiff, several thin sand streaks(no recov	/ery)
109' - 110'	Sand; fine-grained quartz, green interstitial clay	
110' - 112'	Silt; green, very soft	
112' - 128'	Clay; green very stiff, slightly silty, several sand streaks from 125'-128'	n
128' - 150'	Silt; green, clayey, several thin streaks of gray, sandy clay	
150' - 156'	Sand; fine-grained quartz, silty, green interstitial clay	
156' - 176'	Clay; green, very silty	
176' - 190'	Sand; medium- to coarse-grained quartz and chert, some pea-grave black gravel up to lcm in size	1,
190' - 210' T.D.	Clay; green, slightly silty Core Samples	
10' - 11.5'	Shelby Tube	
20' - 21.5'	Shelby Tube	
30' - 31.5'	Shelby Tube	
40' - 41.5'	Shelby Tube	
50' - 51.5'	Shelby Tube 13-A	
60' - 61.5'	No Recovery	

2

a 🖲

OLE NUMBER Tatum	e Dome OW-2	2_COUNTY_Lamar	DATE	3-24-81
DCATION		,		
EVATION	5	TOTAL DEPTH	ELEC. I	_0G
RILLER	4	GEOLOGIST		
MARKS				
DEPTH		DESCRIPTION		
70' - 71.5'	Split Spoon			
78' - 79.5'	No Recovery			
88' - 89.5'	Shelby Tube			
100' - 101.5'	No Recovery			
110' - 11.5'	Split Spoon			
120' - 121'	Shelby Tube	8		
130' - 131'	Shelby Tube			
140' - 141'	Shelby Tube			
150' - 151'	Shelby Tube			
160' - 161'	Shelby Tube			э
			8	2
	10 N	×.		
÷.	14 A			
ě!				

÷

.

128' - 129.5'

Split spoon

÷.\*

	BORING LOG
HOLE NUMBER Tatum	Dome OW-1 COUNTY Lamar DATE 3-26-81
LOCATION 537,878	3.1 N., 26,101.5 E.
ELEVATION 251.88'	TOTAL DEPTH 185' T.D.' ELEC. LOG Yes
DRILLER J. Bradsha	w, C. Smith, M. Seal GEOLOGIST M. Smith
REMARKS Bureau has	samples located approx. 50' from HM-L2
DEPTH	DESCRIPTION
0'- 2'	Clay; yellow, stiff
2' - 17'	Clay; gray, with iron staining, moderately stiff
17' - 108'	Clay; green stiff, soft from 17'-23', 3' layer of chocolate brown clay at 53', at 58'-61' clay is fractured and shot-through with hard jagged fragment of rock
108' - 134'	Sand; very fine-grained quartz, silty, green interstitial clay
134' - 136'	Clay; green, stiff, silty
136' - 142'	Sand; very fine-grained quartz, silty, green interstitial clay
142' - 162'	Clay; gray-green, soft, stiff in streaks
162' - 172'	Sand; fine- to medium-grained quartz
172' - 177'	Clay; gray-green stiff
177' - 178'	Sand; fine- to medium-grained quartz, green interstitial clay
178' - 185' T.D.	Clay; gray-green, stiff
	Core Samples
30' - 31'	Shelby Tube
60' - 61'	Shelby Tube

15-A

1

HOLE NUMBER Tatum	Dome HM-L2 COUNTY Lamar DATE 3-31-81
LOCATION 537,878	.7 N., 267,221.5 E.
ELEVATION 251.55'	TOTAL DEPTH 200' T.D. ELEC. LOG Yes
DRILLER J. Bradsha	aw, C. Smith, M. Seal GEOLOGIST M. Smith
REMARKS	·
DEPTH	DESCRIPTION
0'- 2'	Clay; yellow, stiff
2' - 14'	Clay; gray, with iron-staining, stiff
14' - 112'	Clay; green, stiff, slightly silty
112' - 120'	Clay; green, soft, silty
120' - 130'	Sand; fine- grained quartz, silty, green interstitial clay, 2' layer of green, silty clay 124'-126'
130' - 148'	Clay; gray-green, silty, moderately stiff, layers of fine-grained sand 134'-137', 142'-146'
148' - 178'	Clay; gray-green, stiff, slightly silty
178' - 190'	Sand; fine - to medium-grained quartz
190' - 200' T.D.	Clay; gray-green, silty, stiff
	16-A.

•

WATER WELL

5

# **GRINER DRILLING SERVICE, INC.**

TELEPHONE 736-6347 P. O. DRAWER 825

COLUMBIA, MISS. 39429

.

THIS IS TO VERIFTY DELIVERY, QUANTITIES AND ACCEPTANCE OF MATERIALS AND SERVICES.

**GURTIS W. STOVER** 

Mississip Bureau of Geology

DATE Con 20, 1981

0.00

PAGE 1 OF 3

Phone 738-6347 — \$348

P. O. Drawer \$25

# GRINER DRILLING SERVICE, INC.

INVOICE

COLUMBIA, MISS. 39429

WATER WELLS - CONDUCTOR

RIG No.	LOCATION Tatum Salt Dome	INV. No. 244	3	
SOLD TO	Fenix & Scisson, Inc. DATE	4/10/81		 _
ADDRESS	3150 W. Sahara, Suite B-23, Las Vegas, Nevada your on Attention: Rick Bacon	RDER No.		 -
3/25/81	Delivering material to job location 3 hours driver @ 14.00 per hr. 84 miles @ .40 per mile (2 trips) 40 bags ready mix @ 3.80 per bag 180 ft. 6" schedule 40 PVC pipe @ 2.50 per ft. 380 ft. 2" schedule 40 PVC pipe @ .68 per ft. 40 ft. 2" schedule 40 PVC screen #8 slot @ 20.07/10 ft. 2 - 6" schedule 40 PVC couplings @ 10.02 each 9 -2" schedule 40 PVC couplings @ .85 each 2 - 6" PVC caps @ 14.57 each 4 - 2" PVC caps @ .75 each 1 - 5 H.P. 460 volt pump and motor F & W 2 - 2" PVC male adapters @ .92 each 2 - 2" steel caps @ 2.05 each 1 - 6" back wash valve 2 - 2" back wash valves @ 36.80 each 1 - 2" x 1¼ bell reducer 1 - 1¼" x 3/4" bushing 1 - 4 x 2 bushing 2 - pints glue @ 2.98 each 1 - pint cleaner 2 - 2" female adapters @ 1.04 each	42.00 33.60 152:00 450.00 258.40 80.28 20.04 7.65 29.14 3.00 1,416.00 1.84 4.10 12.00 73.60 3.75 1.62 6.17 5.96 2.33 2.08		*
3/26/81	Delivering material to job. 2 hours driver @ 14.00 per hr. 42 miles @ .40 per mile 2 - 2" female adapters @ 1.04 each 2 - 2" male adapters @ .92 each 1 - 6" female adapter	28.00 16.80 2.08 1.84 17.93	•	
3/27/81	Hauling generator to job. 6½ hours winch truck @ 30.00 per hr.	195.00		
3/27/81	Wiring generator and testing well. 5 hours serviceman @ 22.00 per hr. 42 miles @ .40 per mile	110.00 16.80		
3/31/81	Material picked up at Griner Warehouse 2 - 6" steel well covers @ 73.50 each 2 - hasps @ 2.50 each 2 - locks @ 5.45 each 1 - pint PVC glue 1 - pint PVC cleaner 2 - 6" PVC schedule 40 male adapters @ 13.79 each 1 - mud cup (returned to Griner)	147.00 5.00 10.90 2.98 2.33 27.58 N/C		

e Å i

Hwy. 98 Bypass

#### INVOICE

Phone 738-8347 - 6348 P. O. Drawer \$25

# GRINER DRILLING SERVICE, INC.

1.10

.

Hwy. 98 Bypass COLUMBIA, MISS. 39

WATER WELLS - CONDUCTOR

RIG No.	LOCATION TATUM Salt Dome	DNV. No. 2443	
SOLD TO	Fenix & Scisson, Inc.	ATE 4/10 81	
ADDRESS	3150 W. Sahara, Suite B-23, Las Vegas, Nevada	OUR ORDER No.	
CALLENDER	Attention: Rick Bacon		
101 101	Material sicked up at Cuiron Manchener (continued)		
3/31/01 -	1 - mud funnel (returned to Griner)	N/C	
	1 - set mud scales (returned to Griner)	N/C	
	500 lbs. drilling gel @ 4.15 per 50 lbs.	41.50	
	1 - mud hopper (returned to Griner)	N/C	
	10 ft. #12 slot S.S. screen	592.10	
	1 - 6" female adapter	17.93	
	1 - 6" steel tee (returned to Griner)	N/C	
4/2/81	Setting nine and screen in 6" hole and washing out		
1/2/01	10 hours drilling superintendent @ 29 50 per hr.	295.00	
	42 miles 0 .40 per mile	16.80	
	1 - 6" schedule 40 PVC male adapter	13.79	
	1 - 10" well cover	92.50	
1/3/01	Hauling air compresson and fittings to ibo Blowin	a well	
+/ 5/01	A hours winch truck @ 30 00 per hr	120 00	
	5 hours drilling superintendent @ 29 50 per hr.	147.50	
	42 miles @ .40 per mile	16.80	
	A 2" x 6" colvenized simples 0 4 65 coch	18 60	
	4 ~ 2 x o galvanized hippies @ 4.05 each	23.05	
	1 - 12"nipple (returned to Griner)	N/C	
	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	14 -	
4/4/81	Delivered material to location	F4 00	
	2 miles 0 40 per mile	16.80	
	42 miles @ .40 per mile	10,00	
	40 sacks of ready mix @ 3.80 per bag	152.00	
/4/81	Moving generator and air compressor to second well.		
	Bringing air compressor in.	100.00	
	3 hours winch truck @ 36.00 per hr.	108.00	
	1 - 180 CFM air compressor - 5 day min @ 90.50 pe	er day 452.50	
/5/81	Wiring 5 H.P. pump		
	5 hours serviceman @ 28.00 per hr.	140.00	
	42 miles @ .40 per mile	16.80	
	180 ft. #14/3 sub. wire @ .36 per ft.	64.80	
	2 - rolls tape 0 2.15 each	4.30	
	20 bags ready mix @ 3.80 per bag	76.00	
6/81	Delivering diesel fuel to location.		
	3 hours truck and trailer @ 37.00 per hr.	111.00	

INVOICE

Phone 738-6347 - 6348 P. O. Drawer 825

# GRINER DRILLING SERVICE, INC.

÷

WATER WELLS - CONDUCTOR

Hwy. 98 Bypass COLUMBIA, MISS. 394

PAGE 3 OF 3

ALC MA.	Factor & Codeses Tree	4/10/01	
BOLD TO	Fenix & Scisson, Inc.	DATE 4/10/81	
ADDRESS	3150 W. Sahara, Suite B-23, Las Vegas, Nevada	YOUR ORDER No.	-
CALLENDER	Attention: Rick Bacon .		
10103			
4/6/81	Finish wiring pump and pumping well.	176.00	
	8 hours serviceman @ 22.00 per hr.	176.00	
	42 miles @ .40 per mile	16.80	
	2 - ½" LB's w/covers @ 2.62 each	5.24	
	10' - ½" ridged conduit @ .62 per ft.	6.20	
	1 - 2" x 3/4" bushing	2.10	
	8 - k" lock nuts @ 07 each	. 56	
	3 - " ninnles @ 90 each	2 97	
	2 h" cool tite compositions & 1 24 coch	2 48	
	2 ft 1 cont the 0 00 per ft	1 00	
	Z TL. 2 Seal tite 0.95 per ft.	1.90	
	/ - ¼" x 1" bolts and nuts @ .25 each	1./5	
	39' No. 14 control wire @ 3.25 per ft.	126.75	
	3 - 30 Amp fuses @ 3.04 each	9.12	
	1 - 30 Amp 3-phase disconnect	137.98	
	1 - size 0, 480 volt, 3 phase starter	106.14	
17/01	0.1111		
4/1/81	Building control panel stand	238 00	
	og hours welder @ 28.00 per hr.	20.00	
	16 ft. 3-3/8" tubing @ 1.30 per ft.	20.80	
	2 ft. x 6 ft. 3/16" plate, 92 lbs @ .40 per lb.	36.80	
	1 - ¼" x 6" nipple	1.98	
	18" of 5" x 2" flat bar, 6 lbs @ .36 per lb.	2.16	
	1 - can paint	5.85	
17/91	Hauling concepton and fuel tank back to Gripper Var	d	
+/ //01	2 hours touch and the iles 0 27 00 per he	111 00	
	5 hours truck and traffer @ 37.00 per hr.	1 006 00	
	1 - generator for 12 days @ 90.50 per day	1,080.00	
	100 gallons of diesel fuel @ 1.40 per gal.	140.00	
4/7/81	Delivered tape to location		
1.1.1.1	2 hours serviceman @ 22.00 per hr.	44.00	
	42 miles 0,40 per mile	16.80	
	4 - rolls duct tape @ 4.95 each	19.80	
/8/81	Mr. Curtis Stover returned unused material to Grin	ner	
10101	Warehouse and picked up fittings.		
	1 - 1%" plug	1.45	
	3 - sacks ready mix @ 3.80 each	11.40	
		\$8,114.50	
	5% sales tax	405.73	
		\$8,520.23	
		\$8,520.23	

Vell obs	erved	IM-L2			- Own	Owner Tatum Dome					
Vell pun	nped				Aver	Average discharge <u>Transducer</u> calibrat					
Radius _	_		I	oump on		_	P	ump off	_		
Measurin	g point _	Top of	metal c	asing	(	Orifice .					
MV+	ΔMV	t' (Mins.)	Tape held	Wetted	Water level	Depth	Air line	P.S.I.	P-tube	G.P.M.	
5.3					91.06	0					
6.8	1.5				100.0	8.94					
8.6	1.8				110.0	18.94					
10.3	1.7				120.0	28.94					
12.0	1.7				130.0	38.94					
13.8	1.8				140.0	48.94		0.0	_		
15.5	1.7				150.0	58.94					
17.3	1.8				160.0	68.94					
									-		
_											

# PUMPING TEST

Well obs	erved H	M-12			Owne	er Tat	um Dor	ne		
Well pur	nped H	M-12			Aver	age disc	harae	69	GPM	
Radius	6"			Pump on 3	2:00 PM 4	6/81	Pi	ump off	2.00	DM 4/7/8
Measurin	a point	Top of	metal d	casing	(	Drifice	4x21			
		.op er		out my						
Time	t (Mins.)	t' (Mins.)	MV+	ΔMV	Water level	-	Air line	P.S.I.	Inches P-tube	G.P.M
10:52 AM					91.06					
									7.5	72
2:00 PM	0		17.3		91.06					
	.5		13.7	3.6	111.63					
2:01	1.0		11,.6	2.1	123.63					
	1.5		10.5	1.1	129.92					
2:02	2.0		9.7	.8	134.49				7.5	72
	2.5		9.3	.4	136.77					
2:03	3.0		8.9	.4	139.05				7.5	72
	3.5		8.7	.2	140.20					
2:04	4.0		8.5	.2	141.34					
	4.5		8.4	.1	141.91					
2:05	5.0		8.4	0					7.5	72
2:06	6.0							6.22		
2:0	6.5		8.3	.1	142.49					
2:07	7.0		8.2	.1	143.06					
2:08	8.0	-	8.1	.1	143.63		-	<u></u>		
2:09	9.0		8.1	0	· · · · ·			-		
2:10	10		8.1	0					7.5	72
2:11	11		7.9	.1	144.20					
2:12	12		7.9	0	1					

22-A

# PUMPING TEST

Well ob	served H	M-L2			Owner	Tat	um Don	ne	<u></u>	
Well pu	mped H	M-L2			Averag	je disc	harge	69 G	allons	per Minu
Radius	6"			Pump on 2	2:00 PM 4/6	/81	P	ump off	2:00 P	M 4/7/81
Measuri	ng point _	Top of	metal (	casing	Or	ifice .	4>	<2 <u>1</u>		
Time	t (Mins.)	t' (Mins.)	MV+	ΔMV	Water level		Air line	P.S.I.	P-tube	G.P.M.
2:13	13		7.8	.1	144.77					
2:14	14	1	7.8	0				1		
2:15	15		7.7	.1	145.34				7.5	72
2:16	16		7.7	0						
	17		7.7	0						
	18		7.6	.1	145.91			1.1		
	19		7.6	0			2			2
2:20	20		7.6	0					7.5	72
	22		7.6	0					6.0	64
	24		7.5	.1	146.49					
1	26		7.4	.1	147.06				6.0	64
	28		7.4	0						
2:30	30		7.3	.1	147.63					
	35		7.3	0						
	40		7.1	.2	148.77					
	45		7.1	0						
	50		7.0	.1	149.34					
	55		6.9	.1	149.91					
3:00	60		6.7	.2	151.06					
	70		6.6	.1	151.63			10.1	6.2	65
	80		6.4	.2	152.77					

23-A

### PUMPING TEST

Date 4/6/81 - 4/8/81	Formation Mioco	ene; Local Co	ounty_Lamar
Well observed <u>HM-L2</u>		Owner Tatum Do	ome
Well pumped <u>HM-L2</u>		Average discharge	69 GPM
Radius 6"	Pump on 2:00	PM 4/6/81 P	Pump off 2:00 PM 4/7/81
Measuring point Top of me	tal casing	Orifice 4x	21

	Time	t (Mins.)	ť (Mins.)	MV+	ΔMV	Water level	Air line	P.S.I.	P-tube	G.P.M.
	3:30	90		6.3	.1	153.34			6.0	64
	· · · · ·	100		6.3	0					
		110		6.2	.1	153.91				
	4:00	120		6.1	.1	154.49				
		135		6.0	.1	155.06	-		N.	
	4:30	150		5.9	.1	155.62				
		165		5.8	.1	156.20				
	5:00	180		5.8	0				5.8	63
		200		5.7	.1	156.77			6.0	64
		220		5.6	.1	157.34				
-	6:00	240		<sup>5.3</sup> /6.1	.3	159.05				
lowered 5	feet	260		5.9	.2	160.49				
		280		5.8	.1	161.06				
	7:00	300		5.7	.1	161.62			8.0	74
	7:30	330		5.6	.1	162.20	-			
	8:00	360		5.6	0					
2.110	9:00	420		<sup>5.4</sup> / <sub>6.1</sub>	.2	163.34				
lowered	10:00	480		6.0	.1	163.91			8.0	74
4 feet	11:00	540	-	5.9	.1	164.48			1	2.00
	12:00	600		5.9	0					
	1:00 AM	660		5.8	.1	165.06		-		

Observer D. Harrelson; H. Johnson

Page 3 of 4

## PUMPING TEST

Well obs	erved	HM-L2			Owner	Tatum	Dome		
Well pur	nped	HM-L2			Average	discharge	69	GPM	
Radius	6"	_	P	ump on _	2:00 PM 4/6	/81 F	omp off	2:00 PM	4 4/7/81
Measurin	g point _	Top of i	metal c	asing	Ori	fice 4	x2 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>		
Time	t (Mins.)	t' (Mins.)	MV+	_ <b>\</b> MV	Water level	Air line	P.S.1.	P-tube	G.P.M
2:00	720		5.7	.1	165.63			1. 	
4:00	840								
6:00	960		5.6	.1	166.20			7.0	70
8:00	1080		5.6	0				6.5	68
10:00	1200		5.5	.1	166.77			6.5	68
12:00	1320		5.5	0				7.5	72
2:00 PM	1440		5.5	0				8.0	74
									1.0.000
				-					
					1.1				
			1.00		1				-
								1.1	
				11220					
				£., •					
1									

Observer D. Harrelson; H. Johnson

Page 4 of 4

# PUMPING TEST

Well ob	served <u>H</u>	M-L2			Owner	Tatum D	ome		
Well pu	mped <u>H</u>	M-L2			Average	discharge	Recov	very	
Radius	6"		-	Pump on g	2:00 PM 4/6/8	31 P	ump off	2:00	4/7/8
Measuri	ng point _	Top of	metal (	casing	Orif	ice	_		
Time	t (Mins.)	t' (Mins.)	MV+	.∆ MV	Water Ievel	Air line	P.S.1.	P-tube	G.P.M.
2:00	0		5.5		166.77				
	.5		8.9	3.4	147.34				
	1.0		11.2	2.3	134.20				
	1.5		12.5	1.3	126.77				
	2.0		13.3	.8	122.2			1	
	2.5		13.8	.5	119.34				1
	3.0		14.0	.2	118.20				
	3.5		14.2	.2	117.00		-	-	
	4.0	1.001	14.3	.1	116.48				
	4.5	1	14.4	.1	115.91				
2:05	5.0		14.5	.1	115.34				
	6.0		14.6	.1	114.77			1	
	7.0		14.7	.1	114.20		0		
	8.0		14.7	0	1			1	
	9.0		14.8	.1	113.63		122-1		
2:10	10.0		14.9	.1	113.06	_			
	11	-	14.9	0	- I		1.		
_	12		14.9	0		4.1.1	1		
	13		15.0	.1	112.49			·	
	14		15.0	0		-			
2:15	15		15.0	0				1	

Observer D. Harrelson; H. Johnson

Page 1 of 4

# PUMPING TEST

Well ob	served H	M-L2			Owner	Tatum Do	me		
Well pu	mped H	M-L2			Average	discharge	Recove	ery	
Radius	6"		P	ump on 2	2:00 PM 4/6/	81 P	ump off	2:00 P	M 4/7/81
Measuri	na point	Top of r	netal ca	using -	Orif	ice			
Time	t (Mins.)	t' (Mins.)	MV+	ΔMV	Water level	Air line	P.S.I.	P-tube	G.P.M.
	16		15.1	.1	111.92		1		
	17		15.1	0					
	18		15.1	0					
	19		15.1	0					
2:20	20		15.2	.1	111.34			h i	
	22		15.2	0					
	24		15.3	.1	110.77				
	26		15.4	.1	110.20			1	
	28		15.4	0					
2:30	30		15.5	.1	109.63				
	35		15.6	.1	109.06		-	ΤΞ.	
	40		15.6	0		-		1	
	45		15.7	.1	108.49				
	50		15.8	.1	107.92				
	55		15.9	.1	107.34				
3:00	60		16	.1	106.77				
	70		16.1	.1	106.20			1	1
	80		16.2	.1	105.63				
3:30	90		16.3	.1	105.06				
	100	_	16.4	.1	104.49				
	110		16.5	.1	103.92				

D. Harrelson; H. Johnson Observer\_

2 Of 4 Page

27-A

# PUMPING TEST

Well ob	served H	M-L2			Owne	r Ta	tum D	ome		
Well pu	mped H	M-L2		_	Avera	ge disc	harge	Recov	very	_
Radius	6"			Pump on 2	:00 PM 4/	6/81	Pi	ump off	2:00 P	4/7/81
Measuri	ng point _	Top of	metal	casing -	c	Drifice				
	1.	1 1		-	Weber 1		A :	-		_
Time	(Mins.)	(Mins.)	MV+	∆ MV	level		line	P.S.I.	P-tube	G.P.M.
4:00	120		16.6	.1	103.34					
	135		16.7	.1	102.77					
4:30	150		16.8	.1	102.20					
	165		16.9	.1	101.63					
5:00	180		16.9	0		1.1				
5:20	200		17.0	.1	101.06			1		1
	220		17.0	0			1		1	1
6:00	240		17.1	.1	100.49					
	260		17.2	.1	99.92					
5	280		17.2	0						
7:00	300		17.2	0	-					
7:30	330		17.3	.1	99.34					
8:00	360		17.3	0						
9:00	420		17.4	.1	98.77					
10:00	480		17.4	0						
11:00	540		17.4	0						
12:00	600		17.4	0				1211		
1:00	660		17.4	0				-	200	S
2:00	720	1	17.4	0	1					
4:00	840	[ ]	17.4	0	1					
5:00	900		17.4	0			0.011	1-1-1		

Observer D. Harrelson; H. Johnson

Page 3 of 4

#### PUMPING TEST

Date 4/6/8	1 - 4/8/81	Formation Mio	cene; Local (	County_Lan	nar	
Well observed	HM-L2		Owner Tatum	Dome		
Well pumped	HM-L2		Average dischar	ge Recove	ery	
Radius <u>6"</u>		Pump on 2:00	PM 4/6/81	Pump off	2:00	PM 4/7/81
Measuring poir	t Top of metal	casing	Orifice			

t' Water t Air P.S.I. P-tube G.P.M. Time (Mins.) (Mins.) MV+  $\Delta MV$ \$ level line 17.4 0 960 6:00 0 17.4 8:00 1080 17.5 98.20 1200 .1 10:00 17.5 0 1320 12:00 4/8/81 2:00 PM 17.5 0 1440 91.59 4211 12:11 PM . Observer D. Harrelson; H. Johnson

4/10/81

Page 4 of 4

# PUMPING TEST

Well obs	erved OW	1-1			Own	er Tai	tum Do	me				
Well pun	nped HM	-L2			Average discharge <u>69 G.P.M.</u> 2:00 p.m. 4/6/81 Pump off <u>2:00 p.m. 4/7</u> /							
Radius				Pump on								
Measurin	g point I	op of PV	/C casir	ng		Orifice						
	t	Water				T	Air	-	-			
Time	(Mins.)	Level	Δs	$R^2/T$		1	line	P.S.I.	P-tube	G.P.M.		
11:27 am		90.27			5.0	-			-			
2:00	0	44						+				
	5											
2:01	1.0											
	1.5								1	1		
2:02	2.0			1				1.00	1	1		
	2.5					1		-	-			
2:03	3.0						-	)		1		
	3.5	91.55	1.28	5.95 x	106				1			
2:04	4.0	91.72	1.45	5.21 x	106							
	4.5	91.77	1.5	4.63 x	106			-				
2:05	5.0	91.81	1.54	4.16 x	10 <sup>6</sup>					1		
	5.5	91.95	1.68	3.79 x	106	1	-	1		-		
2:06	6.0	92.0	1.73	3.47 x	106		1					
2:07	7.0	92.11	1.84	2.97 x	106		-					
2:08	8.0	92.25	1.98	2.6 x	10 <sup>6</sup>	· · · · ·			-			
2:09	9.0	92.37	2.1	2.31 x	10 <sup>6</sup>		1					
2:10 -	10	92.5	2 23	2.08 -	106	0000				7 - 7		

Observer H. Johnson, D. Harrelson

92.6

92.72

2:11

2:12

11

12

Page 1 of 4

106

10<sup>6</sup>

1.89 x

1.74 x

2.33

2.45

## PUMPING TEST

Well obs	6/81 - 4	/7/81 DW-1	For	rmation	Miocene; Own	Local er Ta	- Cou	nty_La	amar	
Well pu	mped HM-	L2			Aver	age dis	charge	69 G.	Р.М.	
Radius _				Pump on	2:00 p.m.	4/6/8	1 P	ump off	2:00 p	.m. 4/7/8
Measuri	ng point _	Top of	PVC cas	ing		Orifice				
Time	t (Mins.)	Water Level	Δs	R <sup>2</sup> /T	T		Air line	P.S.I.	P-tube	G.P.M.
			1	1		1				

2:13	13	92,87	2.6	1.6 x	106		-			
2:14	14	92.98	2.71	1.49 x	106		1			
2:15	15	93.11	2.84	1.39 x	106					
2:16	16	93.22	2.95	1.3 x	106		1	_	(	
2:17	17	93.33	3.06	1.19 x	106					
2:18	18	93.55	3.28	1.16 x	106		(			-
2:19	19	93.57	3.3	1.10 x	106					1
2:20	20	93.59	3.32	1.04 x	106					
2:22	22	93.87	3.6	9.4 x	105					
	24	94.06	3.79	8.68 x	10 <sup>5</sup>		1 - 1			
100	26	94.25	3.98	8.01 x	105		[ -1 ]			1
	28	94.43	4.16	7.44 x	105					
2:30	30	94.66	4.39	6.94 x	105		1151			
	35	94.94	4.67	5.95 x	105	1.11				
	40	95.23	4.96	5.2 x	105					
	45	95.61	5.34	4.63 x	10 <sup>5</sup>					-
_	50	95.96	5.69	4.16 x	10 <sup>5</sup>					
	55	96.22	5.95	3.79 x	105					1
3:00	60	96.51	6.24	3.47 x	105					
	70	97.11	6.84	2.97 x	10 <sup>5</sup>		1.1			
	80	97.61	7.34	2.6 x	10 <sup>5</sup>				_	

Observer H. Johnson, D. Harrelson

Page 2 of 4

# PUMPING TEST

Date 4/6/81	- 4/7/81	Formation	Mioc	ene;Lo	ocal	County	Lamar	_	
Well observed	0W-1			Owne	r <u>Tat</u>	um Dome			_
Well pumped	HM-L2			Averc	ige discl	harge <u>69</u>	G.P.M.		
Radius		Pump on	2:00	p.m.	4/6/81	Pump	off <u>2:00</u>	p.m.	4/7/81
Measuring poin	t .			C	Drifice				

Time	t (Mins.)	Water Level	Δs	R <sup>2</sup> /T		Air line	P.S.I.	F-tube	G.P.M.
3:30	90	98.11	7.84	2.31 x	105			1	
_	100	98.5	8.23	2.08 x	105	_	-	1.1	
	110	98.92	8.65	1.89 x	105				
4:00	120	99.35	9.08	1.74 x	105				1.1.1
4:15	135	99.87	9.6	1.54 x	105				1
4:30	150	99.89	9.62	1.39 x	105			1.4.	
4:45	165	100.28	10.01	1.26 x	105				
5:00	180	100.72	10.45	1.16 x	105				
5:20	200	101.24	10.97	1.04 x	10 <sup>5</sup>				
	220	101.74	11.47	9.46 x	104				
6:00	240	102.21	11.94	8.68 x	104				
	260	102.72	12.45	8.01 x	104				
	280	103.20	12.93	7.44 x	104				
7:00	300	103.58	13.31	6.94 x	104	1			- · · ·
7:30	330	104.18	13.91	6.31 x	104				
8:00	360	104.67	14.4	5.78 x	104				
9:00	420	105.43	15.16	4.96 x	104				
10:00	480	106.15	15.88	4.34 x	104		-		
11:00	540	106.70	16.43	3.86 x	104				
12:00	600	107.25	16.98	3.47 x	104				
1:00 am	660	107.71	17.44	3.15 x	104				

4/7/81

Observer H. Johnson, D. Harrelson

Page 3 of 4

### PUMPING TEST

Well obse	erved OF	J-1			_ Own	er Tat	um Dom	e		
Well pur	ped HM	-L2			Aver	age dis	charge	69 G.	P.M.	
Radius			F	ump on 2	:00 p.m.	4/6/8	1 P	ump off	2:00 p	.m. 4/7/8
Measurin	g point _	Top of H	VC casi	ng	·	Orifice				
Time	t (Mins.)	Water Level	Δs	R <sup>2</sup> /T			Air line	P.S.1.	P-tube	G.P.M.
2:00	720	108.14	17.87	2.89 x	104					
4:00	840			-						
6:00	960	109.5	19.23	2.17 x	104					
8:00	1080	109.97	19.7	1.93 x	104	1		-	-	
10:00	1200	110.4	20.13	1.74 x	104					
12:00	1320	110.7	20.43	1.58 x	104			-		
12:00 2:00 pm	1440	111.03	20.76	1.44 x	104	-	-	-		
-			-				-	-	-	
-			-			-	-			
							-			
		1						1		

Observer\_H. Johnson, D. Harrelson

Page 4 of 4

# PUMPING TEST

Well obs	erved (	DW-1			Owne	er Tat	um Dom	е		
Well pun	nped HM	-L2			Aver	age dis	charge	Recov	very	
Radius _			Р	ump on 2	2:00 P.M.	4/6/8	1P	ump off	2:00	P.M. 4/7/8
Measurin	g point _	Top of I	VC casi	ng	(	Drifice				
	<b>I</b> +	1 +'	Waton			-	LAir	-		
Time	(Mins.)	(Mins.)	Level	ΔS	t'/t		line	P.S.I.	P-tube	G.P.M.
2:00 PM	. 0	1440	111.03	20.76		-	-	-		
	0.5		111							
2:01	1.0	1441	110.93	20.66	1441					
	1.5		110.86				1			1.1
2:02	2.0	1442	110.76	20.49	721					
	2.5		110.69							
2:03	3.0	1443	110.59	20.32	481					
	3.5		110.5				1.1.1			
2:04	4.0	1444	110.41	20.14	361					
	4.5		110.34							(
2:05	5.0	1445	110.25	19.98	289					1
	5.5		110.19				1			
	6.0	1446	110.1	19.83	241					/
	7.0	1447	109.97	19.7	206.7					1
	8.0	1446	109.84	19.57	181 .					
	9.0	1449	109.7	19.43	161					
2:10	10.0	1450	109.56	19.29	145					
	11.0	1451	109.41	19.14	131.9					
	12.0	1452	109.30	19.03	121					1.1.1
	13.0	1453	109.19	18.92	111.76					

Observer H. Johnson; D. Harrelson

Page 1 of 4

# PUMPING TEST

Well ob	served	OW-1			Own	er Ta	atum Do	ome		
Well pu	mped HM	I-L2			Aver	age dis	charge	Reco	very	
Radius			Pu	ump on _	2:00 PM 4	4/6/81	P	ump off	2:00	PM 4/7/8
Measuri	ng point _	Top of	PVC casi	ing	(	Orifice		_	-	
Time	t (Mins.)	t' (Mins.)	Water Level	Δs	t'/t		Air line	P.S.I.	P-tube	G.P.M.
	14.0	1454	109.07	18.8	103.8					
2:15	15.0	1455	108.95	18.68	97					
	16.0	1456	108.82	18.55	91					
	17.0	1457	108.73	18.46	85.7					
	18.0	1458	108.65	18.38	81			1	1.1	
	19.0	1459	108.55	18.28	76.8					
2:20	20.0	1460	108.44	18.17	73	-		1		
	22.0	1462	108.23	17.96	66.5					
	24.0	1464	108.05	17.78	61					
	26.0	1466	107.85	17.58	56.4			1.1	1	
	28.0	1468	107.7	17.43	52.4					
2:30	30	1470	107.65	17.38	49			1		
	35	1475	107.15	16.88	42					
	40	1480	106.8	16.53	37				1	
	45	1485	106.35	16.1	33				(	
	50	1490	106.05	15.78	29.8			-		
	55	1495	105.75	15.48	27			· · · ·		
3:00	60	1500	105.42	15.15	25					1
	70	1510	104.97	14.7	21.6					
	80	1520	104.4	14.13	19	1				
8:30	90	1530	103.9	13.63	17	-				

Observer H. Johnson; D. Harrelson

Page 2 of 4

# PUMPING TEST

Well ob	served Ok	1-1			Owner	Tatur	Dor	ne		_
Well pu	mped H	M-L2			Averag	ge discho	arge	Reco	very	
Radius			P	ump on	2:00 PM 4/	6/81	P	ump off	2:00 F	M 4/7/8
Measuri	ng point _	Top of	PVC cas	sing	Or	rifice				
Time	t (Mins.)	ť (Mins.)	Water Level	Δs	t'/t	A li	ir ne	P.S.I.	P-tube	G.P.M.
	100	1540	103.45	13.18	15.4			1		
	110	1550	103.05	12.78	14.1					
4:00	120	1560	102.55	12.28	13					
4:15	135	1575	102.05	11.78	11.7					
4:30	150	1590	101.55	11.28	10.6					
4:45	165	1605	101.05	10.78	9.73					
5:00	180	1620	100.68	10.41	9					
	200	1640	100.11	9.84	8.2					
	220	1660	99.7	9.43	7.5					
6:00	240	1680	99.28	9.01	7			1		
	260	1700	98.87	8.54	6.5					
	280	1720	98.54	8.27	6.1					
7:00	300	1740	98.20	7.93	5.8					
	330	1770	98.17	7.9	5.36					·
8:00	360	1800	97.81	7.54	5					
9:00	420	1860	97.17	6.9	4.4					
10:00	480	1920	96.62	6.35	4					
11:00	540	1980	96.12	5.85	3.66			101		
12:00	600	2040	95.82	5.55	3.4	-	24	1		
:00	660	2100			3.18					-
2:00	720	2160	1.13		3			1.1		

Observer H. Johnson; D. Harrelson

Page 3 of 4

Date 4/7/81 - 4/8/81	Formation	Miocene; Local County Lamar	
Well observed 0W-1		Owner Tatum Dome	
Well pumped <u>HM-L2</u>		Average discharge Recovery	
Radius	Pump on	2:00 PM 4/6/81 Pump off 2:00 PM	1 4/7/81
Measuring point Top of	PVC casing	Orifice	

Time	t (Mins.)	ť (Mins.)	Water Level	Δs	t'/t	Air line	P.S.I.	P-tube	G.P.M
4:00	840	2280	94.6	4.33	2.71				1
6:00	960	2400	94.15	3.88	2.5				
8:00	1080	2520	93.9	3.63	2.33				
10:00	1200	2640	93.58	3.31	2.2				
12:00	1320	2760	93.45	3.18	2.09				
2:00 PM	1440	2880	93.18	2.91	2				

	Date 4	/6/81 - 4	4/10/81	For	mation	Miocene; Loo	cal Co	unty_L	amar	
	Well obs	erved C	)W-2			Owner	Tatum D	ome		-
	Well pur	nped HM	-L2			Average	discharge			
	Radius 2	2,215.07	from HM	-L2 I	oump on 2	:00 PM 4/6/8	9 <u>1</u> P	ump off	2:00 P	M 4/7/8
	Measurin	ng point a	op of PV bove G.L	C casin	ig 0.3 fe	orif	ice			
	Time	t (Mins.)	t' (Mins.)	Tape held	Wetted	Water level	Air line	P.S.I.	P-tube	G.P.M
	11:35					103.3				
4/6/81	2:00 PM	0						-		
	2:36	36				103.32				
	4:07	127				103.80				
	4:36	156				104.00				
	5:03	183				104.00				
	6:09	249				103.9			211	
	9:00	420				103.8			1.21	
4/7/81	12:07	607				104.0				
	6:15	975				104.0				
	12:12	1332				103.9	_			
	2:00	1440				103.89		1.1		
Recov	very									
4/7/81	2:00 PM	0				103.89	1		_	-
	4:20 PM	140				103.85				1
	5:44 PM	224				103.83				
4/8/81	8:43 AM	1123		-		103.75	_			
	2:25	1465	-			102.86	-			
4/10/81	1:00 PM	4260	-			103.25				1

Date 4/6/81 - 4/10/81	Formation Mioc	ene; Local	County	Lamar	
Well observed _HM-L		OwnerTat	um Dome		
Well pumped HM-L2		Average disch	arge		
Radius 2,388.5' from HM-L2	Pump on 2:00	PM 4/6/81	Pump off	2:00 PM	4/7/8
Measuring pointTop of 6" casi	ng 2.52' above	GL Orifice			

	Time	t (Mins.)	ť (Mins.)	Tape held	Wetted	Water level	Air line	P.S.I.	P-tube	G.P.M.
	12:01					87.18				
	2:00	0								
4/6/81	2:45 PM	45				86.90			-	
	12:15 AM					87.18				
	6:21 AM					87.18				
4/7/81	12:26 PM					87.02				
Necove	ery									
	2:00 PM	0				87.02				
4/8/81	9:00 AM	1140				86.74				
	3:02 PM	1502				86.76				
4/10/81	1:15 PM	4275				86.47				
			-							
							-	-		
	-		· · · · ·							
		-								
	Observer	H. Joh	nson, D.	Harrel	son		Pc	l 1	of 1	

### PUMPING TEST

Well ob	served H	r-2C			Owne	r_Tat	tum Do	me		
Well pu	mped <u>HM</u>	I-L2			Avera	ge disc	charge			
Radius _		-		oump on	-		P	ump off	_	
Measuri	ng point _	Top of c	asing	_	0	rifice				_
Time	t (Mins.)	t' (Mins.)	Tape held	Wetted	Water level		Air line	P.S.I.	P-tube	G.P.M
11:49					137.06					
12:25					137.57					
				1				122		
					(		-			
			1					1		
		1000	1000							
			1							
1										
							-			
									1000	1
_										
			- 1							
						-	-		-	1
										-

4/6/81

4/7/81

40-A


	REMARKS: BUREAU E	WITNESSED BY	RECORDED BY	TIME SINCE CIRC.	TYPE FLUID IN HOLE	BIT SIZE	CASING - LOGGER	CASING - DRILLER	TOP READING	BOTTOM READING	DEPTH - LOGGER	DEPTH-DRILLER	RUN NO.	DATE	ENGINEER: WAR	ELEVATION: 25	LOCATION: NW 1	SEC. 11	COUNTY: LAMAR	OWNER: TATUM D	COMPANY: MISS		BURE		-
	IAS NO SAMPLES,	J. Bradshaw	H. Johnson	1/4 hr.	Gel	4 1/2"	0		15'	200'	200'	200'	1	3-31-81 .	REN GREEN SISSIPPI STATE	50' (Topo)	/4, SW 1/4, SF	<b>T</b> . 2N		DOME, MONITOR W	ISSIPPI BUREAU		AU OF	Electrica	
	POOR GROUND C	J. Bradshaw	H. Johnson	1/2 hr.	Gel	4 1/2"	٩		15'	200'	200'	200'	2	3-31-81	BOARD OF HEAL	DATUM; Grou	3 1/4	<b>R</b> . 16W	STATE: MISSI	VELL HM-L2	J OF GEOLOGY		GEOLO	l Log	
	CONNECTION.						@								TH	ınd Level		FILE NO. J44	ISSIPPI				ĞΥ		
	Fold Line 2																								
	SPO	SPONTANEOUS POTENTIAL DEP millivoits									тня	S RESIS								TIVITY m²/m					
		.5							Ι			-	0		- SHORT	NORN = 16"	IAL -	50	,	100					
1	MV MV												$\frac{10000 \text{ NORMAL}}{1000 \text{ AM} = 64^{\circ}} 5000000000000000000000000000000000000$							100					
					•					0			R	UN	#2										THE PARTY OF
												-	~ {	7											





