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DISCLAIMER

The information contained in this manual is intended to inform the public and does not establish or affect legal rights or obligations. This disclaimer also applies to all Phase II information on the MDEQ’s web site. References to other web sites intended for informational purposes only and do not imply any official MDEQ endorsement of, or responsibility for, the opinions, ideas, reliability, data or products presented at those locations, or guarantee the validity of the information provided. Reference to any specific commercial products, process or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not constitute or imply its endorsement or recommendation.

This guidance document is in preliminary form, subject to revision until the Mississippi Environmental Quality Permit Board issues the MS4 General Permit.
INTRODUCTION

PHASE II STORM WATER REQUIREMENTS FOR MDOT

A. Regulatory Requirements

The permitting of the Mississippi Department of Transportation’s (MDOT’s) small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4s) at state and interstate highways, their right-of-ways and thoroughfares (including streets, roads, bridges, maintenance facilities, service areas, and rest areas) within the jurisdictional boundary of MDOT in the State of Mississippi is required as a result of USEPA’s Phase II Storm Water Rule. This National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) final regulation was promulgated on December 8, 1999. Mississippi is a delegated State to implement the NPDES program and the Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) is the permitting authority.

What constitutes an MS4 is often misinterpreted and misunderstood. The term MS4 does not solely refer to municipally-owned storm sewer systems, but rather a much broader application that can include, in addition to local jurisdictions, the State departments of transportation, universities, local sewer districts, hospitals, military bases, and prisons. In addition, an MS4 also is not always just a system of underground pipes – it can include roads with drainage systems, gutters, and ditches. The regulatory definition of an MS4 follows:

According to 40 CFR 122.26(b)(8), “municipal separate storm sewer means a conveyance or system of conveyances (including roads with drainage systems, municipal streets, catch basins, curbs, gutters, ditches, man-made channels, or storm drains): (i) Owned or operated by a State, city, town, borough, county, parish, district, association, or other public body (created by or pursuant to State law)...including special districts under State law such as a sewer district, flood control district or drainage district, or similar entity, or an Indian tribe or an authorized Indian tribal organization, or a designated and approved management agency under section 208 of the Clean Water Act that discharges into waters of the United States. (ii) Designed or used for collecting or conveying storm water; (iii) Which is not a combined sewer; and (iv) Which is not part of a Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) as defined at 40 CFR 122.2.”

The Phase II Final Rule requires nationwide coverage of all operators of small MS4s that are located within the boundaries of a Bureau of the Census-defined “urbanized area” (UA) based on the latest decennial Census. An urbanized area (UA) is a land area comprising one or more places (core and fringe) with urban limits defined by a population density of 1,000 people per square mile and its contiguous census tracks of 500 people per square mile — that together have a residential population of at least 50,000. It is a calculation used by the Bureau of the Census to determine the geographic boundaries of the most heavily developed and dense urban areas. MDEQ will provide maps depicting urbanized areas to regulated entities. The list of incorporated places and counties automatically designated under the Storm Water Phase II Rule are: Bay St. Louis Biloxi, Brandon, Clinton, D’Iberville, DeSoto County, Flowood, Forrest County, Gautier, Gulfport, Hancock County, Harrison County, Hattiesburg, Hinds County, Horn Lake, Jackson County, Lamar County, Long Beach, Madison, Madison County, Moss Point, Ocean Springs, Pascagoula, Pass Christian, Pearl, Petal, Rankin County, Richland, Ridgeland, Southaven, and Waveland.
Many MS4s fall partially within urbanized areas (as defined by the US Census). The Phase II municipal regulation only requires those portions of the MS4 within the urbanized areas to have MS4 permit coverage. This provision does not apply to those MS4s designated by MDEQ in their entirety. For the Mississippi Department of Transportation (MDOT), at a minimum, permit coverage must be obtained for the entire counties (including cities within) of: Desoto, Forrest, Hancock, Harrison, Hinds, Jackson, Lamar, Madison and Rankin. The applicant must, at a minimum, implement each program area for the entire urbanized area within their jurisdiction, or, if designated separately by MDEQ, the entire designated area. MDEQ recommends that each permittee apply the permit provisions to their entire MS4, for the following reasons:

- ease of administration for the regulated entity as well as MDEQ
- provides consistent requirements for developers, municipal staff, residents, industries, etc. across the permittee’s jurisdiction
- areas near the urbanized area boundaries will probably be included in the next census, and so will need coverage in the future
- the storm water pollution potential from the urban fringe is similar to the potential from urbanized areas
- MDEQ may designate the entire MS4 as needing permit coverage, due to certain circumstances (e.g., discharge to sensitive waters, TMDL in place, high potential for water quality impacts due to land use, large number of complaints for certain areas, etc.)

The Phase II regulation also requires MDEQ to evaluate a potentially designated list of municipalities to determine if permitting is required. The potentially designated municipalities are those with populations greater or equal to 10,000 and a population density greater than 1,000 people per square mile. The list of potentially designated municipalities according to the 2000 census are Brookhaven, Clarksdale, Cleveland, Columbus, Greenville, Greenwood, Indianola, Laurel, McComb, Natchez, Oxford, and Yazoo City.

General permits are strongly encouraged by EPA. The Phase II program has been designed specifically to accommodate a general permit approach. General permits prescribe one set of requirements for all applicable permittees and are a less burdensome permitting process than the individual permit process. Therefore, the Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) strongly encourages designated entities to apply for Coverage under the **Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) General NPDES Permit**. This permit will be available by December 2002.

Therefore the Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) will require MDOT to apply for Coverage under the **Mississippi Department of Transportation Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) General NPDES Permit**.

The permit requires MDOT to prepare and implement a Storm Water Management Program (SWMP) that includes basic requirements for Best Management Practices (BMPs) that address six minimum control measures for storm water runoff from new and existing highways, their right-of-ways and thoroughfares (including streets, roads, bridges, maintenance facilities, service areas, and rest areas). This plan and Notice of Intent (application) must be submitted by March 10, 2003.
### B. The Six Minimum Controls are:

1. Public education and outreach on storm water impacts
2. Public involvement and participation
3. Illicit connection and discharge detection and elimination
4. Construction site runoff control
5. Post-construction storm water management in development and redevelopment
6. Pollution prevention and good housekeeping of municipal operations

There are required BMPs in the permit (i.e. development of a storm sewer system map) and in addition, MDOT will have to choose appropriate BMPs for their MS4 that address the above six minimum control measures. The list of required BMPs and chosen BMPs will be part of the SWMP that is required. BMPs that satisfy the six minimum controls are also required elements. During the term of the permit (5 years), additional measures may be required when a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) has been specified for a receiving waterbody, when a Watershed Management Plan has been adopted for a watershed or if MDOT’s SWMP proves to be inadequate in reducing pollutants in storm water runoff.

It is important to note that many of the requirements of the permit may be satisfied by MDOT coordinating its efforts with other regulated entities, municipalities, counties, and Watershed Groups. On a broader scale, some aspects of public education may be addressed through a statewide public education campaign. Additionally, MDOT may already have in place programs that satisfy mandatory requirements of the permit. In this case, the program or segments shall be fully described in the program. If the program or segments will be used to fulfill the permit requirement, then the description will be considered as a commitment to continue them.

MDEQ supports cooperation between permit holders in complying with the six minimum measures. The regulation allows for MDOT to rely on another entity to implement all or part of a minimum control measure to comply with the permit. MDOT must still make sure that the requirements of the minimum control measure are met and is liable if they are not. If the entity that the permitted MS4 operator is relying on to carry out the requirements of the minimum control measure fails to meet the permit requirements, it is the permittee’s responsibility to then find alternative means to assure compliance.

This guidance manual outlines for MDOT, Mississippi’s Phase II storm water regulatory requirements and offers some general guidance on how to satisfy them. Attached are recommendations, guidance and examples of what other regulated MS4s have done in order to comply with federal regulations. It is important to keep in mind that MDOT has a great degree of flexibility in determining how to satisfy the six minimum control measure requirements. We envision that MDOT’s Storm Water Management Program will continue to improve, as the General Permit will continue to be modified and improved over subsequent permitting cycles.

### C. Notice of Intent (Permit Application)

This section discusses the application process. The deadline for submittal of the application for MDOT is March 10, 2003.

MDEQ will provide an MS4 Notice of Intent (NOI) application form (see Appendix G). In addition to the MS4 NOI, a **Storm Water Management Program (SWMP) must accompany the permit application**. The SWMP will include the BMPs that MDOT will implement for each of the storm water
minimum control measures and justification as to why each BMP was selected. The justification must include a brief discussion on why the BMP will be an effective element that addresses the control measure. In addition, the SWMP will include measurable goals for each of the minimum control measure components, including, as appropriate, a proposed schedule of dates for each of the measurable goals, including interim milestones and the frequency of the action. Justification as to why each measurable goal was selected must also be included with the application. This justification must include a brief discussion on why the measurable goal is an effective measurement of the permittee's progress towards compliance with the minimum measure.

MDEQ will review all applications for completeness, and adequacy in meeting the intent of the regulations and the requirements of the general permit. If an application is complete and adequate, MDOT will be notified in writing that the SWMP meets the minimum requirements of the permit. A certificate of coverage will accompany the letter (See Appendix E). If the MDEQ determines that the proposed program, BMPs or any of the measurable goals are inadequate, the applicant will be notified and required to amend the SWMP.

MDOT will be required to complete implementation of all six minimum measures by the end of the first permit term, February 28, 2008. The schedule for the goals must reflect a relatively steady level of effort throughout the permit term. That is, the compliance dates should not all be near the end of the permit term. Basic program tools such as maps and ordinances, etc. should be scheduled in the beginning of the 5 year permit cycle. The Phase II NPDES program encourages MDOT to evolve and refine the MDOT’s program goals in subsequent permit cycles. MDEQ also realizes that some changes will be necessary during the five-year permit term and the general permit has procedures for these changes. MDOT’s Annual Report will require a summary of progress made towards each goal. A standardized format for annual reports will be provided by MDEQ if time & resources permit.

In some cases, MDOT may already have a program or segments of a program in place and functioning that meets the requirements of the regulation. In this case, the program or segments shall be described in the application. If the program or segments will be used to fulfill the permit requirement, then the description will be considered as a commitment to continue them.

The information provided in the application must be accurate to the best knowledge and belief of the applicant. However, MDEQ recognizes that as programs mature some program elements may be found to be ineffective or impracticable. The general permit will describe the process to be used in this situation.

Table 1 shows the important milestone dates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Date/Deadline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MDEQ drafts the Small MS4 General Permit</td>
<td>May 2002 – October 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDEQ issues the Small MS4 General Permit</td>
<td>December 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application deadline for Phase II Small MS4s</td>
<td>March 10, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline for the implementation of all six minimum control measures</td>
<td>March 9, 2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
D. Measurable Goals

Measurable goals are described in the Phase II rule as BMP design objectives or goals that quantify the progress of program implementation and the performance of BMPs. They are objective markers or milestones that MDOT (and MDEQ) will use to track the progress and effectiveness of the BMPs in reducing pollutants to the Maximum Extent Practicable (MEP).

Once submitted in the permit application, the BMPs and measurable goals selected become requirements of the storm water management program. MDEQ, however, can review the program and require changes in the mix of chosen measurable goals if they are found to be inconsistent with the provisions of the MS4 General Permit.

The following information describes steps that can be taken to select measurable goals appropriate for the program. MDEQ recommends seeking input from and actively involve both the public and key stakeholders.

MEP is the statutory standard that establishes the level of pollutant reductions that operators of regulated MS4s must achieve. The CWA requires that NPDES permits for discharges from MS4s “shall require controls to reduce the discharge of pollutants to the maximum extent practicable, including management practices, control techniques and system, design and engineering methods.” Compliance with the conditions of the general permit and the series of steps associated with identification and implementation of the minimum control measures will satisfy the MEP standard. EPA has intentionally not provided a precise definition of MEP to allow maximum flexibility in MS4 permitting. MS4s need the flexibility to optimize reductions in storm water pollutants on a location-by-location basis. EPA envisions that this evaluative process will consider such factors as conditions of receiving waters, specific local concerns, and other aspects included in a comprehensive watershed plan. Other factors may include MS4 size, climate, implementation schedules, current ability to finance the program, beneficial uses of receiving water, hydrology, geology, and capacity to perform operation and maintenance. The pollutant reductions that represent MEP may be different for each small MS4, given the unique local hydrologic and geologic concerns that may exist and the differing possible pollutant control strategies. Therefore, each MS4 will determine appropriate BMPs to satisfy each of the six minimum control measures through an evaluative process.

EPA envisions application of the MEP standard as an iterative process. MEP should continually adapt to current conditions and BMP effectiveness and should strive to attain water quality standards. Successive iterations of the mix of BMPs and measurable goals will be driven by the objective of assuring maintenance of water quality standards. If, after implementing the six minimum control measures there is still water quality impairment associated with discharges from the MS4, after successive permit terms the permittee will need to expand or better tailor its BMPs within the scope of the six minimum control measures for each subsequent permit. EPA envisions that this process may take two to three permit terms.

It is recommended that the SWMP contain a variety of short and long-term goals. At a minimum, measurable goals should contain descriptions of actions taken to implement each BMP, anticipated achievement by each goal, and the frequency and dates for such actions to be taken. Also, EPA recommends using BMPs and measurable goals to help establish a baseline against which future progress at reducing pollutants to the MEP can be measured. For example, information on current water
quality conditions, numbers of BMPs already implemented, and the public’s current knowledge/awareness of storm water management would be useful in setting this baseline.

There are a number of different ways to write the measurable goals. MDOT may consider developing measurable goals based on one or more of the following general categories:

1. *Tracking implementation over time.* Where a BMP is continually implemented over the permit term, a measurable goal can be developed to track how often, or where, this BMP is implemented.

2. *Measuring progress in implementing the BMP.* Some BMPs are developed over time, and a measurable goal can be used to track this progress until BMP implementation is completed.

3. *Tracking total numbers of BMPs implemented.* Measurable goals also can be used to track BMP implementation numerically, e.g., the number of wet detention basins in place or the number of people changing their behavior due to the receipt of educational materials.

4. *Tracking program/BMP effectiveness.* Measurable goals can be developed to evaluate BMP effectiveness, for example, by evaluating a structural BMP’s effectiveness at reducing pollutant loading, or evaluating a public education campaign’s effectiveness at reaching and informing the target audience to determine whether it reduces pollutants to the MEP. A measurable goal can also be a BMP design objective or a performance standard.

5. *Tracking environmental improvement.* The ultimate goal of the NPDES storm water program is environmental improvement, which can be a measurable goal. Achievement of environmental improvement can be assessed and documented by ascertaining whether state water quality standards are being met for the receiving waterbody or by tracking trends or improvements in water quality (chemical, physical, and biological) and other indicators, such as the hydrologic or habitat condition of the waterbody or watershed. It should be noted that analytical water quality monitoring is not a requirement of the General Permit.

Measurable goals should include, where appropriate, the following three components:

- The activity, or BMP, to be completed;
- A schedule or date of completion; and
- A quantifiable target to measure progress toward achieving the activity or BMP.

Measurable goals that include these three components and are easy to quantify will allow both MDEQ and MDOT to assess progress at reducing pollutants to the MEP.

To help select measurable goals, MDOT should:

1. *Consider the objective for each minimum measure.* The BMPs chosen should work toward one or more common objectives related to storm water quality improvement and should reduce pollutants to the MEP. The objectives should be based on what is known about existing pollutant sources and problems in the watershed(s) and what is required by the minimum measure. The objective may be quantified, or it may be a goal or purpose statement.

2. *Review the programs (municipal or other) that are already in place for each minimum measure.* Use the self-audit, described in Part 4, as a resource. MDOT should coordinate with other
agencies, non-profit groups, citizen groups, etc., to identify existing initiatives that can be used as part of the storm water management program.

3. **Select BMPs that complement each other and work toward meeting each minimum measure.** These BMPs should address the minimum measure objective identified above and meet the regulatory requirements in the minimum measure.

4. **For each BMP, develop expeditious milestones for implementation.** MDOT should include both a timeframe and a quantity to measure, if possible. Consider the following questions:
   - When will MDOT start implementing the BMP?
   - What institutional funding and legal issues (if any) does MDOT need to solve before implementation can occur, and when will these issues be solved?
   - How will MDOT keep track of the progress of implementation? (It would be useful to develop a spreadsheet or database to track the progress of meeting measurable goals for annual reports).
   - How the success of this BMP at reducing pollutants to the MEP can be measured, e.g., changes in behavior, number of BMPs implemented, or documented improvements in water quality.

5. **Determine how to evaluate the effectiveness of each BMP.** Although achievement of water quality standards is the goal of all CWA programs, MDOT may need to use other means to ascertain what effects individual and collective BMPs have on water quality and associated indicators. Instream monitoring, such as physical, chemical, and biological monitoring, is ideal because it allows the direct measurement of environmental improvements resulting from management efforts. MDOT can use targeted monitoring to evaluate BMP-specific effectiveness, whereas ambient monitoring can be used to determine overall program effectiveness. Again, instream monitoring is not a requirement of the General Permit but the regulated entity should be aware of any such monitoring by a third party (MDEQ for instance) that may aid in determining program effectiveness.

6. **Derive measurable goals from the evaluation methods selected in Step 5.** Once MDOT determine how to measure each BMP, MDOT should identify the measurable goals to be achieved in the permit term. Consider intermediate goals that can help establish milestones for success. Measurable goals should be developed that consider operation and maintenance for structural BMPs where ongoing maintenance can be a concern. Ultimately, the evaluation methods that are chosen for each BMP should lead to a determination of the environmental benefits of each minimum measure and the overall effectiveness of the storm water management program in reducing pollutants to the MEP.

The Phase II NPDES program encourages MDOT to evolve and refine its program goals throughout the five-year permit term and in subsequent permit cycles. MDOT should consider using BMPs and setting measurable goals that are targeted to address existing water quality problems and prevent new water quality problems.

**List of measurable parameters** - To aid in the formulation of measurable goals, below is a list of measurable parameters related to the third minimum measure. This list is not comprehensive and is meant to be a guide only.
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1. Identifying illicit connections
   - Inventory conducted and sites prioritized for inspection
   - The number of field tests conducted in high-risk areas
   - Whether or not an ordinance was developed to allow entrance into private buildings for the purpose of conducting tests
   - The number of illicit connections reported by business employees
   - The number of survey responses indicating a possible illicit connection
   - The number of illicit connections found
   - The number of illicit connections repaired/replaced
   - Whether or not an ordinance was developed to allow entrance into private buildings for the purpose of conducting tests
   - The number of new buildings inspected

2. Illegal dumping
   - The number of flyers, posters, or other public education tools distributed.
   - The number of illegal dumps reported by citizens.
   - The number of penalties enforced upon the participants of illegal dumps.
   - Whether or not an inventory of the prime areas for illegal dumping was completed.
   - The number of rewards distributed to citizens who reported an illegal dump.
   - The number of illegal dump clean-ups completed.

3. Industrial/business connections
   - The number of dry weather tests completed
   - The number of high-risk connections prioritized
   - The number of codes developed to prohibit connections
   - The number of illicit connections reported by business employees
   - The number of survey responses indicating a possible illicit connection
   - The number of illicit connections found
   - The number of illicit connections repaired/replaced
   - The number of new buildings inspected
   - Whether or not an ordinance was developed for mandatory inspections of new buildings

4. Recreational sewage
   - Whether or not an inventory of high-risk areas was completed
   - The number of pump-out stations installed
   - The amount of waste water that pump-out stations collect
   - The number of no-discharge areas created
   - The number of new signs added to remind citizens of dumping policies and alternatives
   - The number of enforced cases of recreational dumping
   - The number of citizen complaints made reporting illegal action
   - The change in water quality at marinas

5. Sanitary sewer overflows
   - The frequency of routine maintenance and cleaning activities
   - The number of overflows reported
   - The number of overflow causes that were identified during inspections
   - The number of sites repaired
INTRODUCTION

- The number of rainfall gauges installed
- Whether or not an ordinance was developed to prohibit new and illicit connections

6. **Wastewater connections to the storm drain system**
- The number of rerouted connections
- The number of dry weather monitoring activities performed
- Whether or not an inventory and prioritization of potential connection sites was completed
- The number of field tests conducted in high-risk areas
- The number of unwarranted connections reported
- The number of unwarranted connections found
- The number of unwarranted connections repaired/replaced
- Whether or not an ordinance was developed for mandatory inspections of new buildings
- The number of new buildings inspected
- Changes in water quality at re-routed outfalls and high-risk areas

E. **Standard Form For Submitting BMPs, Associated Measurable Goals and Implementation Year**

To maintain uniformity, consistency, and accessibility during program review and compliance, all coverage recipients should use the formatted Example Table below as a guide to list example BMPs and measurable goals for each minimum measure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMP</th>
<th>Measurable Goals</th>
<th>YR 1</th>
<th>YR 2</th>
<th>YR 3</th>
<th>YR 4</th>
<th>YR 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F. **Ordinances**

Phase II regulations require the development of ordinances or other regulatory mechanisms for 3 of the 6 minimum control measures (illicit discharge detection and elimination, construction, and post construction). MDOT may have other regulatory mechanisms. This section of the guidance manual discusses the types and uses of ordinances that are helpful in meeting permit requirements. For a listing of references for reviewing various models, or example ordinances applicable to the Phase II permitting process, see EPA's web site at [www.epa.gov/nps/ordinance/mol2.htm](http://www.epa.gov/nps/ordinance/mol2.htm). In addition, there are several sources of existing model ordinances that a regulated entity can use in the development of their own ordinances (see Appendix B - Guidance/Resources for Storm Water Phase II). In addition, [www.stormwatercenter.net](http://www.stormwatercenter.net) has a number of model ordinances. The NEMO workbook has these ordinances in annotated form and also has a “codes and ordinances worksheet” to evaluate existing ordinances. It is recommended that engineering (public works) and legal departments review each ordinance proposed for use by a regulated entity.
1. Background
Ordinances provide a means for a regulated entity to implement and enforce their permit conditions by providing a compliance mechanism that assists in the attainment of measurable goals. To the extent allowable under State or local law, ordinances (or other regulatory mechanisms) are required under the following sections:

- Illicit discharge detection and elimination – to prohibit non-storm water discharges into the storm sewer system, and implement appropriate enforcement procedures and actions.
- Construction site storm water runoff control – to require erosion and sediment controls, as well as sanctions to ensure compliance.
- Post-construction – to address post-construction runoff from new development and redevelopment projects, and sanctions to ensure compliance.

2. Items to Consider When Drafting and Implementing Ordinances
There are several items to consider when drafting and implementing ordinances with respect to Phase II permitting requirements. Points to consider include:

- **Legal authority** – Does the Phase II regulated entity have the legal authority to develop and implement the applicable ordinance(s)? It is the responsibility of the regulated entity to determine if they do not have such legal authority. If they do not have legal authority, the regulated entity must provide adequate evidence of this to the Division.
- **Practicability** – Is the ordinance written so that all parties can clearly understand and meet their objectives and requirements? Is it designed so that it can be implemented in the field with minimal problems? Is the ordinance “enforceable” by the regulated entity?
- **Applicability** – Does the ordinance meet the intent, as well as the specifics of the Phase II requirements? Does the ordinance apply to any and all appropriate entities within the regulated entity’s boundaries (both physical and jurisdictional)?
- **Desired goal(s) and objective(s)** – Does the ordinance clearly state the applicable goals and objectives, relative to the Phase II permitting requirements? Are the goals and objectives obtainable by all parties involved? Are the overall goals and objectives of the ordinance tied to appropriate measurable goals?
- **Resources** – Is the cost to develop, implement, and enforce the ordinance commensurate with its priority in the overall permitting program? Are the necessary resources available to develop, implement, and enforce the ordinance?
- **Implementation** – Is the ordinance implementable, particularly with respect to the entity(s) that will need to meet the ordinance requirements? Are there provisions in the ordinance that may preclude it from being usable and reasonable?

3. Typical Ordinances Applicable to Phase II Permitting
Typical ordinances that may be necessary to meet the Phase II permitting requirements include, but are not limited to:

- Grading, erosion and sedimentation control (including revegetation/reclamation requirements) for construction projects (required by the construction minimum measure)
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- Structural BMPs for post-construction (permanent) development and significant redevelopment projects (required by the post-construction minimum measure)
- Storm drainage design and management (e.g., Storm Drainage Design and Technical Criteria Manual)
- Aquatic buffers
- Illicit discharge detection, cleanup, and elimination (required by the illicit discharge minimum measure)
- Storm water utility
- Transfer of development rights
- Golf course management
- Wetlands and watercourse management
- Operation and maintenance of MS4s
- Operation and maintenance of short-term and long-term best management practices (BMPs)
- Litter and floatable material (nuisance) management (e.g., trash, junk, weeds and yard waste)
- Stream protection ordinances
- Landscape design code

Other tools associated with ordinances, which may also play a key role in implementing the Phase II permitting requirements, include:

- Design and construction standards
- Design guidelines
- Storm water Quality Control Plan standards
- Stream Buffer and Floodplain Overlay Districts

Each ordinance that the regulated entity develops should focus on specific objectives relative to meeting the intent and requirements of Phase II, particularly with respect to measurable goals as defined in the permit.

4. Measurable Goals – Ordinances
Before any measurable goals are developed in conjunction with applicable ordinances, the regulated entity should evaluate current ordinances (if any) to assess needs and requirements with respect to the Phase II Program. Based on this assessment, a list of needed ordinances should be developed. Then, measurable goals for drafting, implementing, and evaluating compliance with an ordinance should be developed. The measurable goals should be developed to meet the intent and requirements of Phase II permitting, be attainable and reasonable, and mesh with existing technologies.

G. Financing Storm Water Management

1. The Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ), Office of Pollution Control, Construction Branch
MDEQ’s Construction Branch has funding available under the Water Pollution Control Revolving Loan Fund Program for certain planning, permit application, design, construction, and other implementation activities needed (exclusive of operation and maintenance) to comply with the Phase II storm water requirements. These low-interest loans of 1.75% may have up to a 20-year
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repayment period. Contact Mark Smith at 601/961-5130 (Email: Mark_Smith@deq.state.ms.us) for further information.

2. Scenic and Green Communities of Mississippi
Mississippi community leaders now have another resource to help them create ‘the best’ places to live, work and play. The Mississippi Urban Forest Council and the Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality are unveiling a new community development program. The program will assist communities in implementing sound natural resource conservation practices such as water quality, community forestry, scenic projects, habitat development, flood control, hazard mitigation, recycling, water shed assessments and many other valuable practices.

The Scenic and Green Communities of Mississippi program is a listing of all resources and programs in Mississippi that can assist and provide funding/technical assistance to communities. Over 200 natural resource conservation projects will be included in the resource listing with contacts and agencies within the state that provide these services directly to communities. The listing will include all resources from state, federal, nonprofit and private entities, as well as funding sources for grants and technical experts available to advise communities.

Communities that voluntarily implement a number of these programs will be recognized regionally and statewide as a Scenic and Green Community of Mississippi. The success stories from these designated communities will be shared statewide and regionally through a variety of media.

Many communities are faced with new challenges of water quality mandates, storm water and land use issues. All of the programs listed will contribute to this ongoing challenge that community leaders and citizens share of improving economics and enhancing livability for their community. Green infrastructure, conservation and urban forestry is a large piece of the puzzle in community development when addressing these and other challenges. This project will better connect state resources to the decision makers in the communities, as well as the volunteer citizens who contribute to building better communities.

One hundred communities will be invited to participate the first year of the program and receive the resource program free. Should a community like to be a leader in this valuable arena and has a history of natural resource conservation an invitation for participation is extended. For more information contact: the Mississippi Urban Forest Council, Donna Yowell, Executive Director, 601-856-1660 or dyowell@aol.com.

3. Center for Urban Policy and the Environment, Indiana University
The Center for Urban Policy and the Environment at Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI) in cooperation with the Watershed Management Institute, Inc. has used EPA grant money to develop a web site (http://stormwaterfinance.urbancenter.iupui.edu/home.htm) designed to help communities find ways to pay for storm water management projects.

Proceedings from the National Conference on Tools for Urban Water Resources contained several quality papers on topics such as Phase II cost estimates, developing a storm water utility fee, tools for eliminating illicit connections, public information projects, and many more directly applicable to Phase II and the six minimum measures. Copies in either paper format or on CD-ROM are available free of charge from the EPA; call (800) 490-9198 or visit the web site at
H. Properties Listed or Eligible for Listing in the National Register of Historic Places

This permit does not authorize the implementation of a Storm Water Management Program (SWMP) which adversely affect properties listed or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. The National Register of Historic Places is the nation's official list of buildings, sites and districts, which are important in our history. Although Congress created the National Register, it is administered by the states. Each state has a historic preservation office, which is responsible for placing buildings, sites and districts on the Register. State Historic Preservation Officers (SHPOs) administer the national historic preservation program at the State level, review National Register of Historic Places nominations, maintain data on historic properties that have been identified but not yet nominated, and consult with Federal agencies during review. To see if a site is on the National Register of Historic Places contact the review and compliance officer at the Division of Historic Preservation, Mississippi Department of Archives and History, Jackson at 601/359-6940. The SHPO will require an application along with a good quality map indicating the site location. MDEQ anticipates that adverse impacts are likely to occur from construction activities that physically disturb a listed site, such as regional detention basins.

I. Endangered Species

The permit does not authorize storm water discharges if they are likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any species that is listed as endangered or threatened under the Endangered Species Act. The MS4 must determine if any endangered species may be found in “proximity” to the storm water discharges. Determining “proximity” can be done by conducting a visual survey, contacting the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service at (601/321-1131), or by utilizing EPA’s searchable web site cfpub.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater/endangersearch.

The most recent Endangered and Threatened Species County-Species List available from EPA should be used to determine impacts prior to submittal of the NOI. As of the date of this guidance manual, the most current version of this list is located on EPA’s Office of Water web site at www.epa.gov/npdes/. MS4s must meet one or more of the criteria below for the entire term of coverage under the permit.

Criteria A: No endangered or threatened species or critical habitat are in proximity to the MS4 or the point where authorized discharges reach the receiving water; or

Criteria B: In the course of a separate federal action involving your MS4 (e.g., EPA processing request for an individual NPDES permit, issuance of a CWA §404 wetlands dredge and fill permit, etc.), formal or informal consultation with the Fish and Wildlife Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries Service
(the “Services”) under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) has been concluded and that consultation:

- Addressed the effects of your storm water discharges, allowable non-storm water discharges, and discharge-related activities on listed species and critical habitat and

- Resulted in either a no jeopardy opinion or a written concurrence by the Service on a finding that storm water discharges, allowable non-storm water discharges, and discharge-related activities are not likely to adversely affect listed species or critical habitat; or

Criteria C: Activities are authorized under Section 10 of the ESA and that authorization addresses the effects of storm water discharges, allowable non-storm water discharges, and discharge-related activities on listed species and critical habitat; or

Criteria D: Using best judgement, the MS4 has evaluated the effects of its storm water discharges, allowable non-storm water discharges, and discharge-related activities on listed endangered or threatened species and critical habitat, and do not have reason to believe the discharge and discharge-related activities will jeopardize the continued existence of any species or result in the adverse modification or destruction of critical habitat.

The key regulatory requirement is that the discharges from the MS4 are not likely to jeopardize an endangered species. Since the focus of the Storm Water Management Plan is to improve water quality, preserve and add green space, protect riparian areas, and prevent stream degradation, MDEQ believes an MS4 can document that an endangered species will not be jeopardized. However, the MS4 should pay close attention if a large BMP, such as a regional detention basin, is proposed.

J. Water Quality Standards and Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs)

The Small MS4 General Permit requires storm water discharges to a 303(d) listed impaired water from a regulated entity the SWMP to include a section describing how the program will control the discharge of the pollutants of concern to ensure the discharges will not cause or contribute to violations of water quality standards. Furthermore, measures may be required when a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) has been specified for a receiving waterbody or when a Watershed Management Plan has been adopted for a watershed.

1. What is a water quality standard?
   The Clean Water Act sets national minimum goals for all waters as “fishable and swimmable.” To support these goals, Mississippi has adopted water quality standards which are regulations with several main components:

   a. "Designated use," such as aquatic life support or contact recreation. One or more uses are assigned to each waterbody.

   b. "Numeric Criteria," are the in-stream conditions necessary to protect the designated use. For example, physical or chemical characteristics, temperature, minimum concentration of dissolved oxygen, and the maximum concentrations of toxic pollutants.
c. "Narrative Criteria," is a general statement that prohibits pollutants at objectionable levels, such as the narrative criteria of "no toxics in toxic amounts."

If a water body does not meet one or more of the components of a water quality standard, then the water body is considered in violation of that standard.

2. What is a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL)?
A TMDL represents the maximum amount of a pollutant that can enter a water body so that the water body will meet and continue to meet state water quality standards. Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act requires states to identify all waters that are not meeting their water quality standards. For these waters, the state must calculate how much pollution can be put in the water without violating the standard, and then distribute that quantity to all the sources. That quantity is the sum of the point sources plus the nonpoint sources plus a safety factor to maintain the integrity of the water sources. TMDLs serve as planning tools for developing specific controls needed to meet water quality standards.

3. What does a TMDL propose?
A TMDL will propose loads from specific points (such as municipal wastewater treatment facilities and industrial waste treatment plants) and nonpoint sources (such as direct runoff from agricultural lands, urban areas, forested lands, etc.) in the watershed. TMDLs are used to look at impacts on waterbodies from many kinds of contamination, consider seasonal variations, and account for background levels of pollutants (those levels occurring in nature). TMDLs are also used to compare current loads and proposed loads with expected levels of reduction to achieve the water quality standards.

4. What about the public?
The Clean Water Act provides for any individual or organization potentially impacted by the development and implementation of a TMDL to participate in the procedures. The public may participate in the TMDL process through Mississippi’s Basin Management Approach. Also, anyone is welcome to comment on a TMDL during the public notice period for the TMDL. A 30-day public notice period will be held following the publication for each TMDL. Any comments received during the public notice period will become a part of the TMDL administrative record, and will be considered by MDEQ prior to finalizing the TMDL report.

K. Introduction to the Chapters I – VI

The next six chapters correspond to the six minimum measures. The format for each chapter is a brief introduction of the minimum measure and why its important; the permit requirements; EPA’s BMP Menu; three examples of what other DOTs are doing (the three examples are Michigan (MDOT), Washington (WSDOT), and California (Caltrans); Mississippi Guidance and Resources; and Phase II BMPs and Measurable Goals example.
An informed and knowledgeable community is crucial to the success of MDOT’s storm water management program. Without public knowledge of local water quality problems caused by storm water runoff, it may be difficult to obtain public support for MDOT’s Storm Water Management Program (SWMP). This support ranges from individuals changing their daily actions to community backing for all of the six minimum measures. As with all of the minimum measures, the goal of this measure is reduce the degradation and improve water quality of state waters. In order to achieve this water quality benefit, Public Education programs should be targeted to improve understanding of the reasons why storm water quality programs exist. Public understanding of storm water impacts is particularly important when MDOT attempts to institute new funding initiatives or seek volunteers to help implement the program. As the public becomes aware of the personal responsibilities expected of them and others in the community, including the individual actions they can take, water quality can be protected or improved.

A. Program Requirements

1. To satisfy this minimum control measure, MDOT must:

   a. Implement a public education program to distribute educational materials to the community or conduct equivalent outreach activities about the impacts of storm water discharges on water bodies and the steps that the public can take to reduce pollutants in storm water runoff.

   b. Define appropriate BMPs for this minimum control measure and measurable goals for each BMP.

   c. Document the decision process for the development of a storm water public education and outreach program. The regulated entity’s rationale statement must address both the overall public education program and the individual BMPs, measurable goals, and responsible persons for this program. The rationale statement must include the following information, at a minimum:

      (1) How the regulated entity plans to inform individuals and households about the steps they can take to reduce storm water pollution such as proper septic system maintenance, proper use and disposal of landscape and garden chemicals including fertilizers and pesticides, protecting and restoring riparian vegetation and properly disposing of used motor oil or household hazardous waste.

      (2) How the regulated entity plans to inform individuals and groups on how to become involved in the storm water program (with activities such as storm drain stenciling/marking, adopt-a-stream, and litter clean-up projects).
(3) Who are the target audiences for the education program who are likely to have significant storm water impacts (including commercial, industrial and institutional entities) and why those target audiences were selected.

(4) What are the target pollutant sources the public education program is designed to address.

(5) What is the regulated entity’s outreach strategy, including the mechanisms (e.g., printed brochures, newspapers, media, workshops, etc.) the regulated entity will use to reach target audiences, and how many people the regulated entity expects to reach by the outreach strategy over the permit term.

(6) How the regulated entity will evaluate the success of this minimum measure, including how the regulated entity selected the measurable goals for each of the BMPs.

(7) Responsibility for overall management and implementation of the storm water public education and outreach program and, if different, who is responsible for each of the BMPs identified for this program.

B. From EPA’s BMP Menu

(For more detailed information regarding implementation, effectiveness, benefits, limitations, cost and references, contact MDEQ or see EPA’s web site @ [http://www.epa.gov/npdes/menubmps/menu.htm](http://www.epa.gov/npdes/menubmps/menu.htm)

1. Proper Disposal of Household Hazardous Wastes

   Public Education and Outreach on Storm Water Impacts

   a. Description

   Many products found in homes contain chemical ingredients that are potentially harmful to people and to the environment. Chemicals such as solvents, is an example of common hazardous products in the home.

   Hazardous products include the following:

   - Car care and maintenance: motor oil, battery acid, gasoline, car wax, engine cleaner, antifreeze, degreaser, radiator flush, and rust preventative
   - Cleaning products, paints, preservatives, strippers, brush cleaners, and solvents
   - Other products labeled toxic, flammable, or corrosive or containing lye, phenols, petroleum distillates, or trichlorobenzene

   b. Applicability

   Municipal household hazardous waste programs are widely applicable and vary in scope. They can range from simply informing the public about the hazards of some commonly used household chemicals to establishing a household hazardous waste collection facility. With limited funding one can implement a small education campaign and expand the program, as resources become available.
2. Trash Management
   Public Education, Awareness, and Outreach
   a. Description
      Trash and floating debris in waterways have become significant pollutants. Especially in areas where a large volume of trash is generated in a concentrated area. Trash in waterbodies contributes to visual pollution and detracts from the aesthetic qualities of the landscape. It also poses a threat to wildlife and human health (e.g., choking hazards to wildlife and bacteria to humans). Additionally, trash and debris can clog the intake valves on boat engines, which results in expensive repairs.

   b. Applicability
      When developing control strategies for trash, municipalities should consider the following points:
      • Implement a control structure designed to target the most prevalent types of trash and identify the source or sources of the trash.
      • Evaluate the costs for each control. Develop a budget that takes into consideration what services and facilities are already available and can be utilized at the lowest cost.
      • Regular cleaning and maintenance is necessary to prevent the accumulating trash at control structures from being hazardous itself.
      • Control strategies should not just transport trash to another waterbody. They should reduce the quantity of trash in the water as a whole.

3. Education/Outreach for Commercial Activities
   Public Education and Outreach on Storm Water Impacts
   a. Description
      The key to a successful outreach campaign is to target a message to a specific audience. The target audience is the group to whom a storm water pollution message is to be addressed. Industries and businesses can be a very influential component of the watershed. Many commercial activities contribute to storm water pollution (such as vehicle washing, landscape fertilization, and improper hazardous waste disposal). Therefore, it is important to address commercial activities specifically in an outreach strategy. It is also important to recognize that in most cases incentives must be provided to encourage businesses to change their behavior.

   b. Applicability
      There are numerous ways to provide education and outreach for commercial activities. Materials designed for businesses can include posters, magnets, calendars, flyers, brochures, and best management practices (BMPs) fact sheets or handbooks. For example, if the target audience includes auto maintenance industries, one might consider developing and distributing educational brochures and posters to these industries that outline BMPs that reduce urban runoff volume and pollutant concentration that result from their operations. Several storm water programs also offer rewards to businesses that participate in a "storm water business" program and meet specific criteria. These commercial storm water pollution prevention programs have been very successful across the nation.
4. Tailoring Outreach Programs to Minority and Disadvantaged Communities and Children
Public Education and Outreach on Storm Water Impacts

a. Description
Many communities are ethnically and culturally diverse and include a portion of the population that speaks a language other than English. The messages contained in signs, brochures, advertisements, newsletters, and other outreach materials that are printed only in English are mostly lost on these groups. For example, in areas such as southern Florida and southern California where a large proportion of the population consists of Spanish-speaking immigrants, it is important to reach out to non-English speaking residents and inform them about storm water pollution issues and the importance of clean water because their activities can generate a substantial amount of pollution. This type of expanded outreach program is not limited to these areas. Census 2000 figures show increasing minority populations in urban centers and suburbs such as Washington, DC, (Fernandez, 2001; Cohn and Witt, 2001) and New York (Cohn, 2001), among others.

Other groups that communities can target for outreach activities are disadvantaged persons who may not have the opportunity to learn about or participate in existing programs and activities. Municipal officials and representatives can design and implement special education programs in poorer neighborhoods to listen to and address the concerns of these residents and offer suggestions about ways that these residents can improve their neighborhood and environment.

b. Applicability
Municipalities are typically aware of the locations of ethnic enclaves and low-income areas. However, historic distinctions between neighborhoods may not be accurate and are most likely changing. It is important for municipalities to survey residents about neighborhood demographics and determine if a specialized campaign is needed in a particular area. The survey can target areas that the municipality deems most likely to contain minority and disadvantaged residents. Municipalities can seek assistance from sociology departments at local universities to help with the survey effort or can hire a firm specializing in focus groups and polling to conduct the research.

Once minority and disadvantaged groups have been identified, an analysis of the target group should be conducted. This analysis should determine the audience’s perception of storm water issues so the municipality can tailor the outreach program to the appropriate knowledge base and address specific issues of concern. This tailoring will increase the likelihood that the groups are motivated and willing to participate in the program. For example, does the audience know what a watershed is or understand what causes polluted runoff? If not, those terms should be defined in the messages.

It is also useful for the municipality to find out how the target audience gets its information to more effectively develop, format, and distribute environmental messages. Which newspapers, magazines, or newsletters do they read? To what organizations do they belong? Do they watch local news or cable television? Do they receive information in other forms such as community radio programs? Who are the opinion leaders, and how can they be reached?
5. **Classroom Education on Storm Water**  
Public Education and Outreach on Storm Water Impacts  

a. **Description**  
Classroom education is an integral part of any storm water pollution outreach program. Providing storm water education through schools exposes the message not only to students but to their parents as well. Many municipal storm water programs have partnered with educators and experts to develop storm water-related curricula for the classroom. Fortunately, these lessons need not be elaborate or expensive to be effective.

b. **Applicability**  
It is important to emphasize that the role of a municipality is to support a school district's effort to educate students about storm water, not to dictate what programs and materials the school should use. Municipalities should work with school officials to identify their needs. For example, if the schools request storm water outreach materials, municipalities can provide educational aids that range from simple photocopied handouts, overheads, posters, and slide shows or more costly and elaborate endeavors such as working models and displays. The Daly City (California) Utilities gave a slide show and video presentation depicting the problem of marine entanglement to an eighth grade classroom just before their 1998 beach cleanup. Afterwards they had their largest volunteer turnout ever for a cleanup.

6. **Storm Water Educational Materials**  
Public Education and Outreach on Storm Water Impacts  

a. **Description**  
Storm water education starts with a well-thought and well-developed outreach plan. The outreach plan should identify goals and objectives, classify the target audience, identify the message to be conveyed, and explain how the message will be distributed to the audience.

b. **Applicability**  
The first step for a municipality will be to determine who the target audience is or whether there is more than one audience to target (see Attitude Survey fact sheet). If there is more than one audience to address, can they be reached simultaneously or should they be prioritized? This will depend on the type(s) of audiences to reach and the message(s). Once the target audience(s) has/have been determined and the storm water message has been packaged, distribution can begin. Outreach materials (posters, flyers, magnets, etc.) will not help prevent storm water pollution if the target audience does not receive and read them. Common distribution mechanisms include direct mail, door-to-door distribution, telephone, targeted businesses, presentations, handouts at events, media outlets, and messages posted in public places. Deciding how to distribute materials involves a close look at the level of time, resources, and work required. For example, if posters with a storm water message are to be printed, several things need to be decided: Should the posters be mailed to a specific audience? Should mailing tubes be purchased? Are addresses available?
7. Educational Displays, Pamphlets, Booklets, and Utility Stuffers
Public Education and Outreach on Storm Water Impacts

a. Description
Printed materials are a common way to inform the public about storm water pollution. Some municipalities have a public relations department or a staff member that handles these types of outreach materials, whereas others contract with public relations firms and graphic designers to develop materials. Regardless of who actually produces the materials, municipalities should be creative when deciding which media to use and what types of messages are appropriate for those media. They also need to consider the following questions:

- Who is the audience? (i.e., general public, developers, homeowners?)
- How does the audience get it's information? (i.e., newspaper, television, trade magazines, utility bills?)
- What knowledge base does the audience have?
- Does the audience need to be convinced about the importance of storm water pollution control?

These and other questions can guide municipalities in choosing the appropriate media and designing a message with the appropriate tone and level of information.

Some common printed materials include educational displays, pamphlets, booklets, and utility stuffers. Computer desktop publishing has made the production of many of these materials fun and easy. If money is tight, or there is limited access to a computer, attractive and effective materials using basic resources such as a photocopy machine, scissors, and glue can still be effective.

When designing the layout of a display, pamphlet, or flyer, the following issues should be considered:

- Restraint in design, consistency in artwork and graphic types, and quality materials are important factors because the audience should be invited into the materials with appealing, user-friendly layouts.
- The text should be kept to a minimum but still be interesting for readers.
- Using various formats and active voice can make the text more engaging.
- Graphics--photos, logos, or other artwork--are great for breaking up long blocks of text, allowing readers a visual break.
- Images of lakes, streams, rivers, wetlands, and other storm water features are "naturals" for enhancing any printed material. The emotional appeal they elicit can be tremendous.

b. Applicability
Educational displays, pamphlets, booklets, and stuffers can be easily exhibited and distributed to a large population. They can be made using simple materials and graphics, or they can be made more elaborate. Furthermore, these displays can be made for any and all age levels, in any language, or for specific audiences.
8. Using the Media
   Public Education, Awareness, and Outreach
   a. Description
      The media can be one of the strongest allies to a storm water pollution prevention campaign in educating the public about storm water issues. Through the media, a program can educate targeted or mass audiences about problems and solutions, build support for remediation and retrofit projects, or generate awareness and interest in storm water management. Best of all, packaging a storm water message as a news story is virtually free! Surveys repeatedly show high interest among the public in environmental issues, and water quality--particularly as it relates to drinking water and recreation--rates very high. Reporters are always looking for informative articles, features, or columns to fill their pages or broadcasts. As with many public education activities, it is important to do some preliminary work to refine your message and target your audience to ensure that you deliver the most effective message.
   
   b. Applicability
      Delivering educational, promotional, or motivational messages through the news media is similar to distributing them through other channels. For best results, the message should be repeated periodically and linked to something the audience values. Coverage of watershed issues from several different angles can help to accomplish this. News is the lifeblood of the media, so the message must be packaged to attract coverage. Orienting the message to the workings of the media and the needs of reporters will help keep the message focused and effective.

9. Promotional Give-Aways
   Public Education, Awareness, and Outreach
   a. Description
      Once a storm water education and outreach program has been developed, it can be marketed through promotional give-aways. Promotional give-aways are small tokens with storm water education slogans and graphics. They are free items given to people to help them become aware of environmental issues.
   
   b. Applicability
      Promotional give-aways are an effective format to promote storm water organizations, simple actions, and general awareness. A number of items such as, posters, calendars, frisbees, magnets, key chains, tote bags, coffee mugs, bumper stickers, and baseball caps, are appropriate promotional items. When choosing a give-away item it is important to consider the cost (items such as T-shirts and hats are relatively expensive) and the alternative message it might send. For example, a frisbee might conflict with a campaign to reduce plastic waste.
C. Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT)  
Storm Water Management Program  
(For more information see MDOT’s web site at [http://www.mdot.state.mi.us](http://www.mdot.state.mi.us))

1. **Proposed Activities to Complement the City’s Public Education Plan**  
MDOT plans to complement the City of Sterling Heights Public Education Plan in the following areas:

   a. Provide the City with a copy of EcoMasters for distribution to elementary schools. EcoMasters is an interactive multimedia computer video game that is designed to build support for pollution prevention among the young. It allows users to solve water quality programs, helping them to gain a sense of environmental stewardship and a more complete understanding of how they make a difference in reducing surface water and groundwater pollution. EcoMasters, which has been endorsed by America’s Clean Water Foundation, is designed for use by children from 4th grade up.

   b. Make the City’s proposed storm water newsletter and/or recycling brochure available to the public at MDOT’s local transportation service centers.

   c. Create a storm water page on MDOT’s Internet web site with links to DEQ’s web site, the City of Sterling Heights’s home page, and other relevant storm water-related web sites. The site could be used by City employees and residents to obtain information on storm water issues and MDOT’s permit responsibilities. MDOT’s web page would contain a variety of storm water-related topics, including copies of its Storm Water Management Plan, information about MDOT’s sediment and erosion control practices, contact names and phone numbers to report spills or illicit discharges, information on the illicit discharge elimination plan, and other relevant storm water-related topics. MDOT would update the page on a regular basis. Information about MDOT’s web page, including directions on how to access the site, could be published in any public education information the City sends to its citizens, if the City agrees.

   d. Develop a public service announcement that discusses the impacts of illicit discharges and improper disposal of materials into storm sewers on receiving waters. The public service announcement would be made available on MDOT’s Internet web site, in news releases, or other appropriate means of communication.

   e. Assist the City with its proposed storm drain stenciling effort by providing some needed materials or volunteers.
D. Washington State Department of Transportation Stormwater Management Plan

(For more information on referenced Tables, Sections, or Appendices, see Washington DOT’s web site @
http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/eesc/environmental/programs/hazwqec/docs/SWMP1.pdf)

1. Public Education Programs

Education activities which focus specifically on the impacts of transportation runoff on water quality have not been developed at WSDOT. A wide body of environmental education literature and programs currently exist to encourage people to conserve resources and not dump or spill materials at home or while traveling. The public outreach activities of other named parties in the permit is already in place. WSDOT will support their efforts by providing information on BMPs relative to transportation sources. The only public involvement campaign that WSDOT sponsors that has implications for reducing pollutants in storm water is the Adopt-A-Highway program.

WSDOT offers many opportunities for the public to get involved in Transportation Planning. Public meetings are held to obtain input on RTI priorities and to review alternatives for specific projects such as a road alignments or intersection improvements. Frequently, WSDOT produces Public Notices in local newspapers to respond to environmental regulations such as the Baseline General Permit for Construction activities, and inviting public response to environmental impact statements (EISs).

A number of public education efforts are ongoing in WSDOT to encourage adoption of BMPs which affect water quality. These activities are grouped in four subject areas and discussed below. A large scale public education campaign, necessitating additional FTEs and program dollars is not anticipated. If conditions mandate, existing staff will redirect staff time and available resources to gradually respond to changing priorities.

2. Training and Technology Transfer

WSDOT is recognized as a leader amongst state transportation agencies and our direction is sought by many local government agencies as well as groups in the private sector. Significant resources are expended on transportation research and technology transfer. Innovations are encouraged and promoted through a variety of organized meetings and multi-media learning opportunities. Outreach activities extend far beyond in-house training.

WSDOT recently held Erosion Control, Bioengineering, Wetlands, and Hydraulics courses that included attendees from the private sector and other state and local agencies. Many courses are held in response to updates in WSDOT’s manuals. The Design and Environmental Offices are coordinating development of the Highway Runoff Manual. The Environmental Branch in Maintenance is preparing a Waste Management Manual for Transportation Maintenance Shops. WSDOT’s manuals, standard specifications, and general contracting provisions are often adopted by municipal transportation organizations around the state.

The Northwest Technology Transfer Center (T 2), is funded by FHWA to provide technology transfer to non-urbanized cities, counties, and others. Outreach activities include workshops, road shows, newsletters, video loans, flyers, reference lists, and an electronic bulletin board. Information on water quality impacts of transportation activities is included in this education effort.
3. **Public Affairs Office**
   The Public Affairs Office responds to a wide variety of information requests from the public. A number of brochures are available upon request. Topics include organizational information on the agency, annual budget, and transportation planning activities. In addition, public involvement is sought to reduce traffic congestion and maintain litter free shoulders. Some examples of available brochures include: Sign of the Times-A guide to the Diamond Lanes and how they work; The Washington State Commute Trip Reduction Law; Interstate 90 Bicycle and Pedestrian Trail; and, the Washington Bike Map and Highway Guide. Organizations and individuals concerned about litter receive the following brochures: A Guide to Reporting Highway Litter Violations and Evergreen and Everclean: Adopt-A-Highway Program.

4. **Transportation Planning Processes**
   There are three significant planning processes that provide an opportunity for the public to learn and comment on transportation priorities and environmental implications (including storm water management): the State Transportation Policy Plan; the Washington Statewide Multimodal Transportation Plan, and, the Transportation Improvement Plan prepared by the Puget Sound Regional Council, the designated metropolitan planning organization as defined in ISTEA.

   a. A State Transportation Policy Plan Steering Committee annually presents the opportunity for the public to learn about transportation policies and provide input through a Public Response Survey, and regional public forums. Final recommendations are presented to the Transportation Commission for approval before being sent onto the State Legislature. The policies recommended will then be used by the state, regional, and local agencies in guiding future transportation programs.

   b. The Transportation Commission has developing a Statewide Multimodal Transportation plan in response to the Growth Management Act, Clean Air Act mandates, and ISTEA. The State Transportation Systems Plan is the first step in developing the Statewide Multimodal Transportation Plan. The Systems Plan covers only state-owned facilities and services. It specifically defines service objectives and proposes strategies for maintaining, preserving, and improving state highways, the Ferry System, and state-owned airports.

   c. The overall transportation program document containing transportation projects selected through the regional decision process is officially called a Regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). The TIP is a three-year program of projects and is updated annually in this region. The Transportation Policy Board and Executive Board of the Puget Sound Regional Council publicize the draft TIP for public review through Growth Management and Countywide meetings. In addition copies of the draft TIP were made available for review at twenty-six major local libraries and copies were sent to local jurisdictions and agencies. The draft documents were also available at the Puget Sound Regional Council’s Public Information Center. WSDOT plays a major role in project selection in cooperation with the Regional Council.
5. Maintenance Procedures Training
Maintenance personnel throughout WSDOT are trained regularly in the various aspects of roadway and roadside maintenance procedures. They include:

- Maintenance Leadership Institute - training for Lead Technicians.
- Supervisor's Leadership Institute - Training for Maintenance Supervisors.
- New BMP Maintenance training as new BMP are installed.
- Roadside “Spring training” for contractors.
- Statewide Maintenance Superintendents storm water needs.
- Vactor™ class for truck operators

6. Project Review
Public input is sought during the planning and design of specific construction projects. Public meetings are held to disseminate information and obtain input on environmental, social, and economic tradeoffs associated with various design options. In addition, the public is notified of environmental documentation in order to meet reporting requirements of various environmental laws. For example, the Water Quality Discipline Reports which are part of the NEPA process are available for public review and include an alternatives analysis related to stormwater management. In addition, to comply with the NPDES General Baseline Permit for the Construction Industry, WSDOT advertises its application for a permit in local newspapers. The Public has a 30 day opportunity to review and comment on the Temporary Erosion Control Plan.

Information on WSDOT’s Activities through the World Wide Web. WSDOT’s Water Quality Unit internet site at: [http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/eesc/environmental/WaterQuality.htm](http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/eesc/environmental/WaterQuality.htm) that will be used to disseminate information to the public about its current programs. Reports, guidance documents, monitoring data, and (eventually) this document will be made available through the Water Quality Unit’s web site.

E. California Department of Transportation Statewide Storm Water Management Plan
(For more information on tables, Appendix that are referenced see this document at Caltrans’ web site @ [http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/stormwater/special/index.htm](http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/stormwater/special/index.htm))

1. Training and Public Education Program
Section 6 describes the Caltrans internal Training and Public Education Program. Caltrans policy and practice is to provide education and training to ensure that all of its employees have the knowledge and skills necessary to perform their functions effectively and efficiently.

Caltrans develops and presents employee-training programs with curricula and materials tailored to specific topics and personnel levels. These programs are evaluated and updated periodically to ensure that the educational messages are both timely and effective.

Storm water training courses have been developed; these courses provide a comprehensive review of storm water pollution prevention concepts and practices. The curriculum focuses on storm water pollution prevention and consists of courses and other training activities. Storm water training materials are also incorporated into routine training programs. This training is reinforced and updated through educational reminders and a storm water website.
Caltrans also provides outreach to construction contractors to raise their awareness and understanding of the problems and causes of storm water pollution and to explain their responsibilities.

Caltrans currently uses a variety of methods to educate the public about the importance of managing storm water. The goals of the existing program are to:

- Inform the public regarding storm water quality issues that pertain to Caltrans properties, facilities and activities; and
- Change public behavior regarding the release of potential pollutants (e.g., litter, spilled loads, and oil leaks).

This public outreach program consists of a variety of written materials, monthly and quarterly bulletins, a website, workshops and the Caltrans Adopt-a-Highway Program. The written materials are designed to appeal to the general public, in easy-to-read formats, while providing technical information on selected Caltrans projects and activities. Cooperative public educational programs with local municipalities are described in Regional Work Plans.

Caltrans installs “No Dumping” and “Litter Fine” signs at selected locations on highways and freeways. Stenciled warnings prohibiting discharges to drain inlets at state-owned park-and-ride lots, rest areas, vista points, and other areas with pedestrian traffic are also used to increase public awareness.

During fiscal year (FY) 2000/2001, Caltrans will initiate a public education research study to determine the effectiveness of public education in reducing highway litter.

The purpose of the Employee Training Program is to teach appropriate Caltrans employees about the following:

- Storm water characteristics and water quality issues;
- The roles and responsibilities of individuals, Districts, Divisions and Programs within Caltrans regarding implementation of the Statewide SWMP to achieve Permit compliance;
- Activities and practices conducted by Caltrans employees that are or could be sources of storm water pollution and non-storm water discharges.
- BMPs to be implemented for activities or practices that are or could be sources of storm water pollution and BMPs to eliminate prohibited non-storm water discharges or BMPs to control exempt or conditionally exempt non-storm water discharges, and
- How to use the Guidelines or other manuals to select and implement BMPs.
- Caltrans strategy for training current and new employees consists of two parts, as follows:
  - Developing and presenting focused training courses that are targeted to specific topics, specific groups within Caltrans, or specific levels of personnel. For example, the courses summarized in Section 6.2.1 have been developed and presented to employees from the Maintenance, Construction, Design and Planning functional groups.
  - Developing training materials that are incorporated into routine training programs. This strategy is considered to have the highest long-term effectiveness because Caltrans employees learn to incorporate storm water quality thinking and pollution prevention practices into all aspects of their work.
Caltrans District employees are classified into several functional groups. The functional groups that have storm water quality management responsibilities are identified.

As part of the Annual Report, Caltrans will evaluate the training provided to its employees and contractors and assess its effectiveness. Caltrans will provide a summary of its evaluation, assessment and recommendations for revisions to its training program to ensure it is effective. Copies of the forms or documents used for the evaluation and assessment are to be provided in the Annual Report.

a. **Storm Water Courses** Storm water training courses have been developed by the Water Quality Program in conjunction with the functional programs and provide a comprehensive review of storm water pollution prevention concepts and practices. The curriculum focuses on storm water pollution prevention and consists of courses and other training activities. In addition, these courses provide an opportunity for staff to discuss issues with the Water Quality Program and others involved in the development of the Statewide SWMP. Course topics will be updated, as needed, to reflect modifications to Caltrans storm water management program.

As course materials are developed and distributed they are made available via the Caltrans Internet website: [http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/stormwater/index.htm](http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/stormwater/index.htm)

A comprehensive introduction/refresher course and an annual update course focusing on revisions to the program have been developed for each of the functional activities identified below.

- **General Storm Water Management** is a course that covers all aspects of the Statewide SWMP and Guidelines to support the implementation of the storm water management program.
- **Storm Water Management for Planning and Design** is a course that presents the Statewide SWMP and how Planning and Design Division employees are to apply the Statewide SWMP during planning, design and construction of projects.
- **Storm Water Management Related to Construction Sites** is a course that provides an explanation of the sources of pollutants at construction sites, reviews the BMPs that are typically deployed at construction sites and ensures that Resident Engineers (Res) are aware of their responsibilities to implement the Construction Storm Water Management Program. REs are informed of contractors contractual obligations and responsibilities in SWPPP and WPCP development and implementation.
- **Storm Water Management for Maintenance Activities** is a course that provides an explanation of the specific sources of pollutants associated with road surfaces maintenance activities and facilities that require BMPs to protect storm water, describes the BMPs developed to address those sources and ensures that Maintenance Supervisors are aware of their responsibilities to implement the Maintenance Storm Water Management Program.

b. **Training Course Frequency** The comprehensive introduction/refresher course will be attended by new targeted employees and other targeted employees that have not received training in the first year, and repeated by all targeted staff once every four years. To even out
the number of training sessions, one fourth of the employees in need of refresher training will be trained every year. The annual update focusing on revisions to the statewide SWMP will be required for all targeted employees.

c. **On-the-Job Training** To support implementation of the Statewide SWMP, Caltrans makes expert services available on an on-call status to provide on-the-job training to employees in the Design, Construction and Maintenance Divisions. Also, meetings are regularly held at Headquarters and with District representatives to discuss storm water issues, management concepts and new or revised procedures and practices.

d. **Educational Reminders** Caltrans develops and provides storm water bulletins as educational reminders and regulatory updates for employees in the Design, Construction, and Maintenance Divisions. These bulletins are published approximately monthly and present insights and information about the practical application of BMPs in an easy-to-read format. The following bulletins are distributed within Caltrans and are also sent to regulatory agencies, construction contractors and citizen groups:

- **Project development bulletins** are designed for and distributed to Project Development Division employees. The bulletins discuss a variety of project development and design related storm water quality issues, including soil stabilization practices and design controls.
- **Construction bulletins** are designed for and distributed to Construction Division employees and contractors. The bulletins discuss a variety of construction-related storm water quality issues, including minimization of storm water pollution, erosion control, storm water protection during construction, vegetative buffer strips, construction over bodies of water, protection of stockpile materials, inspection requirements, SWPPP and WPCP preparation, dust control practices, and construction BMP application.
- **Maintenance bulletins** are designed for and distributed to Maintenance Division employees. The bulletins discuss a variety of maintenance-related storm water quality issues, including follow-up information on inspections, drainage system facilities inspections, temporary sediment controls, roadside storage of materials and wastes, snow and ice controls, vehicle and equipment fueling and maintenance, good housekeeping practices, and hazardous material management.

As these bulletins are developed and distributed, they are also made available to the general public via the Caltrans Internet website: [http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/stormwater/index.htm](http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/stormwater/index.htm)

2. **Outreach to Construction Contractors**
Caltrans provides outreach to construction contractors to raise their awareness and understanding of the problems and causes of storm water pollution and to explain their responsibilities. This outreach is done primarily through informational exchanges between Caltrans and its contractors. The informational exchanges cover the following topics:

- The provisions, conditions and requirements of the Permit that apply to their projects.
- The availability of guidance material prepared by Caltrans for construction contractors.
- General responsibilities of construction contractors regarding implementation of the Statewide SWMP, the requirements of a SWPPP/WPCP, and how to prepare an SWPPP/WPCP.
a. **Informational Exchange Sessions**
Caltrans uses three types of informational exchange sessions to describe storm water pollution prevention concepts and practices and to explain techniques for preparing SWPPPs and WPCPs for construction activities.

- **Informational Exchange #1, Storm Water Permit Compliance Requirements, Pre-Bid Meeting:** Pre-bid meetings may be conducted to discuss a given upcoming construction project. The Project Engineer provides general information to construction contractors regarding the requirements in the Permit and the Statewide SWMP that apply to the subject project (i.e., the project on which the contractors are considering submitting bids). This information generally includes a discussion of the need for developing a project-specific SWPPP/WPCP.

- **Informational Exchange #2, Storm Water Permit Compliance Requirements, Pre-Construction Meeting:** The RE provides project-specific guidance to construction contractors on topics such as SWPPP/WPCP preparation, selection of BMPs, and BMP monitoring and inspection. Caltrans will also notify the appropriate RWQCB of the pre-construction meeting to allow an RWQCB representative to be at the meeting to review and discuss the water quality issues relating to the construction project.

- **Additional Informational Exchanges:** The RE will hold informal ad hoc sessions with contractors, as needed, during the course of most construction projects. The topics covered in informational exchanges will be updated as needed to reflect modifications to the Caltrans storm water management program.

b. **Outreach to Contractor Groups**
Caltrans regularly works with the Association of General Contractors (AGC) and other contractor groups at their meetings and discusses issues related to storm water and implementation of the Caltrans storm water management program.

c. **Informational Bulletins**
Caltrans prepares and distributes informational bulletins in the form of topical bulletins to inform construction contractors of recent storm water quality developments and requirements for construction projects. The bulletins described in Section 6.2.3 are distributed to contractors either directly or by REs.

d. **Future Training**
By September 1, 2001, Caltrans will develop and implement a construction contractor training program. This training course will educate contractors about developing and implementing an SWPPP, the importance of complying with the SWPPP, inspection and reporting requirements, the role of the RWQCB in the SWPPP and construction project, and the consequences associated with not adequately implementing the SWPPP.

A summary of the training courses conducted during the reporting period will be provided in the Annual Report. The summary will include the date and location of training, number in attendance, a copy of the attendance sheet, and a copy of the agenda or course handouts. As part of the Annual Report, Caltrans will evaluate and assess the effectiveness of this training program. A summary of its assessment will be provided in the Annual Report along with any recommendations to revise the training, if necessary, to ensure it is effective.
3. Public Education Program

Caltrans currently uses a variety of methods to educate the public about the importance of managing storm water. The goals of the existing program are to:

- Inform the public regarding the storm water quality issues that pertain to Caltrans properties, facilities and activities.
- Change public behavior regarding the release of potential pollutants (e.g., litter, spilled loads and oil leaks).

This outreach program consists of a variety of written materials, monthly and quarterly bulletins, a website expansion, workshops and the Caltrans Adopt-a-Highway Program, as described below. The written materials are designed to appeal to the general public (in easy-to-read formats) while providing technical information on selected Caltrans projects and activities.

Some Districts have undertaken cooperative public educational programs with local municipalities. These are described in more detail in the individual Regional Work Plans.

An expanded, statewide public education program will be considered, and implemented as directed by the SWRCB following completion of the research program outlined in Section 6.4.1.

Caltrans installs “No Dumping” and “Litter Fine” signs (see Appendix B BMP D4b - Anti-Litter Signs) at selected locations on highways and freeways. Stenciled warnings prohibiting discharges to drain inlets at state-owned park-and-ride lots, rest areas, vista points and other areas with pedestrian traffic are also used to increase public awareness (see Appendix B BMP T10 - Storm Drain Stenciling).

a. Public Education Research 2000

During Fiscal Year 2000/2001, Caltrans will initiate a public education research study to determine the effectiveness of public education in reducing highway litter. Litter was chosen as the focus of the study because it is seen by Caltrans as the major pollutant resulting from highways that has the greatest potential for reduction from the implementation of a public education program directed at users of the highway system.

During the first year of the study (winter of 00/01) baseline data on highway litter will be collected. During years two and three of the study (Fiscal Years 01/02 and 02/03), methods will be developed and implemented to inform and educate the public on ways of reducing highway litter. The sites selected to be monitored are subject to the review and approval of the Executive Director of the SWRCB.

The results of the education will be determined by directly measuring the reduction of litter at designated litter monitoring sites monitored during the first year (winter of 00/01). In addition to measuring the reduction of litter due to public education, a public opinion survey will determine if the public has changed its behavior toward litter on highways.

The Fresno metropolitan area has been selected as the location for this research because this is seen as a definable area with a stable population that is not heavily influenced by outside sources like most major metropolitan areas in California. This will allow the public education methods to be focused and to gain an understanding of the best methods of educating the public.
b. Other Resources
Caltrans will investigate other State, federal, and local agency public outreach programs to evaluate partnering opportunities relating to storm water quality. Results of Caltrans investigations conducted and opportunities pursued or implemented during the reporting period will be provided in the Annual Report.

c. Informational Brochures
Caltrans periodically issues brochures covering many pertinent topics in storm water management and research. The brochures are distributed to the general public at public meetings, members of the legislature, members of the press, Caltrans personnel, public agency personnel, and other interested parties throughout the state. The brochures are also available through the website discussed in Section 6.4.3. To date, the issued brochures include:

- **Storm Water Program Brochure**: Describes the overall Caltrans storm water program and how it is implemented to meet NPDES requirements.
- **Research and Monitoring Studies Brochure**: Describes all categories of storm water research and monitoring studies, both in progress and planned.
- **San Diego Water Quality Control Study Brochure**: Describes the major components of this BMP-based study under way in Caltrans District 11 (San Diego).
- **Litter Management Brochure**: Describes the Caltrans Litter Management Program related to storm water quality and the Litter Management Pilot Study being conducted.
- **Compliance Brochure**: Describes permit compliance monitoring as carried out by Caltrans.
- **BMP Pilot Studies Brochures**: Briefly summarizes the BMP pilot retrofit projects being conducted in Caltrans Districts 7 (Los Angeles) and 11 (San Diego).
- **BMP Pilot Studies Technical Brochure**: Describes the BMP pilot studies in more detail and includes technical retrofitting information and photos for each BMP site.
- **Soil Stabilization Brochure**: Describes erosion control and soil stabilization projects related to effectiveness, pilot studies and staff training.
- **Pathogens in Storm Drain Discharges Brochure**: Describes the urban watershed study in San Diego County for pathogens in storm drain discharges.
- **North Coast Studies Brochure**: Describes current herbicide and road crossing studies along the North Coast related to aquatic wildlife and habitat (in progress).
- **GIS/Database Projects Brochure**: Describes all current GIS projects and available databases, such as the BMP Pilot Study Database being developed (in progress).

A list of the informational brochures developed and distributed by Caltrans will be included in the Annual Report.

d. Website
An Internet website was created for the Caltrans Storm Water Management Program that is accessible through the Caltrans home page website. The website provides information on all storm water outreach activities, including brochures, bulletins and workshops as well as bulletins on related topics, information related to construction and maintenance activities, and links to key related sites. The site address is:

e. **Adopt-A-Highway Program**

The Caltrans “Adopt-A-Highway” program is an opportunity for volunteers to make a tangible contribution to community and roadside aesthetics and is a way to inform the public about the storm water problems related to illegal dumping of litter and debris. As part of this program, signs are posted along roadways acknowledging groups that have volunteered to plant wildflowers, trees and/or shrubs, collect litter or remove graffiti from structures. This program serves to demonstrate the local public's commitment to keeping highways clean and acts as a reminder to drivers and passengers who see the volunteers and the signs.

f. **Storm Drain Stenciling**

Caltrans currently stencils messages at storm drain inlets located at highway facilities such as park and ride lots, rest areas and vista points to assist in educating the public about storm water runoff pollution. The details of this maintenance BMP are included in Section 2.14 of the Guidelines. This program will be expanded to include stenciling of storm drains on Caltrans roads and highways that traverse through cities, towns, and communities with populations 10,000 or more, or less if the area is covered by an MS4 permit. Caltrans may work in partnership with the local agency to implement this expanded element of the stenciling program.

By January 1, 2003, Caltrans will complete its stenciling program for all existing storm drain inlets described above. All new inlets in the areas described above will be stenciled when constructed. The stencils will be maintained by the Maintenance Department or through agreements with local agencies.

Caltrans will report the progress of its existing storm drain system stenciling program in the Annual Report. The progress report will identify the number and location of the drains stenciled and will report the number of drains stenciled by local agency during the reporting period. Caltrans will also report the location and number of all newly constructed drains stenciled during the reporting period.

g. **Technical Workshops**

Periodically, Caltrans will host or co-host public workshops that focus on specific storm water topics. These workshops are for the purpose of discussing storm water topics currently being researched by Caltrans and others and offer the opportunity to share information and facilitate a collective focus on potential solutions to the challenges faced by municipal dischargers. These workshops are held on an as-needed basis, but the expectation is that on average, two per year will be held.
F. Mississippi Guidance and Resources
(For more information contact MDEQ at 601/961-5171 General Permits Branch)

1. Guidelines for Developing and Implementing this Measure

Three main action areas are important for successful implementation of a public education and outreach program:

a. Forming Partnerships

MDOT is encouraged to seek assistance from non-governmental organizations (e.g., environmental, civic, and industrial organizations), since many already have educational materials and perform outreach activities. Some groups may be providing environmental education activities that can be used to reach the public. Providing basic environmental knowledge about local water bodies is an appropriate step in educating the public on impacts to local waters by urban runoff.

Participation in watershed-based organizations facilitates both intergovernmental and non-governmental coordination, and can often provide an educational opportunity for the participants in those groups. In Mississippi, MDEQ has basin coordinators to facilitate non-regulatory programs (contact MDEQ’s Surface Water Division, Basin Coordinators and/or Nonpoint Source (section 319) Program). Additionally, the Nonpoint Source program employs an education coordinator who can help with contacts and educational materials.

Your local Soil and Water Conservation District (SWCD) in partnership with the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has many of the resources and educational materials that may be helpful in your public education and outreach efforts. They also have resource people that will be willing to assist with programs. For specific names, numbers and addresses for the NRCS see their web site at [www.nrcs.usda.gov.](http://www.nrcs.usda.gov) The Mississippi Soil and Water Conservation Commission may also be able to assist with conservation education; their web site is [www.mswcc.state.ms.us](http://www.mswcc.state.ms.us).

MDEQ’s library has information on a number of non-point source educational materials. MDEQ, the Mississippi Soil & Water Conservation Commission and the Natural Resource Conservation Service has developed a manual entitled Planning and Design Manual for the Control of Erosion, Sediment, and Storm Water.

b. Using Educational Materials and Strategies

MDOT’s Non-Point Source Education Section has information on a number of non-point source educational materials, including brochures, video’s and models. MDOT may use storm water educational information provided by their State, EPA Region, or environmental, public interest, or trade organizations instead of developing their own materials, but to be effective it must be relevant to local waterbodies. MDOT should strive to make their materials and activities relevant to local situations and issues, and incorporate a variety of strategies to ensure maximum coverage. Some examples include:

- Brochures for general public and specific audiences which describe steps individuals can take to reduce pollution of urban runoff. Fact sheets or other program elements that
make a community connection with local waterbodies are critical to increase the interest in and use of this pollution prevention information.

- Alternative information sources, such as web sites, bumper stickers, refrigerator magnets, posters, and restaurant placemats;

- Storm water hotlines for information and for citizen reporting of polluters (this is particularly applicable to the illicit discharge control measure);

One specific group of people that MDOT should target their education program towards are contractors. The following is a minimum level of effort expected for this minimum measure component. For the construction site storm water minimum control measure we are requesting MDOT review and evaluate MDOT’s “Guidelines for the Control of Erosion and Sediment” and ensure that at a minimum it is consistent with the standards set forth in Mississippi’s “Planning and Design Manual for the Control of Erosion, Sediment & Stormwater”. In addition, MDEQ is requesting MDOT to prepare and implement a contractor education program on proper implementation of erosion and sediment controls using MDOT’s updated “Guidelines for the Control of Erosion and Sediment” manual.

Educational programs for school-age children are particularly effective ways to reach large numbers of citizens. To be effective and useful to teachers, topics must fit into school curriculum. When topics related to urban runoff pollution are incorporated into existing standards, the chance of having a successful program increases significantly. Many geography and science standards cover subjects that relate to water-land interactions. For example, both the City of Jackson’s Solid Waste Division and the Jackson school district held environmental camps for children. These camps were designed to provide opportunities for children to become aware of environmental concerns and to impress on their parents to do the right thing in terms of littering and other environmental issues.

Hands-on explorations that are specific to your community, and outdoor studies led by trained individuals, are the most effective educational tools. Written activities, videos and brochures are less effective, due to the overwhelming amount of media teachers already receive. Programs reaching school age children are an excellent way to build parental and community support. The following topics may be used independently or incorporated into a school-based educational program. Also see Appendix D for school curriculum materials available at MDEQ.

- Water or River Festivals are excellent ways to reach those interested in water quality topics. Mississippi’s Aqua Fair is held annually in a different region of the state. Home or garden shows are good for targeted messages like proper paint disposal or garden chemical use.

- Storm Drain Stenciling or Marking with messages like “Dump No Waste, Drains Directly to…” These can stand alone or be part of other targeted programs for school-age children or homeowners’ associations. Contact MDEQ’s Non-Point Section for information on how to get a storm drain stenciling or marking program going. Try to use name of the most immediate local waterbody on the stencil. Students or volunteers
not only mark the storm drains but also walk neighborhoods distributing door-hangers and talking with residents about proper disposal of waste and pollution prevention.

- Tributary Signage, to increase public knowledge of local water resources. Contact Jackey Key at MDEQ for further information at 601-961-5171.


- Volunteer citizen educators to staff a public education task force.

- Event participation with educational displays at home shows and community festivals.

**Nonpoint Education for Municipal Officials (NEMO)** is an educational program centered around a PowerPoint presentation that teaches wise land use planning and the role that plays in storm water management and reducing non-point source pollution from urban areas. Particular attention is paid to the role of impervious, or paved, surfaces in the transport and concentration of pollutants. To guide towns, NEMO outlines a three-tiered strategy of natural resource-based planning, site design, and the use of storm water best management practices that MDOT can use to address their land use and cope with nonpoint source pollution. By introducing water quality issues, presenting strategies that communities can adapt to their particular situations, and making a long-term commitment to work with each community, NEMO has become a catalyst for significant changes in local land use policies.

NEMO began in 1991 as a pilot project to design and test a new way of presenting information to three coastal communities in Connecticut. The technical nature of the program was designed around the intended audience of engineers, planners, and commissioners—all decision-makers within the towns. Since then, NEMO has continued to give presentations by request across Connecticut and is presently being implemented in 17 other states including Mississippi.

NEMO - Mississippi will work collaboratively with local groups on watershed projects, building on the original NEMO program with additional data layers, new audiences and more partners. NEMO - Mississippi, is a cooperative educational project, which thus far includes the Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality and the Environmental Protection Agency. Funding for NEMO-Mississippi is provided by a grant from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Section 319 Clean Water Act Funds.

NEMO - Mississippi has been approved for use by cities and counties as part of their public education component of their storm water Phase II permit. MDEQ’s Nonpoint Source section has been trained in NEMO and will be conducting workshops in Mississippi. These workshops will be to train people to give the presentation and use the educational tools. At the end of the workshop each participant (or group coming from one entity) will be given a copy of the NEMO presentation and workbook tailored to their county. MDEQ plans to
continue offering these workshops once or twice per year and will add additional workshops as needed for anyone who is willing to give presentations in their area.

For more details on the project and its impacts, please check out NEMO CT website through the Center For Watershed Protection’s website links at http://www.cwp.org or contact James MacLellan, P.E., MDEQ’s NPS Section, Construction / Urban / Forestry NPS Coordinator at (601) 961-5061.

G. EXAMPLES OF PHASE II BMPS AND ASSOCIATED MEASURABLE GOALS
(From EPA, Office of Water and Office of Wastewater Management at http://www.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater/measurablegoals/part3.htm)

**Hypothetical Case Study:** Smalltown, USA, is a California city of 10,000 people that has decided to conduct a general outreach campaign on storm water and to target their program to school children and restaurants. Restaurants have been identified as a significant contributor of oil and grease to both storm drains and sanitary sewers. The City would also like to increase the overall knowledge of the citizens on storm water pollution. The following BMPS and measurable goals are examples of what this City could propose:

**Minimum Measure Objective:** The City will educate the general public by making 30,000 impressions per year with a storm water quality message via print, local TV access, local radio, or other appropriate media.

**BMP:** Public education radio campaign on storm water

**Measurable Goal:** The City will produce and air on local radio a 30-second public service announcement on storm water and what the public can do to prevent storm water impacts. This radio ad will air at least once a week for the first two years of the permit term. The City will conduct a survey at the end of the permit term to ascertain behavioral changes in target audiences. **Justification:** Storm water permits in the Los Angeles regional area require approximately 3 impressions for each person per year. Smalltown’s goal is to achieve the same level of exposure.

**BMP:** Storm water education program for school children

**Measurable Goal:** A minimum of 50 percent of all school children (K-12) will be educated every two years on storm water pollution by providing the School Districts in the jurisdiction of the City with materials, including videos, live presentations, brochures, and other media. **Justification:** Educating school children on storm water and water quality practices, including water conservation measures, will help promote better public awareness.

**BMP:** Storm water education materials for restaurant owners

**Measurable Goal:** Outreach material on proper storm water management practices for restaurants will be produced within one year. Inspectors from the City’s health department will be trained on potential storm water violations and proper practices for restaurants within one year. **Justification:** Restaurants have been identified as a significant contributor of oil and grease into both storm and sanitary sewers. This targeted educational campaign will make the restaurant owners aware of proper disposal and recycling practices for oil and grease and inform them of potential fines for illegal dumping into storm or sanitary sewers.
## EXAMPLE TABLE:

**BMPs, Associated Measurable Goals and Year to be Implemented**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMP</th>
<th>Measurable Goals</th>
<th>YR 1</th>
<th>YR 2</th>
<th>YR 3</th>
<th>YR 4</th>
<th>YR 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochures</td>
<td>Brochures developed and distributed to contractors</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotline</td>
<td>Storm water hotline in place</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volunteer Education</td>
<td>Volunteer educators trained</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Site</td>
<td>A web site created</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School education</td>
<td>School curricula developed</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm drain stenciling/marketing</td>
<td>500 Storm drains stenciled/marked</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractor education</td>
<td>100 percent of contractors used for highway projects educated on proper erosion and sediment control for construction projectors</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Meetings</td>
<td>Community group meeting presentations about water quality</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion and sediment control specialist education</td>
<td>50 percent reduction in number of complaints to MDEQ</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER II

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT/PARTICIPATION

The public can provide valuable input and assistance to MDOT’s storm water management program. Since it is the activities of the public within urban areas that produce diffuse pollution, and the public that funds the Highway Department, it is imperative that the public be given opportunities to play an active role in both the development and implementation of MDOT’s program. An active and involved community is crucial to the success of MDOT’s storm water management program. Community involvement/participation allows for broader public support, since citizens who participate in the development and decision making process are partially responsible for the program and are more likely to take an active role in its implementation. Furthermore, community involvement allows for a broader base of expertise and economic benefits. The community can be a valuable, free, intellectual resource and a conduit to other programs, as citizens involved in the stormwater program development process provide important cross-connections and relationships with other community and government programs. This benefit is particularly valuable when trying to implement a storm water program integrated on a watershed basis.

The permit requires a Public Involvement and Participation component as part of the Storm Water Program. MDOT must comply with public notice requirements when implementing a public involvement and participation program. MDEQ envisions this control measure as an open forum for local citizenry to participate in the development, implementation and review of the control measures incorporated MDOT’s Storm Water Program. This may include having citizen representatives serving on a local storm water management panel, public hearings, encouraging volunteers to educate other individuals about the program, assisting in program coordination with other pre-existing programs, or participating in volunteer monitoring efforts.

A. Program Requirements

1. To satisfy this minimum control measure, MDOT must:
   a. At a minimum, notify the public of opportunities to provide input to the process of developing a SWMP by:
      (1) Posting a notification of opportunities to participate at the courthouse of the county in which the regulated entity exists, at the main post office serving the area of the regulated entity, and in at least one library serving the area of the regulated entity.
      (2) Publishing once a week for three weeks a notification of opportunities to participate in at least one newspaper of general circulation in the county that includes the regulated entity or, if the regulated entity is a municipality, in at least one newspaper of general circulation in that municipality.
   b. Define appropriate BMPs for this minimum control measure and measurable goals for each BMP.
c. Document the decision process for the development of a storm water public involvement/participation program. The regulated entity’s rationale statement must address both the overall public involvement/participation program and the individual BMPs, measurable goals, and responsible persons for this program. The rationale statement must include the following information, at a minimum:

(1) How the regulated entity has and will continue to involve the public in the development and submittal of the MS4 NOI and SWMP.

(2) What is the plan to involve the public in the development and implementation of this program.

(3) Who are the target audiences for the public involvement program, including a description of the types of ethnic and economic groups engaged? The regulated entity is encouraged to actively involve all potentially affected stakeholder groups, including commercial and industrial businesses, trade associations, environmental groups, homeowners associations, and educational organizations, among others.

(4) What are the types of public involvement activities included in the program. Where appropriate, consider the following types of public involvement activities:

(a) Citizen representatives on a storm water management panel

(b) Public hearings

(c) Working with citizen volunteers willing to educate others about the program

(d) Volunteer monitoring or stream/beach clean-up activities

(5) How the regulated entity will evaluate the success of this minimum measure, including how the regulated entity selected the measurable goals for each of the BMPs

(6) Responsibility for the overall management and implementation of the storm water public involvement/participation program and, if different, who is responsible for each of the BMPs identified for this program

B. From EPA’s BMP Menu

(For more detailed information regarding discusses implementation, effectiveness, benefits, limitations, cost and references call MDEQ or see EPA’s website @ http://www.epa.gov/npdes/menubmps/menu.htm)

1. Storm Drain Stenciling
   Public Involvement/Participation

   a. Description
   Storm drain stenciling involves labeling storm drain inlets with painted messages warning citizens not to dump pollutants into the drains. The stenciled messages are generally a simple phrase to remind passersby that the storm drains connect to local waterbodies and that
b. **Applicability**

Municipalities can undertake stenciling projects throughout the entire community, especially in areas with sensitive waters or where trash, nutrients, or biological oxygen demand have been identified as high priority pollutants. However, regardless of the condition of the waterbody, the signs raise awareness about the connection between storm drains and receiving waters and they help deter littering, nutrient overenrichment, and other practices that contribute to nonpoint source pollution. Municipalities should identify a subset of drains to stencil because there might be hundreds of inlets and stenciling all of them would be prohibitively expensive and might actually diminish the effect of the message on the public. The drains should be carefully selected to send the message to the maximum number of citizens (for example, in areas of high pedestrian traffic) and to target drains leading to waterbodies where illegal dumping has been identified as a source of pollution.

2. **Stream Cleanup and Monitoring**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Involvement/Participation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>a. Description</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An effective way to promote storm water awareness is to host a stream cleanup. Many people are unaware that most storm drains discharge untreated waters directly into local waterbodies. A stream cleanup allows concerned citizens to become directly involved in water pollution prevention. Participants volunteer to walk (or paddle) the length of the stream or river, collecting trash and recording information about the quantity and type of garbage that has been removed. Stream cleanups also educate members of the community about the importance of stream water quality through media coverage and publicity efforts. Many programs have experts on hand at the event to discuss the stream's ecology and history. As a result, the river is cleaner, volunteers feel a sense of accomplishment, and the community at large is better informed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **b. Applicability**               |
| Stream cleanups are applicable to all waterbodies. Almost anyone can get involved in cleanup activities such as schoolchildren, youth groups, neighborhood associations, local environmental groups, or individuals. Cleanups have tasks of varying levels of difficulty, so there is something for people of all ages and skills to do. |

3. **Reforestation Programs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Involvement/Participation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>a. Description</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reforestation is essential to the restoration of many natural habitats. These forested buffers between land and water are an essential part of the ecosystem. In some parts of the country, however, they are disappearing at an alarming rate. Reforestation programs attempt to preserve and restore forested buffers and natural forests. In areas all over the country, dumping pollutes those waters. Some specify which waterbody the inlet drains to or name the particular river, lake, or bay. Commonly stenciled messages include: &quot;No Dumping. Drains to Water Source,&quot; &quot;Drains to River,&quot; and &quot;You Dump it, You Drink it. No Waste Here.&quot; Pictures can also be used to convey the message, including a shrimp, common game fish, or a depiction of the path from drain to waterbody. Communities with a large Spanish-speaking population might wish to develop stencils in both English and Spanish, or use a graphic alone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b. Applicability</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reforestation programs are applicable to all waterbodies. Almost anyone can get involved in activities such as schoolchildren, youth groups, neighborhood associations, local environmental groups, or individuals. Reforestation programs have tasks of varying levels of difficulty, so there is something for people of all ages and skills to do.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
volunteers, community groups, and state and local conservation groups have initiated tree-planting efforts.

Municipalities can accomplish several tasks in addition to buffer establishment and improvement with reforestation, including park improvement, neighborhood and highway beautification, and provision of shade in parking and pedestrian areas. A municipality should determine what their priorities are and identify candidate sites for reforestation based on these priorities.

With the variety of tasks involved in tree planting efforts, everyone can help out. While some people man wheelbarrows, haul the plants, or shovel ground, there are a variety less strenuous ways that volunteers can assist in these efforts. For example, to maintain a steady supply of trees, some organizations establish small nurseries where volunteers can pot seedlings and care for them for about 2 years until they are ready to be transplanted to a natural setting. Other participants in a tree planting program might be responsible for contacting local businesses, residences, or nursery farms to seek financial or vegetative donations.

b. Applicability
As stated previously, reforestation programs can be used throughout a community to reestablish forested cover on a cleared site, establish a forested buffer along stream corridors to filter pollutants and reduce flood hazards, provide shade and aesthetic benefits in neighborhoods and parks, and improve appearance and pedestrian comfort along roadsides and in parking lots. It is up to the municipality to choose candidate sites for reforestation programs, and these decisions can be based on residents' recommendations or overall capital improvement goals of the community.

3. Wetland Plantings
Public Involvement/Participation

a. Description
Wetlands are unique ecosystems that are home to a great diversity of terrestrial and aquatic plants and animals and are beneficial in many ways. They have the ability to improve water quality by filtering and accumulating pollutants, thereby protecting adjacent rivers, lakes, and streams. Wetlands also provide food, protection from predators, and other habitat factors for many of the nation's fish and wildlife species, including endangered and threatened species. Finally, wetlands have economic value associated with recreational, commercial, and subsistence use of fish and wildlife resources.

Over time, many wetland, riparian, and lakeshore environments have become degraded by human-induced disturbances such as the introduction of invasive, non-native plants. Such exotic vegetation can reduce habitat quality (e.g., loss of food supply), contribute to an unkempt, weedy appearance, and obscure the waterbody from view. These disturbances have not only affected the natural functions of these systems by causing increased erosion, a decline in natural wetland vegetation, and degraded habitats, but they have also reduced the aesthetic value of the environment. Wetlands and waterbodies are also disturbed by land development activities in adjacent areas and in upland areas within the watershed. These disturbances often result in sediment deposition, nutrient enrichment, and increased storm water flows into the wetlands. This causes a reduction in water clarity that ultimately limits
the growth of wetland plant species and submerged aquatic vegetation, the smothering of streambeds, contamination of water quality, and alteration of natural hydrology.

b. Applicability
Municipalities can plant wetland species to both preserve existing wetlands and enhance degraded wetland plant communities. Wetland plantings however, are only one part of what a municipality might undertake when restoring, protecting, or creating a wetland.

When preserving and enhancing degraded wetlands, it is often necessary to plant wetland species along shorelines, in upland habitats, and along the bottom of waterbodies. Each wetland can be divided into specific zones based on soil hydrology. Upland transitional zones are adjacent to normally wet or inundated wetland areas. These zones are extremely important to the health, function, and appearance of the wetland or waterbody. Wetland and open water zones range from having saturated soil below the ground surface (such as in a wet meadow) to being completely inundated with water (such as a shoreline or streambank). These areas can support wetland plant species ranging from sedges and shrubs that are intolerant of inundation to emergent species and submerged or floating plants.

When beginning a wetland planting, it is important to keep in mind that any entity that alters a wetland must first get a permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. This requirement is specified under the Clean Water Act, Section 404.

Municipalities can also use wetlands mitigation banking when preserving degraded wetland communities. A wetland mitigation bank is a wetland area that has been restored, created, enhanced, or preserved, and is then set aside to compensate for future wetland conversion from development activities. A municipality can participate in wetland mitigation by undertaking such preservation activities under a formal agreement with a regulatory agency. In Pembroke Pines, Florida, 358 acres of degraded wetlands on city property were restored through the Florida Wetlandsbank. For more information on mitigation and conservation banking, including contact information for local bankers and regulators, contact the Terrene Institute at www.terrene.org.

4. Watershed Organization
Public Involvement/Participation

a. Description
A watershed organization incorporates the ideas and resources of many different groups into a single organization. The groups can consist of local governments, citizens, nonprofit environmental groups, and local universities, among others. The purpose of a watershed organization is to restore, protect, and promote the natural resources of the watershed. To accomplish this, a watershed organization might set goals for and subsequently implement public education and storm water management programs, steam clean-up events, or restoration activities.

Watersheds most likely encompass multiple jurisdictions and involve multiple government participants. It is essential for all municipalities that fall within the watershed boundaries to participate in watershed organizations. If a watershed organization is still in the conceptual stage, it will behoove the municipality to help structure it in a way that will serve all interests in the watershed. A municipality cannot--and should not--control a watershed organization, but it can support it, nurture it, and help it achieve its goals.
b. **Applicability**

A watershed organization can exist for any watershed, large or small, but organizations for larger watersheds are more common. In all cases where a watershed organization exists, it is crucial for municipalities to be involved in the decision making process so the municipality's goals are achieved. In places where no watershed organization exists, municipalities can initiate the creation of one by working with other stakeholders and interested parties.

5. **Stakeholder Meetings**

Public Involvement/Participation

a. **Description**

Public involvement and public participation naturally requires the inclusion of stakeholders. Stakeholders are individuals or groups in the community that are most affected by a municipality's storm water program. They have a vested interested in the waterbody and storm water activities. Stakeholders might include citizens, local school groups, community leaders, local and state government representatives, and business owners in the watershed. Stakeholder meetings can be in the form of a local storm water management panel, a public meeting, or any type of interactive, information-sharing event.

b. **Applicability**

Each stakeholder has a vested interest in solving storm water management problems for the particular waterbody. Therefore, stakeholders should be solicited to contribute their ideas and concerns or to be informed of water quality issues in their community. One way to do this is through stakeholder meetings, where participants can hear what others have to say and can contribute their own ideas.

In addition to inviting the stakeholders, representatives from several local media newspapers, radio, or television news should be included. Journalists, broadcasters, and others who attend the meetings can let others know what happened, when the next meeting is, and how they can get involved.

6. **Attitude Surveys**

Public Involvement/Participation

a. **Description**

Surveys of how the public perceives storm water management can foster better planning and management programs. The results of these attitude surveys can enlighten both storm water managers and the public on what the sources of pollution are, the effects of storm water on the environment, and options for control. Public attitude surveys can bring to light what is important to the stakeholders. Program planners can use this information to determine how best to incorporate the public's needs and desires into the overall goals of any storm water management program.

b. **Applicability**

Attitudes toward storm water and best management practices used to manage it can influence the effectiveness of control measures and clean-up efforts. Determining public perceptions, expectations, and desires is an important place to start. Attitude surveys of interested parties can enlighten storm water managers of the appropriate steps to take and the misconceptions to fix.
7. **Community Hotlines**
   Public Involvement/Participation

   a. **Description**
      Because regulators and authorities cannot monitor all waterbodies at once, they sometimes rely on the public to keep them informed of water polluters. Community hotlines provide a means for concerned citizens and agencies to contact the appropriate authority when they see water quality problems. A hotline can be a toll-free telephone number or an electronic form linked directly to a utility or government agency, such as the water quality control board. A typical call might report a leaking automobile, concrete wash-out dumped on the street, paint in a creek, or organic debris (including pet waste) in a drainage system or waterway.

   b. **Applicability**
      Generally, an investigation team promptly responds to a hotline call and, in most cases, visits the problem site. If a responsible party can be identified, the team informs the party of the problem, offers alternatives for future disposal, and instructs the party to resolve the problem. If the issue is not resolved by the responsible party (or the party cannot be identified), the proper authority takes action to remediate the situation and prevent future violations.

C. **Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) Storm Water Management Program**
   (For more information see MDOT’s web site at [http://www.mdot.state.mi.us](http://www.mdot.state.mi.us))

   See Public Education

D. **Washington State Department of Transportation Stormwater Management Plan**
   (For more information regarding tables and Appendices that are referenced, see this document on Washington DOT’s web site @ [http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/eesc/environmental/programs/hazwqec/docs/SWMP1.pdf](http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/eesc/environmental/programs/hazwqec/docs/SWMP1.pdf))

   The WSDOT public involvement campaigns that have the potential for reducing pollutants in storm water runoff are the Adopt-A-Highway (debris removal) and Trip Reduction Programs. In addition, See Public Education.

E. **California Department of Transportation Statewide Storm Water Management Plan**
   (For more information regarding tables and Appendices that are referenced see this document on Caltrans’ web site @ [http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/stormwater/special/index.htm](http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/stormwater/special/index.htm))

   See Public Education
F. Mississippi Guidance and Resources
   (For more information contact MDEQ at 601/961-5171 General Permits Branch)

1. Local Public Notice Requirements
   The permit requires MS4s to comply with local public notice requirements when implementing a public involvement/participation program. This means that existing public notice requirements are for your city or county must be implemented. We are aware that these public notice requirements will vary from city to city, county to county and depend on the type of information being brought to the publics attention. **At a minimum the permit requires MDOT to notify the public of opportunities to provide input to the process of developing a SWMP by:**

   a. Posting a notification of opportunities to participate at the courthouse of the county in which the regulated entity exists, at the main post office serving the area of the regulated entity, and in at least one library serving the area of the regulated entity.

   b. Publishing once a week for three weeks a notification of opportunities to participate in at least one newspaper of general circulation in the county that includes the regulated entity or, if the regulated entity is a municipality, in at least one newspaper of general circulation in that municipality.

2. Adopt–A–Stream Mississippi
   Adopt-A-Stream Mississippi is a unique and cooperative effort between the Mississippi Wildlife Federation, Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ), Mississippi State University Coastal Research and Extension Center, and Mississippi Department of Wildlife, Fisheries, and Parks to involve citizens in stream stewardship and water quality monitoring. Adopt-A-Stream programs increase public awareness of local water resources and build partnerships to protect our most precious resource – water. Volunteers adopt a section of a stream or river and then monitor water quality, conduct clean ups, and/or improve stream habitat. For more information about the Adopt-A-Stream program, contact Charles Cockrell, Adopt-A-Stream Coordinator, Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality (Phone: 601-961-5328, Email: Charles_Cockrell@deq.state.ms.us).

3. Mississippi’s Basin Management Approach
   Mississippi is managing water quality activities in a new way – it’s called Mississippi’s Basin Management Approach. Many agencies, organizations, and citizens are coming together to help implement this approach to protect our water resources. A basin is an area of land that drains into a common water body. There are nine major drainage basins in the state. Technical experts, stakeholders, and interested citizens will be organized in each basin to help develop a long-term plan that makes sense for managing the water resources. Managing our water resources on a basinwide scale requires the input of all the citizens in the basin – from homeowners to farmers to local officials. This new approach provides greater opportunities for citizens to participate in decision-making efforts and in shaping the future of water quality in our State. Contact one of the following Basin Coordinators for further information at 601/961-5171: Mary Katherine Brown, Richard Ingram, Vernon Hartley, Jackie Key or Steve Goff.
4. Litter Clean-Ups
The Mississippi Coastal Cleanup – in conjunction with the International Coastal Cleanup – takes place each year on the third Saturday in September. Cumulatively, more than 3 million people in over 100 countries have participated in the cleanup since it became an international event in 1989. In September of 2001, more than 2,700 volunteers picked up 2,471 bags of trash at 46 sites along 73 miles of coastal waterways in Hancock, Harrison and Jackson Counties during the 13th annual Mississippi Coastal Cleanup. For more information contact Lauren Thompson, Mississippi Department of Marine Resources at 228/374-5022, ext.5226.

5. Storm Drain Stenciling/Marking
In Mississippi storm drains are being marked with a ceramic disk glued to the storm drains. The markers are long lasting and eliminate the need for messy paints. Our program also includes door hanger distribution to nearby residents as a key component of the program. Contact Janet Chapman at 601/961-5171 for further information.

G. EXAMPLES OF PHASE II BMPS AND ASSOCIATED MEASURABLE GOALS
(From EPA, Office of Water and Office of Wastewater Management at http://www.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater/measurablegoals/part3.htm)

Hypothetical Case Study: Smalltown, USA, has decided to organize a steering committee to help in both the development and implementation of their storm water program. The City has also decided to hold a coordination meeting among key stakeholders every year to discuss how the storm water program is functioning and how it can be improved.

Minimum Measure Objective: Involve stakeholder groups, including local governments, businesses, and citizens, in making decisions about storm water management priorities and programs

BMP: Establish a NPDES storm water steering committee
Measurable Goal: The NPDES Storm Water Steering committee is established at least 6 months before the NOI is submitted and meets quarterly before and during the permit term. Membership includes representatives from the City, public, industrial and commercial groups, and construction/developer groups.
Justification: Involving stakeholders early on in the storm water management planning process will improve support for programs because the stakeholders will be able to voice their concerns and suggestions before the program is finalized.

BMP: Hold public meetings to receive input on the proposed program
Measurable goal: Three public meetings will be held on the City’s proposed storm water management program before it is finalized.
Justification: Public meetings are an excellent way to inform citizens about storm water impacts in addition to gaining support for the proposed storm water management program. Key issues, especially those that directly affect the public, can be described during these meetings to increase awareness about citizen responsibility, costs, and expected benefits.

BMP: Coordination meeting
Measurable Goal: The City will annually hold a coordination meeting involving co-permittees, regulatory agencies, and interested stakeholders to discuss progress of the storm water management program and the next year’s activities.
**Justification:** Coordination with other jurisdictions, regulatory agencies, and citizens helps to identify common goals, such as improving water quality, that are not defined by geographic boundaries. Responsibility for tasks that further these common goals can be divided among these parties to use funding and labor efficiently.

**EXAMPLE TABLE:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMP</th>
<th>Measurable Goals</th>
<th>YR 1</th>
<th>YR 2</th>
<th>YR 3</th>
<th>YR 4</th>
<th>YR 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public meetings</td>
<td>Notice of a public meeting in different print media and bilingual flyers discussing how individuals can be involved in MDOT’s SWMP</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizen panel</td>
<td>Citizen panel established</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volunteer participation</td>
<td>Volunteers organized to locate outfalls/illicit discharges and stencil drains</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public input</td>
<td>Final recommendations of citizen panel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solicit public participation</td>
<td>Radio spots promoting program and participation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community clean-ups</td>
<td>Two highway litter pick-up per year</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of contractor input</td>
<td>Contractor input groups established hold quarterly meetings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The permit defines an illicit discharge as any discharge to an MS4 that is not composed entirely of storm water, and has not been authorized under a discharge permit issued by the State of Mississippi. Illicit discharges enter the system through either direct connections (e.g., wastewater piping either mistakenly or deliberately connected to the storm drains) or indirect connections (e.g., infiltration into the MS4 from cracked sanitary systems, spills collected by drain outlets, or paint or used oil dumped directly into a drain). The result is untreated discharges. Illicit discharges may be continuous or intermittent. Intermittent discharges tend to occur when carried by a storm event, while continuous illicit discharges often flow during dry weather. Illicit discharges can result in untreated discharges that contribute high levels of pollutants, including heavy metals, toxics, oil and grease, solvents, nutrients, viruses, and bacteria, to receiving waterbodies. Pollutant levels from these illicit discharges have been shown in EPA studies to be high enough to significantly degrade receiving water quality and threaten aquatic life, wildlife, and human health.

A. Program Requirements

1. To satisfy this minimum control measure, MDOT must:
   a. Develop, implement and enforce a program to detect and eliminate illicit discharges (as defined in 40CFR 122.26(b)(2)) into the regulated entity’s small MS4.
   b. Develop, if not already completed, a storm sewer system map, showing the location of all outfalls and the names and location of all waters of the United States that receive discharges from those outfalls.
   c. To the extent allowable under State or local law, effectively prohibit, through ordinance, or other regulatory mechanism, non-storm water discharges into the regulated entity’s storm sewer system and implement appropriate enforcement procedures and actions. If the regulated entity’s ordinance or regulatory mechanism is already developed, include a copy of the relevant sections with the program.
   d. Develop and implement a plan to detect and address illicit discharges, including illegal dumping, to the regulated entity’s system.
   e. Inform public employees, businesses, and the general public of hazards associated with illegal discharges and improper disposal of waste.
   f. Address the non-storm water discharges or flows identified in Part I. B. 4. of this permit only if the regulated entity or MDEQ identify them as significant contributors of pollutants to the regulated entity’s small MS4. The regulated entity may also develop a list of other similar occasional incidental non-storm water discharges (e.g. non-commercial or charity car
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washes, etc.) that will not be addressed as illicit discharges. These non-storm water discharges must not be reasonably expected (based on available information) to be significant sources of pollutants to the MS4. If a list of incidental non-storm water discharges is developed the regulated entity must:

1. Document in your plan any local controls or conditions placed on the discharges.
2. Include a provision prohibiting any individual non-storm water discharge that is determined to be contributing significant amounts of pollutants to the MS4.

**g.** Define appropriate BMPs for this minimum control measure and measurable goals for each BMP.

**h.** Document the decision process for the development of a storm water illicit discharge detection and elimination program. The regulated entity’s rationale statement must address both the overall illicit discharge detection and elimination program and the individual BMPs, measurable goals, and responsible persons for this program. The rational statement must include the following information, at a minimum:

1. Plans to detect and address illicit discharges to the regulated entity’s system, including discharges from illegal dumping and spills. This plan must include dry weather field screening for non-storm water flows. This plan must also address on-site sewage disposal systems that flow into the regulated entity’s storm drainage system. This description must address the following, at a minimum:
   
   a. Procedures for locating priority areas. This includes areas with higher likelihood of illicit connections (e.g., areas with older sanitary sewer lines)
   
   b. Procedures for tracing/locating the source of an illicit discharge
   
   c. Procedures for removing the source of the illicit discharge
   
   d. Procedures for program evaluation and assessment

2. How the regulated entity plans to inform public employees, businesses, and the general public of hazards associated with illegal discharges and improper disposal of waste. Include in the regulated entity’s description how this plan will coordinate with the regulated entity’s public education minimum measure and the regulated entity’s pollution prevention/good housekeeping minimum measure programs. Illicit discharge education actions may include storm drain marking, a program to promote, publicize, and facilitate public reporting of illicit connections or discharges, and distribution of outreach materials.

3. How the regulated entity will evaluate the success of this minimum measure, including how the regulated entity selected the measurable goals for each of the BMPs.

4. Responsibility for overall management and implementation of the storm water illicit discharge detection and elimination program and, if different, who is responsible for each of the BMPs identified for this program.
B. From EPA’s BMP Menu

(For more detailed information regarding discusses implementation, effectiveness, benefits, limitations, cost and references, call MDEQ or see EPA’s website @ http://www.epa.gov/npdes/menuofbm5s/"menu.htm)

Failing Septic Systems

Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination
(BMP may not be appropriate for MDOT, but may be used to identify sources of illicit discharges)

a. Description

Septic systems provide a means of treating household waste for those areas that do not have access to public sewers or where sewer is not feasible. Currently, it is estimated that 25 percent of the population of the United States rely on onsite wastewater systems to treat and dispose of their household waste. Of that number, about 95 percent of the disposal systems are septic tank systems. The goal of this fact sheet is to prevent new septic systems from failing and to detect and correct existing systems that have been failing.

Failure of on-site disposal systems can be due to a number of causes, including unsuitable soil conditions, improper design and installation, or inadequate maintenance practices. Improperly functioning septic systems are recognized as a significant contributor of pollutants (especially nitrogen) and microbiological pathogens and dispense more than one trillion gallons of waste each year to subsurface and surface waters (NSFC, 1995). Identifying and eliminating failing septic systems will help control contamination of ground and surface water supplies from untreated wastewater discharges.

Some of the most common indicators of failing septic systems are odors and visual observances like surface pooling and patches of very green grass, particularly in the off-season or in an isolated pocket. Simple field tests can also provide insight into the location of illicit discharge. For example, excess ammonia is an indication of anaerobic conditions, and fecal coliform and excess chemicals from laundry detergents indicate inadequate or failing systems (Cox, personal communication, 2000).

Two field screening techniques that have been used with success at identifying possible locations of failing septic systems are the brightener test and color infrared (CIR) aerial photography. The first involves the use of specific phosphorus-based elements found in many laundry products, often called brighteners, as an indicator of the presence of failing on-site wastewater systems. The second technique uses color infrared (CIR) aerial photography to characterize the performance of septic systems. This method has been found to be a quick and cost-effective method for assessing the potential impacts of failing systems and uses variations in vegetative growth or stress patterns over septic system field lines to identify those systems that may potentially be malfunctioning. Then a more detailed on-site visual and physical inspection will confirm whether the system has truly failed and the extent of the repairs needed. These inspections may be carried out by county health departments or other authorized personnel.
2. Industrial/Business Connections
Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination

a. **Description**
This management practice involves the identification and elimination of illegal or inappropriate connections of industrial wastewater sources to the storm drain system. Many of these discharges are a result of connections to the storm drain that are unknown to the business owner and may not be evident in architectural plans. The large amount of storm and sanitary sewer pipes in a community creates a complex and often confusing system of utilities, so it is not unusual for improper connections to occur.

b. **Applicability**
Industrial illicit connections can arise in a number of ways, including cross connections with sanitary sewers and floor drains improperly attached to storm drainage pipes. These connections may be accidental or planned, and occur in new developments as well as in existing development. For existing industries, improper connections are located by using field screening procedures, source testing protocols, and visual inspection.

3. Sanitary Sewer Overflows
Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination

a. **Description**
This fact sheet deals with detecting and correcting priority sanitary sewer overflows in a community. Sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs) involve the release of raw sewage from a separate sanitary sewer system prior to reaching a treatment facility. The raw sewage from these overflows contains bacteria and nutrients that affect both human and environmental health. These overflows occur when the flow into the system exceeds the design capacity of the conveyance system, resulting in discharges into basements, streets, and streams. A common SSO is overflowing sewage manholes that send untreated sewage into a stream. While SSOs can occasionally occur in any system due to factors such as flooding or temporary blockages, chronic overflows are an indicator of a deteriorating system or a system where development has exceeded capacity. Estimates are that about 140 overflows occur per one thousand miles of sanitary sewer lines each year (AMSA, 1994). An Association of Metropolitan Sewage Agencies survey also found that 15 to 35 percent of all sewer lines were over capacity and could potentially overflow during a storm.

4. Illegal Dumping
Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination

a. **Description**
Illegal dumping is disposal of waste in an unpermitted area, such as a back area of a yard, a stream bank, or some other off-road area. Illegal dumping can also be the pouring of liquid wastes or disposing of trash down storm drains. It is often called "open dumping," "fly dumping," and "midnight dumping" because materials are often dumped in open areas, from vehicles along roadsides, and late at night. Illegally dumped wastes are primarily nonhazardous materials that are dumped to avoid paying disposal fees or expending the time and effort required for proper disposal (USEPA Region 5, 1998).
b. Applicability

As part of its pollution prevention efforts, the Oklahoma State University's Cooperative Extension Service has developed a series of posters and other displays to promote awareness of the problems that result from illegal dumping.

C. Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT)

Storm Water Management Program

(For more information see MDOT’s web site at http://www.mdot.state.mi.us)

MDOT is committed to establishing a long-term illicit discharge elimination plan to prevent, to the extent possible, illicit connections and discharges to MDOT’s separate storm water drainage system. MDOT has the legal authority to prohibit illicit discharges to its storm water drainage system. MDOT will execute the Illicit Discharge Elimination Plan by conducting dry weather screening of outfalls and conducting public education and training, with the goal of preventing illicit connections and discharges to the separate storm water drainage system.

In 1992, during preparation of its Part 1 NPDES permit application for MDOT facilities in 5 municipalities, MDOT staff conducted dry weather screening on about 40 outfalls (all outfalls greater than 36 inches in diameter were screened, as required for the Part 1 application). Nine outfalls in Sterling Heights were screened. No significant source of illicit discharges or connections was documented. Although the majority of outfalls did contain some flow, the flow was consistent with uncontaminated groundwater infiltration, which is an allowable non-storm water discharge to the storm water drainage system, authorized under the NPDES permit (Part I.A.3). The results of the Part 1 application screening were previously submitted to Michigan DEQ. During the term of the permit, the remaining dry weather outfalls will be screened and a follow-up program will be implemented to investigate and eliminate any illicit discharges found, to the extent possible.

1. On-Going Dry Weather Screening

MDOT will complete its screening program of all dry weather outfalls that were not screened during Part 1 activities (about 16 outfalls in Sterling Heights, 100 outfalls overall in the 5 communities) and commit to a regular screening program. Prior to conducting the field screening, MDOT will conduct a search of the active NPDES permits within the watershed to determine if there are any permitted dry weather discharges to MDOT’s outfalls. In addition, MDOT will also check the >Individual Application and Permit for Use of State Trunkline Right-of-Way files to determine if there are any active discharges to MDOT outfalls. This will alert MDOT to any type of discharge activity such as an NPDES-permitted cleanup. All accessible outfalls will be screened over the term of the permit. Approximately one-third of the remaining outfalls will be screened each year.

The screening program will consist of the following:

a. observe outfall for presence of dry weather flow;

b. if flow is present, estimate the flow rate;

c. make observations on odor, color, clarity, floatables, deposits, vegetation condition, structural condition, and biological factors; and

d. make field measurements of water temperature and pH and estimate level of phenols, chlorine, total copper, and detergents with a HACH kit.
The data will be recorded on a field screening data sheet for each outfall screened. If an outfall is determined to be inaccessible or cannot be found, a screening sheet will be completed for the outfall, with the accessibility problem noted.

2. Follow-Up Program

If a suspected illicit discharge or illicit connection is identified during the field screening process, MDOT will undertake a progressive program to identify and eliminate the source, where possible. MDOT will initiate the following steps:

a. While still in the field, work systematically upstream on a manhole-to-manhole dry weather flow investigation, if accessibility and safety allow, to establish where the suspected illicit discharge first enters the system;

b. Perform further testing of the flow, as appropriate, to confirm the suspected pollutant based on observations of the discharge (for example, a sample could be collected for fecal coliform analysis if sanitary sewage is suspected);

c. Conduct additional investigations, as appropriate, to identify the source of the illicit discharge (e.g., video inspect the storm sewer upstream of the outfall);

d. If the upstream investigation demonstrates that the source of the illicit discharge or illicit connection is within MDOT’s right-of-way and it is not known to be from an active permitted discharge, initiate actions to eliminate the discharge according to MDOT’s legal procedure for removal of an encroachment;

e. If the upstream investigation demonstrates that the source originates outside MDOT’s right-of-way within the City of Sterling Heights’s jurisdiction, contact Michigan DEQ and the City’s storm water manager to coordinate efforts to locate, identify, and notify the owner; DEQ and the City have the authority to require elimination of the illicit discharge.

In addition, MDOT will initiate the following preemptive practices to reduce the likelihood of future illicit discharges:

a. Track new development using MDOT’s permit process for connections to its drainage system, Individual Application and Permit for Use of State Trunkline Right-of-Way;

b. Implement policies and guidance to instruct MDOT staff and its representatives (contractors, consultants, and contract communities) to identify and eliminate illegal tap-ins or cross-connections found during all phases of transportation system projects.

MDOT’s primary emphasis in addressing illicit discharges is to prevent them from occurring, which is accomplished through public education. A critical component of MDOT’s Public Education Plan will focus on educating the public regarding the definition of an illicit discharge or connection, the negative effects of these discharges, and the rationale for eliminating them. Educating the community as well as MDOT staff and contractors is key to eliminating illicit discharges and illicit connections to the MDOT separate storm water drainage system. The public education component of the illicit discharge elimination plan is addressed in MDOT’s Public Education Plan.
3. Record Keeping
During the dry weather screening process, a detailed record will be kept of the investigations at each outfall. If an illicit discharge is found, the following information will be gathered and recorded:

a. Estimated volume or rate of flow based on depth, width, and estimated velocity of flow in the outfall;

b. Material or pollutant discharged based on visual observations, results of HACH kit testing, or subsequent chemical analysis;

c. Location of the discharge relative to MDOT’s separate storm water drainage system and location of the outfall relative to waters of the state based on results of a manhole-to-manhole investigation, review of storm drainage maps, review of MDOT’s construction permit records, and coordination with the City on reviewing land use practices; and

d. Plan of action to remove the illicit discharge source as allowed by P.A. 368 of 1925, Encroachments.

4. Illicit Discharge Elimination Plan Education
A critical element of MDOT’s Illicit Discharge Elimination Plan is public education and internal training. A successful illicit discharge elimination plan depends upon an effective education program, one that educates the community on what constitutes an illicit discharge and conveys the importance of eliminating these discharges. All the proposed activities discussed above serve not only to complement the City of Sterling Heights Public Education Plan, but also to supplement MDOT’s Illicit Discharge Elimination Plan. MDOT will implement an education program to train employees, contract agencies, and contractors/consultants on the elements of the Illicit Discharge Elimination Plan. The city’s public service announcements, distribution of brochures, web page linkages, and the EcoMasters program will all help to educate the public on issues related to illicit discharges. MDOT will continue to make additions to its internal training sessions, meetings, and correspondence (i.e., newsletters) to convey this information to employees, contractors, and local agencies. MDOT can also relay information on illicit discharges to the general public through its permit program for access and use of the state right-of-way.

D. Washington State Department of Transportation Stormwater Management Plan
(For more information on referenced Tables and Appendices, see this document on Washington DOT’s web site @ http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/eesc/environmental/programs/hazwqec/docs/SWMP1.pdf)

1. Illicit Discharge Controls
Storm sewer systems provide direct conduits for materials to be conveyed to receiving waters. Illicit discharges to MS4 systems typically occur as illicit connections to storm sewers or illicit dumping at storm drains. Techniques for preventing, detecting, and investigating illicit discharges include:

- Inspection during sewer construction,
- Routine outfall inspection,
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- Interior pipe inspection and/or testing of the sewer, and interior building,
- Storm drain labeling,
- Personnel training regarding proper disposal procedures,
- Increase personnel and public awareness of the implications of illegal dumping and of available waste oil recycling stations and hazardous materials disposal locations.
- Encourage personnel and citizens to report evidence or indications of illegal discharges,
- Investigate reported complaints.

WSDOT utilizes information gathered by highway maintenance and outfall inventory crews to identify potential illegal outfalls to WSDOT's MS4 systems.

Once constructed, non-structural BMPs can reduce pollutants in highway runoff in almost all situations. These can include some operational and maintenance practices, contractual changes specifying erosion and sediment control practices, minimizing illegal discharges from other sources, source control activities, WSDOT staff training, and public education (particularly trip reduction) programs.

2. Identification of Illegal Discharges and Off-Site Disposal of Stormwater Discharges Into WSDOT's MS4 Drainage System

Maintenance personnel are the primary WSDOT employees that evaluate the functioning and maintenance of WSDOT's MS4 drainage systems, and are the most likely persons to identify unusual flows and contaminated discharges. Through the process of inventorying storm water outfalls through its Outfall Inventory / Field Screening (OI/FS) process, WSDOT expended a significant amount of person-hours and expense to identify illegal connections throughout WSDOT's MS4 systems in Puget Sound, Clark County, and Spokane County. Fifteen illegal connections were identified through the OI/FS effort, six of these were confirmed to be illegal. Because the total number of illegal connections found were so small and discharges were insignificant (both in terms of quality and quantity), a large scale, ongoing, capital intensive program to identify illegal connections was judged to not be warranted. The components of WSDOT’s program which address illegal discharges on an ongoing basis will focus on risk avoidance through use of Storm Water Utility Permits. The indemnity clause in the permit places the responsibility of complying with water quality laws on the permittee. The permittee will be held liable for any pollution discharged into the highway drainage system. WSDOT will coordinate and develop illegal connection identification program in cooperation with the other MS4 permittees.

E. California Department of Transportation Statewide Storm Water Management Plan

(For more information regarding referenced Tables and Appendices see this document on Caltrans' web site @

Discharges from storm drain systems owned or operated by Caltrans contain flows from sources other than facilities owned by Caltrans. Other flows into Caltrans drainage system include flows allowed by encroachment permits, flows allowed through leases or other similar documents for third party facilities located in Caltrans right-of-ways, flows from adjacent properties outside Caltrans right-of-way, flows from illegal discharges, and flows that must be accepted due to drainage laws. When these other flows
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are determined to be significant sources of pollutants they are to be controlled through legal authorities or other appropriate BMPs identified in this SWMP. When such flows are not subject to NPDES permit regulations, instead Caltrans will report them to the appropriate agency for appropriate action. Other flows may be directly controlled by Caltrans through its own legal authorities provided through conditions specified in encroachment permits where appropriate, leases, or other legally binding documents and through implementing Caltrans illicit connections BMP program identified in this SWMP. Other direct legal authorities may include monitoring and conducting inspections. Acknowledging that Caltrans does not have the traditional police powers associated with municipal government, Caltrans may meet the legal authority requirement by establishing interagency agreements with municipalities, special districts, or other agencies and establishing agreements with the California Highway Patrol or other state policing powers, etc. Caltrans may not have, or be able to acquire adequate legal authority to control certain sources of pollutants (e.g. pesticides or brake pad dust) in other flows discharged to Caltrans storm drain system. When this occurs Caltrans will develop and implement appropriate source specific public education and outreach programs and include them in its Public Education Program described in Section 6.4 of this SWMP. Caltrans will adequately demonstrate, document, and report in the Annual Report such situations to the SWRCB and appropriate RWQCB.

1. **Coordination With Third Parties**

   As discussed in Section 2.3.9, Caltrans requires encroachment permits from developers, utilities and governmental agencies conducting activities within a Caltrans highway right-of-way. Encroachment permits are conditioned to require environmental compliance, including implementation of BMPs comparable to those required of Caltrans. As discussed in Section 2.8, illicit connections to the Caltrans storm drainage system are considered encroachments, and Caltrans will use its legal authority to remove or otherwise correct these inappropriate encroachments.

   Caltrans possesses adequate legal authority to disconnect or prohibit point source illicit connections to its storm drain systems pursuant to Streets and Highways Code §660, which defines an encroachment as “any tower, pole, pole line, pipe, pipe line, fence, billboard, stand or building, or any structure, object of any kind or character not particularly mentioned in this section, or special event, which is in, under, or over any portion of the highway ...”. Thus, illicit connections to the Caltrans storm drainage system are considered encroachments. Streets and Highways Code §670 prohibits placing, changing or renewing an encroachment without a permit. Any person placing an encroachment without the authority of a permit is guilty of a misdemeanor. Generally, a permit granting an encroachment on a highway constitutes a mere revocable license which may be withdrawn at will (People by and through the Department of Public Works v. DiTomaso, 57 C.A. 2D 741). Encroachment permits may also be conditioned to require compliance with storm water regulations and the requirements of the Caltrans program.

2. **Illicit Connection/Illegal Discharge**

   On construction sites, the roadway engineer and the Contractor shall be alert to and report the potential presence of illicit connections or illegal discharges. These situations will be addressed according to the BMP: Illicit Connection/Illegal Discharge Detection and Reporting BMP.

   Illicit Connection / Illegal Discharge When maintenance personnel discover an IC/ID, it will be referred to the District Maintenance Storm Water Coordinator for investigation and reporting. Illegal dumping that may impact storm water quality will be removed. All cleanup activities will also be reported to the District Maintenance Storm Water Coordinator, including all illegal-
Illicit Connection Detection, Reporting and Removal Maintenance field staff will detect, track and report illicit connections and associated discharges into Caltrans drainage systems. Permanent, underground pipe connections to Caltrans drainage systems that have not been approved by Caltrans are considered illicit connections. Suspected illicit connections are reported to the District Maintenance Storm Water Coordinator, who forwards this information to the District NPDES Storm Water Coordinator. This management practice is directed at continuous or recurring discharges through illicit connections to the drainage system or as run-on from an adjacent property. Management practice D2, Illegal Discharge Control, focuses on single-incident dumping or spills.

F. Mississippi Guidance and Resources
(for more information contact MDEQ at 601/961-5171 General Permits Branch)

Municipal Storm Water Outfalls and State Waters
Mississippi’s MS4 General Permit requires the development of a site map which must include the location of each municipal storm water outfall, and the subsequent state waters for each outfall. To identify the storm water outfalls and the state waters within each jurisdiction, it is important to understand the definition of each.

According to 40 CFR 122.26 (b)(9), a municipal outfall means a point source “at the point where a municipal separate storm sewer discharges to state waters and does not include open conveyances connecting two municipal separate storm sewers, or pipes, tunnels or other conveyances which connect segments of the same stream or other waters of the United States and are used to convey waters of the United States.”

Because a municipal storm water outfall has been defined as the point where a municipal separate storm sewer discharges to state waters, it is also important to understand what are state waters. The definition of state waters according to Mississippi’s Wastewater Regulations for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permits, “Waters of the State” means all waters within the jurisdiction of this State, including all streams, lakes, ponds, wetlands, impounding reservoirs, marshes, watercourses, waterways, wells, springs, irrigation systems, drainage systems, and all other bodies or accumulations of water, surface and underground, natural or artificial, situated wholly or partly within or bordering upon the State, and such coastal waters as are within the jurisdiction of the State, except lakes, ponds, or other surface waters which are wholly landlocked and privately owned, and which are not regulated under the Federal Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C.1251 et seq.).

The Map
The storm sewer map that demonstrates a basic awareness of the intake and discharge areas of the system should be completed in the first year. However, the map should be dated and upgraded throughout the 5-year term. It is needed to help determine the extent of discharged dry weather flows, the possible sources of the dry weather flows, and the particular waterbodies these flows may be affecting. A map that shows the location of major pipes and outfalls can be clearly presented to demonstrate such awareness. EPA recommends collecting all existing information on outfall locations (e.g., review city records, drainage maps, storm drain maps), and then conducting field surveys to verify locations.
The following mapping guidance is taken from the Mississippi Gulf Coast Storm Water Management Tool Box.

“Maps can be developed by adding coverages to existing geographic information systems. These coverages should include details about the storm sewer system and related infrastructure. The coverages should also include land use data which is directly related to any priority area. The map should include a method for establishing unique identification numbers for outfalls, features and illicit discharge sites.

The unique identification numbers can be developed by focusing on individual basins. An example of a unique identification number for illicit discharge is GC-1.2-ID1.72. In this example the GC means the Gordons Creek Drainage basin; 1.2 means the second tributary to the main channel of Gordons Creek; ID means illicit discharge site; and 1.72 means the illicit discharge site is 1.70 miles upstream from the confluence of tributary 1.2 with the main channel of Gordons Creek. With this numbering system, a new unique number for sites can be established at intervals of 52 feet along main channels and tributaries in a drainage basin.

Unique identification numbers can be shown on the map for referencing during fields investigations. For example a drainage structure under a street immediately downstream from illicit discharge site “GC-1.2-ID1.72” may have the unique identification number “GC-1.2-S-1.69”. The number indicates that this structure is 0.03 miles or 158 feet downstream from the illicit discharge site.

Sites can be placed on the map by using field measurements or by obtaining coordinates using global positions systems (GPS). Feature numbers are establish by map measurements.

**Development of a Storm Water Control Ordinance**

MDOT must put in place ordinances or other regulatory mechanisms that provide it with appropriate authority to ensure that all illicit discharges are prohibited or identified for notification to the proper authorities. MDOT must review their program for issuance of utility permits and make modifications necessary to ensure that there are not connections into the MDOT storm sewer system of non-storm-storm water discharges (except those identified in Part I. B. 4. of the MS4 General Permit). MDOT must notify individuals applying for utility permits of the prohibition of discharging any non-storm water discharges (except those identified in Part I. B. 4.) into MDOT’s MS4, and refer them to MDEQ as needed.

**Covered Non-Storm Water Discharges**

Part I. B. 4 of Mississippi’s Small MS4 General Permit authorizes the following non-storm water discharges provided they do not contribute to a violation of water quality standards. MS4’s would have to address these non-storm water discharges or flows only if MDOT or MDEQ identifies them as significant contributors of pollutants to the MS4.

- water line flushing
- landscape irrigation
- diverted stream flows
- rising ground waters
- uncontaminated pumped ground water
- discharges from potable water sources
- foundation drains
uncontaminated ground water infiltration (infiltration is defined as water other than wastewater that enters a sewer system, including sewer service connections and foundation drains, from the ground through such means as defective pipes, pipe joints, connections, or manholes. Infiltration does not include, and is distinguished from, inflow.)

- air conditioning condensate
- irrigation water
- springs
- water from crawl space pumps
- footing drains
- lawn watering runoff
- water from individual residential car washing
- flows from riparian habitats and wetlands
- dechlorinated swimming pool discharges
- street wash water
- discharges or flows from fire fighting activities
- fire hydrant flushings
- external building wash downs which do not use detergents

Development of an Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination Plan

MDOT must develop an Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination Plan. Mississippi anticipates that MDOT will have opportunities to detect and eliminate numerous illicit discharges. Therefore, three components of an appropriate illicit detection and elimination plan should address:

- Procedures for Locating Priority Areas
- Procedures for Tracing the Source of an Illicit Discharge
- Procedures for Removing the Source of Discharge

The determining factors on whether a plan is adequate will be whether it addresses the areas of concern and contains all required elements. This section describes methods that may be used to address areas of concern and satisfy the requirements.

A factor that should be considered by MDOT is the importance of preliminary planning, reconnaissance, and record keeping in order to be proactive in managing the storm water program. It may increase costs early on to develop a management program to map inlets and pipes, survey watersheds, and understand how illicit discharges might enter into state waters, but when an illicit discharge occurs, MDOT can respond promptly and not in a crisis management mode.

Prioritize/Identify Problem Areas

It is important to locate priority areas, which include areas with higher likelihood of illicit connections. For example, continuous illicit discharges (i.e., illicit connections) are less likely to occur in newer residential areas than in older residential areas. This occurs partially because criteria for subdivision development, including connections to storm sewers, became more strict with the implementation of subdivision regulations in the 80’s and 90’s. Also, the newer commercial/industrial areas typically have fewer illicit discharges than the older areas and it may be that industrial areas have more illicit discharges than commercial areas.
An awareness of the land use, age of development, reports of illicit discharges and other information specific to each MS4 as it relates to the areas tributary to outfalls will allow MDOT to prioritize their resources in the areas where most illicit discharges occur. Upon request, MDEQ’s Complaint Tracking System (CTS) may be utilized to identify previous illicit discharge complaints in different areas.

Although not individually required, below is a list of various methods that may be used to locate priority areas:

- Training of employees on recognition and reporting of suspected problems
- Establishing and maintaining a public complaint hotline
- Performing periodic or regular visual screening during dry weather (no less than 72 hours after the last rain fall of 0.10 inches or more)
- Performing follow-up inspections of suspect outfalls
- Sampling of suspect outfalls for parameters of concern
- Evaluating water samples (visual description and/or chemical/biological testing)

**Tracing the Source of an Illicit Discharge**

Various methods can be used to find the source of illicit discharges. Initially, development of a more thorough Storm Sewer System Map may assist the regulated entity in tracing the source of an illicit discharge. Information that could be added to the Storm Sewer System Map to improve its usability may include the following, as appropriate:

- Identification of the drainage area for each outfall
- Identification of the land use for each drainage area
- Locations of all inlets
- Locations of all manholes
- Information on pre-development streams and springs
- Information regarding depth of water table
- Areas serviced by sanitary sewerage
- Areas serviced by septic systems
- Older residential areas with failing sanitary systems
- High density residential areas with septic systems
- MDEQ NPDES permittees
- Identification of older industrial/commercial & residential areas
- Significant contributors such as airports, military bases & landfills
- and agricultural operations using irrigation

Once an illicit discharge has occurred, various methods could be used to ascertain its source. Below is a list of technologies that could be incorporated in a regulated entity’s Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination Plan:

- Conducting dye-testing to delineate potential source areas
- Conducting smoke tests to delineate potential source areas
- Collecting samples of the discharge and of potential sources of the discharge, and comparing the chemical analysis results
- Using TV or video cameras to inspect storm sewers
- Recruiting public involvement to report illicit discharges by using an “illicit discharge reporting hotline”
Procedures for Removing the Source of Illicit Discharge

There are various proven methods that can be used to remove/correct illicit discharges. Often, training of designated personnel on how to contact sources of illicit discharges and secure the cooperation of the party who is responsible to correct the problem is the most beneficial method in correcting illicit discharges and eliminating future discharges. The following is a minimum level of effort expected for this minimum measure component. MDOT should develop, if not already developed, and implement a plan to educate, promote and facilitate reporting of the presence of illicit connections, illicit discharges or illegal dumping of materials into the MS4 by MDOT employees and the MS4 user community (the public). The elements of this program should include:

- The determination of whom should receive reports of illicit discharges or improper disposal into the MS4.
- The development of a program to educate MDOT employees and the MS4 user community on who to call if illicit discharges or improper disposal is observed.
- MDOT will provide a narrative of the educational activities undertaken during the year as part of the Annual Report.

Prioritization of illicit discharge detection is also advisable. Development of a plan to inspect areas more prone than others to illicit discharges will assure that scarce resources are used effectively. Additionally, procedures for dealing with illicit discharges that originate in other jurisdictions, including procedures for notification, assignment of responsibility, and follow-up enforcement efforts, are also suggested.

The following is a minimum level of effort expected for this minimum measure component. MDOT’s illicit discharge detection and elimination plan should describe a procedure for performing field screening on a complaint basis and of major outfalls in areas of high potential for illicit discharges. The plan should specify those areas, which are considered a high priority and specify the procedures and frequency of the screening. Program elements should include the following:

- MDOT should develop standard investigation procedures to be used to identify, report and follow up on the source(s) of illicit connections to the MDOT storm sewer system.
- MDOT should develop procedures for referring unresolved illicit discharges to MDEQ for further action.
- MDOT should report the number of illicit discharges investigated and the follow-up action taken as part of the Annual Report.

It is always advisable to document the surveillance of each illicit discharge as well as the actions that are taken in responding to the illicit discharge. MDEQ requires an Annual Report that must include “progress towards achieving the permittee’s identified measurable goals for each of the minimum control measures.” At a minimum, MDOT must report progress achieved towards the Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination measurable goals provided as a part of the application (see the Measurable Goals Section). An Annual Report submitted to MDEQ should include the following:

- Progress towards completing the Storm Sewer System map
- Progress towards implementing a recycling household hazardous waste day
- Progress towards the passage of an ordinance that prohibits illicit discharges
Development of a Spill Control Plan
The following is a minimum level of effort expected for this minimum measure component. MDOT should develop and implement, if not already developed, a spill control plan that contractors will be required to implement if a spill of a dangerous or hazardous waste should occur. The spill control plans should establish who to notify in the event of a spill, provide specific cleanup instructions for likely products handled on-site, require spill containment and cleanup list that are easy to find and use, require inlets to be plugged and the use of diversions for incoming water if there is a chance that a spill could enter a storm drain or sewer, and require the use of absorbent materials such as kitty litter or sawdust (not straw) for minor spills. When reporting a spill, the following information should be reported: reporting party, material released, concentration, contact phone number(s), resource damages (e.g., dead fish), location, responsible party, quantity of spill, and cleanup status.

Development of a Recycle/Reuse Program
The following is a minimum level of effort expected for this minimum measure component. MDOT should develop and implement a program, including standard operating procedures, for the collection, recycle, reuse, or proper disposal of used motor vehicle fluids (at a minimum, oil and antifreeze) and other toxic materials (including paint, solvents, pesticides, herbicides and other hazardous materials) used in MDOT operations. The Annual Report must include a summary of the program.

G. EXAMPLES OF PHASE II BMPS AND ASSOCIATED MEASURABLE GOALS
(From EPA, Office of Water and Office of Wastewater Management at http://www.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater/measurablegoals/part3.htm)

Hypothetical Case Study: Smalltown, USA, has yet to survey the MS4 to identify problems and illicit connections. To initiate this process, they intend to map the entire system to systematically address potential problem areas, first with a general survey and then with a more detailed inspection. They also plan to enlist the support of the public in identifying illicit discharges or illegal dumping.

Minimum Measure Objectives: Develop a comprehensive map of the storm drain system, establish and carry out procedures to identify and remove illicit discharges, establish legal authority for enforcement actions, and encourage public education and involvement in eliminating illicit discharges.

BMP: Storm drain system map
Measurable Goal: A storm drain system map will be developed in the first year.
Justification: A comprehensive infrastructure map of the MS4 has not yet been created. Once completed, this map will aid the municipality in targeting outfalls with dry weather flows and other suspicious discharges for more in-depth inspection and monitoring and will help coordinate management activities to remove illicit connections and track storm drain system maintenance.
**BMP:** Identify illicit connections through dry weather screening and targeted video inspection

**Measurable Goal:** A survey during dry weather of 20 percent of the storm drain system outfalls per year will be conducted to identify non-storm water flows. Once each year's survey is complete, areas with suspicious discharges will be inspected with video cameras to detect suspected direct connections to the wastewater system and identify areas where wastewater might be leaking into adjacent storm drainpipes.

**Justification:** The municipality contains many older neighborhoods that will be the initial focus of the illicit discharge identification effort. Deteriorating infrastructure and questionable building codes at the time might have resulted in directly connected and/or leaking wastewater pipes. Targeted video inspection in areas with high nutrient levels, appearance of suds or oily discharges, or dry weather flows will efficiently identify these connections.

**BMP:** Illicit discharge/illegal dumping hotline

**Measurable Goal:** A hotline for citizens to report illegal dumping and suspicious discharges will be established in the first year. The hotline will be advertised by placement of one ad in the local newspaper every 6 months and an insert in each homeowner’s and business’s water utility bills every year.

**Justification:** This hotline will supplement the municipality’s effort to target outfalls for video inspection and will facilitate the cleanup and remediation of dumping sites. Also, advertising the hotline will improve public involvement and will serve as an educational tool to inform the public about the hazards of illicit discharges and illegal dumping.

**EXAMPLE TABLE:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMP</th>
<th>Measurable Goals</th>
<th>YR 1</th>
<th>YR 2</th>
<th>YR 3</th>
<th>YR 4</th>
<th>YR 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Storm sewer map</td>
<td>Sewer system base map completed</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm sewer map</td>
<td>Storm sewer map updated</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling program</td>
<td>Recycling program for contractors waste in place</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling program</td>
<td>Co-sponsor household hazardous waste collection days yearly</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory mechanism developed</td>
<td>MDOT rules and regulations prohibiting illicit discharges to highway right-of-way adopted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>The hazards of illicit discharges training program completed</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Provide training yearly to the contractors and MDOT employees of the hazards of illicit discharges</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifying and removing illicit discharges</td>
<td>75 percent of sources of illicit discharges determined</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifying and removing illicit discharges</td>
<td>75 percent of detected illicit discharges eliminated;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifying and removing illicit discharges</td>
<td>100 percent of detected illicit discharges eliminated;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifying and removing illicit discharges</td>
<td>95 percent of illicit discharge sources detected and eliminated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER IV
CONSTRUCTION SITE STORM WATER RUNOFF CONTROL

Polluted storm water runoff from construction sites flows into local rivers and streams. Sediment is usually the main pollutant of concern. Sediment runoff rates from construction sites are typically 10 to 20 times greater than those of agricultural lands, and 1,000 to 2,000 times greater than those of forestlands. During a short period of time, construction sites can contribute more sediment to streams than can be deposited naturally during several decades. The resulting siltation, and the contribution of other pollutants from construction sites, can cause physical, chemical, and biological harm to Mississippi’s waters. For example, excess sediment can quickly fill rivers and lakes, requiring dredging and destroying aquatic habitats.

Often, pollutants are present in storm water runoff from construction sites that may result in degradation of receiving waters. Nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorous) are of specific concern and can cause significant impairment. In addition, solid and sanitary wastes, pesticides, oil and grease, concrete truck washout, construction chemicals, construction debris and metals may be discharged causing a negative impact on receiving waters.

A. Program Requirements

1. To satisfy this minimum control measure, MDOT must:

   a. Develop, implement, and enforce a program to reduce pollutants in any storm water runoff to the small MS4 from construction activities that result in a land disturbance of greater than or equal to one acre. Reduction of storm water discharges from construction activity disturbing less than one acre must be included in your program if that construction activity is part of a larger common plan of development or sale that would disturb one acre or more. The program must include the development and implementation of, at a minimum:

      (1) An ordinance or other regulatory mechanism to require erosion and sediment controls, as well as sanctions to ensure compliance, to the extent allowable under State or local law. If an ordinance or regulatory mechanism is already developed, include a copy of the relevant sections with the storm water management program description.

      (2) Requirements for construction site operators to implement appropriate erosion and sediment control best management practices.

      (3) Requirements for construction site operators to control waste such as discarded building materials, concrete truck washout, chemicals, litter, and sanitary waste at the construction site that may cause adverse impacts to water quality.

      (4) Procedures for site plan review which incorporate consideration of potential water quality impacts.

      (5) Procedures for receipt and consideration of information submitted by the public.

      (6) Procedures for site inspection and enforcement of control measures.
b. Define appropriate BMPs for this minimum control measure and measurable goals for each BMP.

c. Document the decision process for the development of a construction site storm water control program. The regulated entity’s rationale statement must address the overall construction site storm water control program, the individual BMPs, measurable goals, and responsible persons for this program. The rationale statement must include the following information, at a minimum:

(1) The procedures for site plan review, including the review of pre-construction site plans, which incorporate consideration of potential water quality impacts and consistency with local sediment and erosion control requirements.

(2) The procedures for receipt and consideration of information submitted by the public. Consider coordinating this requirement with the regulated entity’s public education program.

(3) The procedures for site inspection and enforcement of control measures, including how the regulated entity will prioritize sites for inspection based on the nature of the construction activity, topography, soil characteristics, and receiving water quality. Some examples of sanctions to ensure compliance include non-monetary penalties, fines, bonding requirements and/or permit denials for non-compliance.

(4) The procedures to provide appropriate educational training measures for construction site operators.

(5) How the regulated entity will evaluate the success of this minimum measure, including how the regulated entity selected the measurable goals for each of the BMPs.

(6) Responsibility for overall management and implementation of the construction site storm water control program and, if different, who is responsible for each of the BMPs identified for this program.

B. From EPA’s BMP Menu
(For more detailed information on implementation, effectiveness, benefits, limitations, cost and references, call MDEQ or visit EPA’s web site @ http://www.epa.gov/npdes/menofbm/)

1. Land Grading

Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control

a. Description
Land grading involves ground surface reshaping to planned grades as determined by an engineering survey, evaluation, and layout. Land grading provides more suitable topography for buildings, facilities, and other land uses and helps to control surface runoff, soil erosion, and sedimentation both during and after construction.

b. Applicability
Land grading is applicable to sites with uneven or steep topography or easily erodible soils because it stabilizes slopes and decreases runoff velocity. Existing drainage patterns should be maintained as much as possible.
2. Permanent Diversions
Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control

a. Description
Diversions can be constructed by creating channels across slopes with supporting earthen ridges on the bottom side of the slopes. The ridges reduce slope length, collect storm water runoff, and deflect the runoff to acceptable outlets that convey it without erosion.

b. Applicability
Diversions are used in areas where runoff from areas of higher elevation poses a threat of property damage or erosion. Diversions can also be used to promote the growth of vegetation in areas of lower elevations. Finally, diversions protect upland slopes that are being damaged by surface and/or shallow subsurface flow by reducing slope length, which minimizes soil loss.

3. Preserving Natural Vegetation
Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control

a. Description
The principal advantage of preserving natural vegetation is the protection of desirable trees, vines, bushes, and grasses from damage during project development. Vegetation provides erosion control, storm water detention, biofiltration, and aesthetic values to a site during and after construction activities. Other benefits from preserving natural areas are because natural vegetation:

- Can process higher quantities of storm water runoff than newly seeded areas
- Does not require time to establish
- Has a higher filtering capacity than newly planted vegetation because aboveground and root structures are typically denser
- Reduces storm water runoff by intercepting rainfall, promoting infiltration, and lowering the water table through transpiration
- Provides buffers and screens against noise and visual disturbance
- Provides a fully-developed habitat for wildlife
- Usually requires less maintenance (e.g., irrigation, fertilizer) than planting new vegetation
- Enhances aesthetics

4. Construction Entrances
Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control

a. Description
The purpose of stabilizing construction site entrances is to minimize the amount of sediment leaving the area as mud and sediment tend to attach to motorized vehicles. Stabilization can be achieved by installing a pad of gravel over filter cloth where construction traffic leaves a site. As vehicles drive over the gravel pad, mud and sediment are removed from the wheels and offsite transport of soil is reduced. The gravel pad reduces erosion and rutting of soil beneath the stabilization structure. The filter fabric separates the gravel from the soil below, preventing the gravel from being ground into the and reduces the amount of rutting caused by vehicle tires by spreading the vehicle's weight over a larger soil area than just the tire width.
In addition to removal of sediment by simple friction of vehicle tires on the gravel pad, a vehicle washing station can be established at the site entrance. Wash stations, if used on a routine basis, remove a substantial amount of sediment from vehicles before they leave the site. Diverting runoff from vehicle washing stations into a sediment trap helps ensure that sediment removed from vehicles is kept on-site and disposed of properly.

b. Applicability
Typically, stabilized construction entrances are installed at locations where construction traffic leaves or enters an existing paved road. However, the applicability of site entrance stabilization should be extended to any roadway or entrance where vehicles will access or leave the site. From a public relations point of view, stabilizing construction site entrances can be a worthwhile exercise. If the site entrance is the most publicly noticeable part of a construction site, stabilized entrances can improve the appearance to passersby and improve public perception of the construction project.

5. Check Dams
Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control
a. Description
Check dams are small, temporary dams constructed across a swale or channel. Check dams can be constructed using gravel, rock, sandbags, logs, or straw bales and are used to slow the velocity of concentrated flow in a channel. By reducing the velocity of the water flowing through a swale or channel, check dams reduce the erosion in the swale or channel. As a secondary function, check dams can also be used to catch sediment from the channel itself or the contributing drainage area as storm water runoff flows through the structure. However, the use of check dams in a channel should not be a substitute for the use of other sediment-trapping and erosion control measures. As with most other temporary structures, check dams are most effective when used in combination with other storm water and erosion and sediment control measures.

b. Applicability
Check dams should be used in swales or channels that will be used for a short period of time where it is not practical to line the channel or implement other flow control practices (USEPA, 1993). In addition, check dams are appropriate where temporary seeding has been recently implemented but has not had time to take root and fully develop. Check dams are usually used in small open channels with a contributing drainage area of 2 to 10 acres. For a given swale or channel, multiple check dams, spaced at appropriate intervals, can increase overall effectiveness. If dams are used in a series, they should be spaced such that the base of the upstream dam is at the same elevation as the top of the next downstream dam (VDCR, 1995).

6. Filter Berms
Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control
a. Description
A gravel or stone filter berm is a temporary ridge made up of loose gravel, stone, or crushed rock that slows, filters, and diverts flow from an open traffic area and acts as an efficient form of sediment control. A specific type of filter berm is the continuous berm, a geosynthetic fabric that encapsulates sand, rock, or soil.
7. **Grass-Lined Channel**  
**Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control**

a. **Description**  
Grass-lined channels convey storm water runoff through a stable conduit. Vegetation lining the channel reduces the flow velocity of concentrated runoff. Grassed channels are not designed to control peak runoff loads by themselves, but are often used in combination with other BMPs such as subsurface drains and riprap stabilization. Where moderately steep slopes require drainage, grassed channels can include excavated depressions or check dams to enhance runoff storage, decrease flow rates, and enhance pollutant removal. Peak discharges can be reduced through temporary detention in the channel. Pollutants can be removed from storm water via filtration through vegetation, deposition, or in some cases by infiltration of soluble nutrients into the soil. The degree of pollutant removal in a channel depends on the residence time of water in the channel and the amount of contact with vegetation and the soil surface. As a result, removal efficiency is highly dependent on local conditions.

b. **Applicability**  
Grassed channels should be used in areas where erosion-resistant conveyances are needed, including areas with highly erodible soils and moderately steep slopes (although less than 5 percent). They should only be installed where space is available for a relatively large cross section. Grassed channels have a limited ability to control runoff from large storms and should not be used in areas where flow rates exceed 5 ft per second.

8. **Riprap**  
**Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control**

a. **Description**  
Riprap is a permanent erosion-resistant layer made of stones and is intended to protect soil from erosion in areas of concentrated runoff and may also be used to stabilize slopes that are unstable because of seepage problems.

b. **Applicability**  
Riprap can be used to stabilize cut-and-fill slopes; channel side slopes and bottoms; inlets and outlets of culverts, bridges, slope drains, grade stabilization structures, and storm drains, streambanks and grades.

9. **Chemical Stabilization**  
**Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control**

a. **Description**  
Chemical stabilizers, also known as soil binders or soil palliatives, provide temporary soil stabilization. Materials made of vinyl, asphalt, or rubber are sprayed onto the surface of exposed soils to hold the soil in place and protect against erosion due to runoff and wind. Chemicals used for stabilization are easily applied to the surface of the soil, can be effective in stabilizing areas where vegetative practices cannot be established, and provide immediate protection.
b. **Applicability**
Chemical stabilization can be used in areas where other methods of stabilization such as temporary seeding or permanent vegetation are not effective because of environmental constraints. They can also be used in combination with vegetative or perimeter practices to enhance erosion and sediment control.

10. **Mulching**
**Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control**

a. **Description**
Mulching is a temporary erosion control practice in which materials such as grass, hay, wood chips, wood fibers, straw, or gravel are placed on exposed or recently planted soil surfaces. Mulching is highly recommended as a stabilization method and is most effective when used in conjunction with vegetation establishment. In addition to stabilizing soils, mulching can reduce the velocity of storm water runoff. When used in combination with seeding or planting, mulching can aid plant growth by holding seeds, fertilizers, and topsoil in place, preventing birds from eating seeds, retaining moisture, and insulating plant roots against extreme temperatures.

Mulch mattings are materials such as jute or other wood fibers that are formed into sheets and are more stable than loose mulch. Jute and other wood fibers, plastic, paper, or cotton can be used individually or combined into mats to hold mulch to the ground. Netting can be used to stabilize soils while plants are growing, although netting does not retain moisture or insulate against extreme temperatures. Mulch binders consist of asphalt or synthetic materials that are sometimes used instead of netting to bind loose mulches.

b. **Applicability**
Mulching is often used in areas where temporary seeding cannot be used due to environmental constraints. Mulching can provide immediate, effective, and inexpensive erosion control. On steep slopes and critical areas such as waterways, mulch matting is used with netting or anchoring to hold it in place. Mulches can be used on seeded and planted areas where slopes are steeper than 2:1 or where sensitive seedlings require insulation from extreme temperatures and moisture retention.

11. **Permanent Seeding**
**Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control**

a. **Description**
Permanent seeding is used to control runoff and erosion on disturbed areas by establishing perennial vegetative cover from seed. It is used to reduce erosion, to decrease sediment yields from disturbed areas, and to provide permanent stabilization. This practice is economical, adaptable to different site conditions, and allows selection of the most appropriate plant materials.

b. **Applicability**
Permanent seeding is well-suited in areas where permanent, long-lived vegetative cover is the most practical or most effective method of stabilizing the soil. Permanent seeding can be used on roughly graded areas that will not be regraded for at least a year. Vegetation controls erosion by protecting bare soil surfaces from displacement by raindrop impacts and by reducing the velocity and quantity of overland flow. The advantages of seeding over other means of establishing plants include lower initial costs and labor inputs.
12. Sodding
Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control

a. Description
Sodding is a permanent erosion control practice that involves laying a continuous cover of grass sod on exposed soils. In addition to stabilizing soils, sodding can reduce the velocity of storm water runoff. Sodding can provide immediate vegetative cover for critical areas and stabilize areas that cannot be vegetated by seed. It also can stabilize channels or swales that convey concentrated flows as well as reduce flow velocities.

b. Applicability
Sodding is appropriate for any graded or cleared which has the potential to erode and requires immediate vegetative cover. Locations particularly well-suited to sod stabilization are:

- Residential or commercial lawns and golf courses where prompt use and aesthetics are important
- Any steeply-sloped areas
- Waterways and channels carrying intermittent flow
- Areas around drop inlets that require stabilization

13. Soil Roughening
Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control

a. Description
Soil roughening is a temporary erosion control practice often used in conjunction with grading. Soil roughening involves increasing the relief of a bare soil surface with horizontal grooves, stair-stepping (that run parallel to the contour of the land), or tracking using construction equipment. Slopes that are not fine graded and that are left in a roughened condition can also reduce erosion. Soil roughening reduces runoff velocity, increases infiltration, reduces erosion, traps sediment, and prepares the soil for seeding and planting by giving seed an opportunity to take hold and grow.

b. Applicability
Soil roughening is appropriate for all slopes. Soil roughening works well on slopes greater than 3:1, on piles of excavated soil, and in areas with highly erodible soils. This technique is especially appropriate for soils that are frequently mowed or disturbed because roughening is relatively easy to accomplish. To slow erosion, roughening should be done as soon as possible after the vegetation has been removed from the slope. To stabilize an area, roughening can be used in conjunction with seeding, planting, and temporary mulching. For steeper slopes and slopes that will be left roughened for longer periods of time, a combination of surface roughening and vegetation is appropriate. Roughening should be performed immediately after temporary or permanent grading activities have ceased.

14. Geotextiles
Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control

a. Description
Geotextiles are porous fabrics also known as fabrics, filter fabrics, road rugs, synthetic fabrics, or construction fabrics. Geotextiles are manufactured by weaving or bonding fibers made from synthetic materials such as polypropylene, polyester, polyethylene, nylon, polyvinyl chloride, glass, or various mixtures of these materials. As a synthetic construction
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material, geotextiles are used for a variety of purposes such as separators, reinforcement, filtration, drainage, and erosion control (USEPA, 1992). Some geotextiles are made of biodegradable materials such as mulch matting and netting. Mulch mattings are jute or other wood fibers that have been formed into sheets and are more stable than normal mulch. Netting is typically made from jute, wood fiber, plastic, paper, or cotton and can be used to hold the mulching and matting to the ground. Netting can be used alone to stabilize soils while the plants are growing. However, it does not retain moisture or temperature well. Mulch binders (either asphalt or synthetic) are sometimes used instead of netting to hold loose mulches together. Geotextiles can aid in plant growth by holding seeds, fertilizers, and topsoil in place. Fabrics are relatively inexpensive for certain applications and a wide variety of geotextiles exist to match the specific needs of the site.

b. Applicability
Geotextiles can be used independently for erosion control. Geotextiles can also be used as matting, which stabilizes the flow of channels and swales as well as protects seedlings on recently planted slopes until they become established. Matting may be used on tidal or stream banks where moving water is likely to wash out new plantings. They can also be used to protect exposed soils immediately and temporarily, such as when active piles of soil are left overnight. Furthermore, geotextiles can also be used as separators. An example of such a use is geotextile as a separator between riprap and soil. This "sandwiching" prevents the soil from being eroded from beneath the riprap and maintaining the riprap's base.

15. Gradient Terraces
Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control
a. Description
Gradient terraces are made of either earthen embankments or ridge and channel systems that are properly spaced and are constructed with an adequate grade. They reduce damage from erosion by reducing runoff velocity, increasing overland flow distance by collecting and redistributing the runoff to stable outlets. They also surpass smooth slopes in holding moisture and help to minimize sediment loading of surface runoff.

b. Applicability
Gradient terraces are most suitable for use in areas with an existing or expected water erosion problem and no vegetation. However, they are only effective when there are suitable runoff outlets provided. They are usually limited to use on long, steep slopes with a water erosion problem, or where it is anticipated that water erosion will be a problem. They should not be constructed on slopes containing rocky or sandy soil.

16. Soil Retention
Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control
a. Description
Soil retention measures are structures or practices that are used to hold soil in place or to keep it contained within a site boundary. They may include grading or reshaping the ground to lessen steep slopes or shoring excavated areas with wood, concrete, or steel structures. Some soil-retaining measures are used for erosion control, while others such as excavations are used for protection of workers during construction projects.
b. **Applicability**

Grading to reduce steep slopes can be implemented at any construction site by assessing site conditions before breaking ground and reducing steep slopes where possible. Reinforced soil retaining structures should be used when sites have very steep slopes or loose, highly erodible soils that cause other methods, such as chemical or vegetative stabilization or regrading, to be ineffective. To the best extent possible, preconstruction drainage patterns should be maintained.

17. **Temporary Slope Drain**

*Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control*

a. **Description**

A temporary slope drain is a flexible conduit that extends the length of a disturbed slope and serves as a temporary outlet for a diversion. Temporary slope drains, also called pipe slope drains, convey runoff without causing erosion on or at the bottom of the slope. This practice is a temporary measure used during grading operations until permanent drainage structures are installed and slopes are permanently stabilized. They are typically used for less than 2 years.

b. **Applicability**

Temporary slope drains can be used on most disturbed slopes to eliminate gully erosion problems which result from the discharge of concentrated flows at diversion outlets.

18. **Temporary Stream Crossings**

*Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control*

a. **Description**

A temporary steam crossing is a structure erected to provide a safe and stable way for construction vehicle traffic to cross a running watercourse. The primary purpose of such a structure is to provide streambank stabilization, reduce the risk of damaging the streambed or channel, and reduce the risk of sediment loading from construction traffic. A temporary stream crossing may be a bridge, a culvert, or a ford.

b. **Applicability**

Temporary stream crossings are applicable wherever heavy construction equipment must be moved from one side of a stream channel to the other or where lighter construction vehicles will cross the stream a number of times during the construction period. In either case, an appropriate method for ensuring the stability of the streambanks and preventing large-scale erosion is necessary.

A bridge or culvert is the best choice for most temporary stream crossings. If properly designed, each can support heavy loads and materials used to construct most bridges, and culverts can be salvaged after they are removed. For steep areas subject to flash flooding, but where normal flow is shallow or intermittent across a wide channel, fords are more appropriate. However, fords should be used only where stream crossings are expected to be infrequent.
19. **Vegetated Buffer**

**Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control**

**a. Description**

Vegetated buffers are areas of either natural or established vegetation that are maintained to protect the water quality of neighboring areas. Buffer zones reduce the velocity of storm water runoff, provide an area for the runoff to permeate the soil, contribute to ground water recharge, and act as filters to catch sediment. The reduction in velocity also helps to prevent soil erosion.

**b. Applicability**

Vegetated buffers can be used in any area that is able to support vegetation but they are most effective and beneficial on floodplains, near wetlands, along streambanks, and on steep, unstable slopes. In addition, they are also effective in separating land use areas that are not compatible and in protecting wetlands or waterbodies by displacing activities that might be potential sources of nonpoint source pollution.

20. **Construction Sequencing**

**Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control**

**a. Description**

Construction sequencing requires the creation and implementation of a work schedule that balances the timing of land disturbance activities simultaneously with the installation of measures to control erosion and sedimentation to reduce the on-site erosion and off-site sedimentation.

**b. Applicability**

Construction sequencing is utilized to plan earthwork along with erosion and sediment control activities on sites where land disturbances might affect water quality in a receiving waterbody.

21. **Dust Control**

**Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control**

**a. Description**

Dust control measures are practices that help reduce surface and air movement of dust from disturbed soil surfaces. Construction sites are good candidates for dust control measures since land disturbance due to clearing and excavation generates a large amount of soil disturbance coupled with open space for wind to pick up and transport dust particles. To illustrate this point, limited research at construction sites has established an average dust emission rate of 1.2 tons/acre/month for active construction (WA Dept. of Ecology, 1992). These airborne particles pose a dual threat to the environment and human health. First, dust can be carried off-site, thereby increasing soil loss from the construction area and increasing the likelihood of sedimentation and water pollution. Second, blowing dust particles can contribute to respiratory health problems and create an inhospitable working environment.

**b. Applicability**

Dust control measures are applicable to any construction site where dust is created and there is the potential for air and water pollution from dust traveling across the landscape or through the air. Dust control measures are particularly important in arid or semiarid regions, where soil can become extremely dry and vulnerable to transport by high winds. Also, dust control measures should be implemented on all construction sites where there will be major soil disturbances or where erosion control measures are required.
disturbances or heavy construction activity, such as clearing, excavation, demolition, or excessive vehicle traffic. Earthmoving activities are the major source of dust from construction sites, but traffic and general disturbances can also be major contributors (WA Dept. of Ecology, 1992). The particular dust control measures that are implemented at a site will depend on the topography and land cover of a given site, as well as the soil characteristics and expected rainfall at the site.

22. **Temporary Diversion Dikes, Earth Dikes, and Interceptor Dikes**  
**Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control**

a. **Description**
Earthen perimeter controls usually consist of a dike or dike and channel constructed along the perimeter of a disturbed site. Simply defined, an earthen perimeter control is a ridge of compacted soil, often accompanied by a ditch or swale with a vegetated lining, located at the top or base of a sloping disturbed area. Depending on their location and the topography of the landscape, earthen perimeter controls can achieve one of two main goals.

Located on the upslope side of a site, earthen perimeter controls help to prevent surface runoff from entering a disturbed construction site. This is accomplished by constructing a continuous, compacted earthen mound along the upslope perimeter of the site. As an additional control measure, a shallow ditch can accompany the earthen mound. An earthen structure located upslope can improve working conditions on a construction site by preventing an increase in the total amount of sheet flow runoff traveling across the disturbed area and thereby lessen erosion on the site. Secondly, earthen perimeter control structures can be located on the downslope side of a site to divert sediment-laden runoff created onsite to onsite sediment trapping devices, preventing soil loss from the disturbed area.

These control practices can be referred to by a number of terms, including temporary diversion dikes, earth dikes, or interceptor dikes. Generally speaking, however, all earthen perimeter controls are constructed in a similar fashion with a similar objective—to control the velocity and/or route of sediment-laden storm water runoff.

b. **Applicability**
Temporary diversion dikes are applicable where it is desirable to divert flows away from disturbed areas such as cut or fill slopes and to divert runoff to a stabilized outlet (EPA, 1992). They can be erected at the top of a sloping area or in the middle of a slope to divert storm water runoff around a disturbed construction site. In this way, earth dikes can be used to reduce the length of the slope across which runoff will travel, thereby reducing the erosion potential of the flow. If placed at the bottom of a sloping disturbed area, diversion dikes can divert flow to a sediment trapping device. Temporary diversion dikes are usually appropriate for drainage basins smaller than 5 acres, but with modifications can be capable of servicing areas as large as 10 acres. With regular maintenance, earthen diversion dikes have a useful life span of approximately 18 months.

23. **Wind Fences and Sand Fences**  
**Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control**

a. **Description**
A sand fence is a barrier of small, evenly spaced wooden slats or fabric erected to reduce wind velocity and trap blowing sand. They can be used effectively as perimeter controls around open construction sites to reduce the off-site movement of fine sediments transported by wind. They also prevent off-site damage to roads, streams, and adjacent properties. The
spaces between fence slats allow wind and sediment to pass through but reduces the wind velocity, which causes sediment deposition along the fence.

b. Applicability
Wind fences are applicable to areas with a preponderance of loose, fine-textured soils that can be transported off-site by high winds. They are especially advantageous for construction sites with large areas of cleared land or in arid regions where blowing sand and dust are especially problematic. Shorefront development sites also benefit from using wind fences because they promote the formation of frontal dunes.

24. Brush Barrier
Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control

a. Description
Brush barriers are perimeter sediment control structures used to prevent soil in storm water runoff from leaving a construction site. Brush barriers are constructed of material such as small tree branches, root mats, stone, or other debris left over from site clearing and grubbing. In some configurations, brush barriers are covered with a filter cloth to stabilize the structure and improve barrier efficiency.

b. Applicability
Brush barriers are applicable to sites where there is enough material from clearing and grubbing to form a sufficient mound of debris along the perimeter of an area. The drainage area for brush barriers must be no greater than 0.25 acre per 100 feet of barrier length. In addition, the drainage slope leading down to a brush barrier must be no greater than 2:1 and no longer than 100 feet. Brush barriers have limited usefulness because they are constructed of materials that decompose.

25. Silt Fence
Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control

a. Description
Silt fences are used as temporary perimeter controls around sites where there will be soil disturbance due to construction activities. They consist of a length of filter fabric stretched between anchoring posts spaced at regular intervals along the site perimeter. The filter fabric should be entrenched in the ground between the support posts. When installed correctly and inspected frequently, they can be an effective barrier to sediment leaving the site in storm water runoff.

b. Applicability
Silt fences are generally applicable to construction sites with relatively small drainage areas. They are appropriate in areas where runoff will be occurring as low-level shallow flow, not exceeding 0.5 cfs. The drainage area for silt fences generally should not exceed 0.25 acre per 100-foot fence length. Slope length above the fence should not exceed 100 feet (NAHB, 1995).

26. Sediment Basins and Rock Dams
Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control

a. Description
Sediment basins and rock dams are two ways to capture sediment from storm water runoff before it leaves a construction site. Both structures allow a shallow pool to form in an excavated or natural depression where sediment from storm water runoff can settle. Basin
dewatering is achieved either through a single riser and drainage hole leading to a suitable outlet on the downstream side of the embankment or through the gravel of the rock dam. In both cases, water is released at a substantially slower rate than would be possible without the control structure.

A sediment basin can be constructed by excavation or by erecting an earthen embankment across a low area or drainage swale. The basin can be either a temporary structure (up to 3 years) or a permanent storm water control measure. Sediment basins can be designed to drain completely during dry periods, or they can be constructed so that a shallow, permanent pool of water remains between storm events. However, depending on the size of the basin constructed, the basin may be considered a wet pond and subject to additional regulation.

Rock dams are similar in design to sediment basins with earthen embankments. These damming structures are constructed of rock and gravel and release water from the settling pool gradually through the void spaces between the rock aggregate.

b. Applicability

Sediment basins are usually used for drainage areas of 5 to 100 acres. They can be temporary or permanent structures. Generally, sediment basins designed to be used for up to 3 years are described as temporary, while those designed for longer service are said to be permanent. Temporary sediment basins can be converted into permanent storm water runoff management ponds, but they must meet all regulatory requirements for wet ponds.

Sediment basins are applicable in drainage areas where it is anticipated that other erosion controls, such as sediment traps, will not be sufficient to prevent off-site transport of sediment. Choosing to construct a sediment basin with either an earthen embankment or a stone/rock dam will depend on the materials available, location of the basin, and desired capacity for storm water runoff and settling of sediments.

Rock dams are suitable where earthen embankments would be difficult to construct or where riprap is readily available. Rock structures are also desirable where the top of the dam structure is to be used as an overflow outlet. These riprap dams are best for drainage areas of less than 50 acres. Earthen damming structures are appropriate where failure of the dam will not result in substantial damage or loss of property or life. If properly constructed, sediment basins with earthen dams can handle storm water runoff from drainage basins as large as 100 acres.

27. Sediment Filters and Sediment Chambers

Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control

a. Description

Sediment filters are a class of sediment-trapping devices typically used to remove pollutants, primarily particulates, from storm water runoff. Generally speaking, sediment filters have four basic components: (1) inflow regulation, (2) pretreatment, (3) filter bed, and (4) outflow mechanism. Sediment chambers are merely one component of a sediment filter system.

Inflow regulation refers to the diversion of storm water runoff into the sediment-trapping device. After runoff enters the filter system, it enters a pretreatment sedimentation chamber. This chamber, used as a preliminary settling area for large debris and sediments, usually consists of nothing more than a wet detention basin. As water reaches a predetermined level, it flows over a weir into a filter bed of some filter medium. Filter medium is typically sand,
but it can consist of sand, soil, gravel, peat, compost, or a combination of these materials. The purpose of the filter bed is to remove smaller sediments and other pollutants from the storm water as it percolates through the filter medium. Finally, treated flow exits the sediment filter system via an outflow mechanism to return to the storm water conveyance system.

Sediment filter systems can be confined or unconfined, on-line or off-line, and aboveground or belowground. Confined sediment filters are constructed with the filter medium contained in a structure, often a concrete vault. Unconfined sediment filters are constructed without encasing the filter medium in a confining structure. As one example, sand might be placed on the banks of a permanent wet pond detention system to create an unconfined filter. On-line systems are designed to retain storm water in its original stream channel or storm drain system. Off-line systems are designed to divert storm water.

b. Applicability
Sediment filters may be a good alternative for smaller construction sites where the use of a wet pond is being considered as a sediment-trapping device. Their applicability is wide ranging, and they can be used in urban areas with large amounts of highly impervious area. Because confined sand filters are man-made soil systems, they can be applied to most development sites and have few constraining factors (MWCOG, 1992). However, for all sediment filter systems, the drainage area to be serviced should be no more than 10 acres. The type of filter system chosen depends on the amount of land available and the desired location within the site. Examples of sediment filter systems include the "Delaware" sand filter and the "Austin" sand filter. The Austin sand filter, so named because it first came into widespread use in Austin, Texas, is a surface filter system that can be used in areas with space restrictions. If space is at a premium, an underground filter may be the most appropriate choice. For effective storm water sediment control at the perimeter of a site, the Delaware sand filter might be a good choice. This configuration consists of two parallel, trench-like chambers installed at a site's perimeter. The first trench (sediment chamber) provides pretreatment sediment settling before the runoff spills into the second trench (filter medium).

28. Sediment Trap
Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control

a. Description
Sediment traps are small impoundments that allow sediment to settle out of runoff water. They are usually installed in a drainageway or other point of discharge from a disturbed area. Temporary diversions can be used to direct runoff to the sediment trap (USEPA, 1993). Sediment traps are used to detain sediments in storm water runoff and trap the sediment to protect receiving streams, lakes, drainage systems, and the surrounding area. Sediment traps are formed by excavating an area or by placing an earthen embankment across a low area or drainage swale. An outlet or spillway is often constructed using large stones or aggregate to slow the release of runoff (USEPA, 1992).

b. Applicability
Sediment traps are generally temporary control measures to slow concentrated runoff velocity and catch sediment, and they can be used with other temporary storm water control measures. They are commonly used at the outlets of storm water diversion structures, channels, slope drains, construction site entrance wash racks, or any other runoff conveyance
that discharges waters containing erosion sediment and debris. Sediment traps can also be used as part of a storm water drop intake protection system when the inlet is located below a disturbed area and will receive runoff with large amounts of sediment.

29. Storm Drain Inlet Protection
Construction Site Storm Water Control

a. Description
Storm drain inlet protection measures are controls that help prevent soil and debris due to site erosion from entering storm drain inlets. Typically, these measures are temporary controls that are implemented prior to large-scale disturbance of the surrounding site. These controls are advantageous because their implementation allows storm drains to be used during even the early stages of construction activities. The early use of storm drains during project development significantly reduces the occurrence of future erosion problems (Smolen et al., 1988).

Three temporary control measures to protect storm drain drop inlets are:

- Excavation around the perimeter of the drop inlet
- Fabric barriers around inlet entrances
- Block and gravel protection

Excavation around a storm drain inlet creates a settling pool to remove sediments. Weep holes protected by gravel are used to drain the shallow pool of water that accumulates around the inlet. A fabric barrier made of porous material erected around an inlet can create an effective shield to erosion sediment while allowing water flow into the storm drain. This type of barrier can slow runoff velocity while catching soil and other debris at the drain inlet. Block and gravel inlet protection uses standard concrete blocks and gravel to form a barrier to sediments while permitting water runoff through select blocks laid sideways. In addition to the materials listed above, limited temporary storm water drop inlet protection can also be achieved with the use of straw bales or sandbags to create barriers to sediment. For permanent storm drain drop inlet protection after the surrounding area has been stabilized, sod can be installed as a barrier to slow storm water entry to storm drain inlets and capture erosion sediments. This final inlet protection measure can be used as an aesthetically pleasing way to slow storm water velocity near drop inlet entrances and remove sediments and other pollutants from runoff.

b. Applicability
All temporary controls should have a drainage area no greater than 1 acre per inlet. It is also important for temporary controls to be constructed prior to disturbance of the surrounding landscape. Excavated drop inlet protection and block and gravel inlet protection are applicable to areas of high flow where overflow is anticipated into the storm drain. Fabric barriers are recommended for smaller, relatively flat drainage areas (slopes 5 percent leading to the storm drain). Temporary drop inlet control measures are often used in combination with each other and other storm water control techniques.

30. General Construction Site Waste Management
Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control

a. Description
Building materials and other construction site wastes must be properly managed and disposed of to reduce the risk of pollution from materials such as surplus or refuse building materials or hazardous wastes. Practices such as trash disposal, recycling, proper material handling,
and spill prevention and cleanup measures can reduce the potential for storm water runoff to mobilize construction site wastes and contaminate surface or ground water.

b. Applicability
The proper management and disposal of wastes should be practiced at any construction site to reduce storm water runoff. Waste management practices can be used to properly locate refuse piles, to cover materials that may be displaced by rainfall or storm water runoff, and to prevent spills and leaks from hazardous materials that were improperly stored.

31. Spill Prevention and Control Plan
Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control

a. Description
Spill prevention and control plans should clearly state measures to stop the source of a spill, contain the spill, clean up the spill, dispose of contaminated materials, and train personnel to prevent and control future spills.

b. Applicability
Spill prevention and control plans are applicable to construction sites where hazardous wastes are stored or used. Hazardous wastes include pesticides, paints, cleaners, petroleum products, fertilizers, and solvents.

32. Vehicle Maintenance and Washing Areas
Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control

a. Description
Maintenance and washing of vehicles should be conducted using environmentally responsible practices to prevent direct, untreated discharges of nutrient-enriched wastewater or hazardous wastes to surface or ground waters. This involves designating covered, paved areas for maintenance and washing, eliminating improper connections from these areas to the storm drain system, developing a spill prevention and cleanup plan for shop areas, maintaining vehicles and other equipment that may leak hazardous chemicals, covering fuel drums and other materials that are stored outdoors, and properly handling and disposing of automotive wastes and wash water.

b. Applicability
Environmentally friendly vehicle maintenance and washing practices are applicable for every construction site to prevent contamination of surface and ground water from wash water, fuel, coolant, or antifreeze spills or leaks.

33. Contractor Certification and Inspector Training
Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control

a. Description
In many municipalities, erosion and sediment control plans are required under ordinances enacted to protect water resources. These plans describe how a contractor or developer will reduce soil erosion and contain and treat runoff that is carrying eroded sediments. Plans typically include descriptions and locations of soil stabilization practices, perimeter controls, and runoff treatment facilities that will be installed and maintained before and during construction activities. In addition to special area considerations, the full ESC plan review inventory should include (Smolen et al., 1988):

- Topographic and vicinity maps
• Site development plan
• Construction schedule
• Erosion and sedimentation control plan drawings
• Detailed drawings and specifications for practices
• Design calculations
• Vegetation plan

One of the most important factors determining whether or not erosion and sediment controls will be properly installed and maintained on a construction site is the knowledge and experience of the contractor. Many communities require certification for key on-site employees who are responsible for implementing the ESC plan.

Several states have contractor certification programs. The State of Delaware requires that at least one person on any construction project be formally certified. The Delaware program requires certification for any foreman or superintendent who is in charge of onsite clearing and land disturbing activities for sediment and runoff control associated with a construction project. Responsible personnel are required to obtain certification by completing a Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control-sponsored or approved training program. All applicants seeking approval of a sediment and runoff plan must certify that all personnel involved in the construction project will have a certificate of attendance at a Department-sponsored or approved training course before initiation of any land-disturbing activity (DNREC, no date). A description of this certification requirement can be found at the DNREC web site at www.dnrec.state.de.us/newpages/ssregs14.htm.

The Maine Department of Environmental Protection offers a Voluntary Contractor Certification Program (VCCP) that is a non-regulatory, incentive-driven program to broaden the use of effective erosion control techniques. The VCCP is open to any contractor who is involved with soil disturbance activities including filling, excavating, landscaping, and other types of earthworks. For initial certification, the program requires attendance at two 6-hour training courses and the successful completion of a construction site evaluation. To maintain certification, a minimum of one 4-hour continuing education course within every two-year period thereafter is required. Local soil and water conservation district personnel will complete construction site evaluations during the construction season. Certifications are valid until December 31st of the second year after issuance. Certification will entitle the holder to advertise services as a "DEP Certified Contractor" (MDEP, 1999). More information about this program can be found on the MDEP web site at janus.state.me.us/dep/blwq/training/isvccp.htm.

Oftentimes, municipalities do not have the funding and staffing resources to support a construction site inspection program. Municipalities can implement a private inspector program in which individuals can receive stormwater management and erosion and sediment control training to become certified inspectors to reduce the burden on the governing agency. These private inspectors can be hired directly by the contractor when the governing agency anticipates that a larger, more complicated site will require substantial agency resources.

Contractor certification programs are supplements to a municipal inspection and enforcement program. Such programs will not work if the contractors and inspectors are not held accountable, even without certification. Because there is a potential for contractors and private inspectors to abuse their certification, states such as Delaware require spot checks by county enforcement agents.
b. **Applicability**
Contractor certification programs are applicable for municipalities that require erosion and sediment control plans for construction sites. Training and certification will help to ensure that the plans are properly implemented and best management practices properly installed and maintained. Inspector training programs are appropriate for municipalities with limited funding and resources for erosion and sediment control program implementation. The inspectors will lighten the financial and staffing burden of governing agencies to ensure compliance on construction sites.

### 34. **Construction Reviewer**
#### Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control

**a. Description**
According to some state's regulations, the construction reviewer should be able to perform routine inspections of construction sites. According to the state of Delaware, the following guidelines should be followed by the construction reviewer:

- Perform a construction review of active construction sites on at least a weekly basis.
- Within five calendar days, inform the person engaged in the land disturbing activity, and the contractor, by a written construction review report of any violations of the approved plan or inadequacies of the plan. The plan approval agency shall be informed, if the approved plan is inadequate, within five working days. In addition, the appropriate construction review agency shall receive copies of all construction review reports.
- Refer the project through the delegated inspection agency to the proper department for appropriate enforcement action if the person engaged in the land disturbing activity fails to address the items contained in the written construction review report. Verbal notice shall be made to the proper department.

**b. Applicability**
Construction reviewer training is considered an extremely important aspect of erosion and sediment control and stormwater enforcement. Construction reviewer training allows for third party inspections of construction permits and BMP implementation. Third party inspections free up state personnel to from the time-consuming efforts to inspect each construction site. However, construction site reviewer training is still in its infant stages and is not yet a nationwide program.

### 35. **BMP Inspection and Maintenance**
#### Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control

**a. Description**
To maintain the effectiveness of construction site storm water control best management practices (BMPs), regular inspection of control measures is essential. Generally, inspection and maintenance of BMPs can be categorized into two groups--expected routine maintenance and nonroutine (repair) maintenance. Routine maintenance refers to checks performed on a regular basis to keep the BMP in good working order and aesthetically pleasing. In addition, routine inspection and maintenance is an efficient way to prevent potential nuisance situations (odors, mosquitoes, weeds, etc.), reduce the need for repair maintenance, and reduce the chance of polluting storm water runoff by finding and correcting problems before the next rain.
Routine inspection should occur for all storm water and erosion and sediment control measures implemented at a site. These measures may include, but are not limited to, grass-covered areas, seeded areas, mulched areas, areas stabilized with geotextiles or sod, silt fences, earth dikes, brush barriers, vegetated swales, sediment traps, sediment basins, subsurface drains, pipe slope drains, level spreaders, storm drain drop inlet protection measures, gabions, rain barrels, and road and site entrance stabilization measures. Nonroutine maintenance refers to any activity that is not performed on a regular basis. This type of maintenance could include major repairs after a violent storm or extended rainfall, or replacement and redesign of existing control structures.

In addition to maintaining the effectiveness of storm water BMPs and reducing the incidence of pests, proper inspection and maintenance is essential to avoid the health and safety threats inherent in BMP neglect (Skupien, 1995). The failure of structural storm water BMPs can lead to downstream flooding, causing property damage, injury, and even death.

b. Applicability
All storm water BMPs should be inspected for continued effectiveness and structural integrity on a regular basis for the life of the construction project. Generally, all BMPs should be checked after each storm event in addition to the regularly scheduled inspections. Scheduled inspections vary between BMPs. Structural BMPs like storm drain drop inlet protection might require more frequent inspection than other BMPs to ensure proper operation. Inspection and maintenance of BMPs should continue until all construction activities have ended and all areas of a site have been permanently stabilized. During each inspection, the inspector should document whether the BMP is performing correctly, any damage to the BMP since the last inspection, and what should be done to repair the BMP if damage has occurred.

36. Model Ordinances
Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control

a. Description
Erosion and sedimentation from construction sites can lead to reduced water quality and other environmental degradation. Municipalities can enact erosion and sediment control ordinances for construction sites. These local regulations are intended to safeguard the public, protect property, and prevent damage to the environment.

b. Applicability
Ordinances promote the public welfare by guiding, regulating, and controlling the design, construction, use, and maintenance of any development or other activity that disturbs or breaks the topsoil or results in the movement of earth on land. Erosion and sediment control ordinances consist of permit application and review, and they can require an erosion and sediment control plan. A number of communities have dealt with construction sites by using an ordinance requiring permits, review and approval, erosion and sediment control plans, design requirements, inspections, and enforcement. A model ordinance is available on EPA's web site at www.epa.gov/nps/ordinance/mol2.htm.
C. Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) Storm Water Management Program
(For more information see MDOT’s web site at http://www.mdot.state.mi.us)

1. Update MDOT’s construction manual, design manual, maintenance operation guidelines, and permits construction manual to include relevant storm water-related information. MDOT contractors also have access to the manuals.

2. During Pre-construction Meetings for MDOT’s construction projects in Sterling Heights, the contractor and MDOT staff will discuss the erosion control measures to be used on the project as well as MDOT’s NPDES permit responsibilities in Sterling Heights. MDOT has numerous staff who have completed DEQ’s storm water operator certification program. These certified storm water operators can be available for the Partnering Sessions to describe the department’s soil erosion and sedimentation control plan and provide lessons learned from previous construction projects.

D. Washington State Department of Transportation Stormwater Management Plan
(For more information on referenced Tables and Appendices, see this document on Washington DOT’s web site @ http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/eesc/environmental/programs/hazwqec/docs/SWMP1.pdf)

1. Construction Site Erosion and Sediment Controls
   a. Temporary Erosion and Sediment Control Plans
      Using the WSDOT Highway Runoff Manual (HRM) as the guidance document, a Temporary Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (TESC) is prepared for all WSDOT construction projects that involve any amount of earthwork. Earthwork includes excavation, clearing, grubbing, trenching, or any activity that exposes bare soil to precipitation and/or wind. When there is to be less than 5,000 square feet of additional impervious surface added, the TESC is prepared as a stand alone document by regional design, environmental, or, hydraulics staff. When 5,000 square feet or more of impervious surface are added, the TESC is incorporated into the Stormwater Site Plan (SSP).

   b. NPDES Baseline General Permits for Construction Activities
      The TESC plans are prepared in accordance with the Minimum Requirements of the Stormwater Management Manual for the Puget Sound Basin and the requirements of the NPDES Baseline General Permit which are written into the HRM. Construction projects that disturb five or more acres of land require the filing of the NPDES Notice of Intent for coverage under the NPDES Baseline General Permit for Construction Activities. Whenever a TESC plan is prepared, the Contractor is required to develop a TESC plan addendum as discussed below. The WSDOT-prepared SSP/TESC plans fulfill all requirements of the NPDES permit for construction site erosion and sediment control.

      For the control of pollutants other than sediment, the contractor is required to develop the "Contractor’s Addendum" to the TESC Plan. A General Special Provision (GSP) will be included in the contract to require the development of the addendum. Control of pollutants
other than sediment includes, but is not limited to: management of oil, gasoline, and solvents used in the operation and maintenance of vehicles and machinery; spill control and containment measures; identification of proper wood waste fill and stockpile locations; and waste disposal methods and locations.

Since the nature of the contractor’s operations will not be known before the contract is awarded, it is the intent of this procedure to require the contractor to develop and implement an addendum to reflect his or her operations and supplement the TESC Plan, in order to provide comprehensive pollution prevention, containment, and control at the construction site.

c. Other Erosion Control Permits

In addition to the NPDES permit requirements, WSDOT construction projects come under the jurisdiction of numerous state and local government regulations. Some of these are:

- Local grading permits
- Right-of-Way Management Sensitive Area Ordinance
- Shoreline Management Substantial Development Permit
- Ecology Water Quality Certification
- Ecology Temporary Modification of Water Quality Standards
- Dept. of Fish and Wildlife Hydraulic Project Approval Permit

d. Implementation of the TESC Program Through Contractual Agreements

After the plans have been prepared, reviewed, and approved, the Project Engineer and Construction Inspectors make sure that the Temporary Erosion and Sediment Control plan is implemented and that BMPs are installed, maintained, and operating effectively. They do this by administering the contract provisions, monitoring contractor performance, maintaining records of performance, and generally ensuring that the contractor is held responsible. Any deficiencies in BMP installation or maintenance are recorded by the inspector and notice given to the contractor. If corrections are not completed in a reasonable time period, the inspector can order construction stopped until the deficiencies are corrected. In addition to WSDOT inspectors, field inspectors from local jurisdictions and resource agencies who have environmental enforcement authority can arrange tours of a construction site to determine compliance with local, state, and federal laws. This process is described in detail in the WSDOT Instructional Letter - Temporary Erosion and Sediment Control Plans and NPDES compliance dated 18 October 1993.

The instructional letter was created to outline new permit requirements, contents of the TESC plans, and the processes for development and implementation of the plans and permit compliance. The new requirements supersede information contained in the 1991 Standard Specifications for Road, Bridge, and Municipal Construction; the WSDOT Design Manual; and the WSDOT Construction Manual. These three manuals serve to communicate standard procedures for a WSDOT construction project and provide detailed guidance on preparing plans, construction management, materials specification, and contract compliance.

Even under the best conditions changes to the TESC will be necessary to reflect site conditions. Typically, changes to the plan are made by the contractor through the “Change Order” process, under the direction of the Project Engineer. If a major field-change is required because a particular BMP is neither “physically or economically” practical, the local permitting agency (if a grading permit was issued) may need to review and approve plans.
before work can begin and the Project Engineer may need to write an Explanation of Non-practicability. If no grading permit was issued, a Record of Decision with justification is needed.

The contractor is responsible for the installation and maintenance of temporary erosion and sediment control BMPs for as long as they are needed, as directed by the Project Engineer. The contractor is also responsible for the removal of BMPs after they are no longer needed. Refer to the 1994 Standard Specifications for Road, Bridge, and Municipal Construction, d1-07.15, pp. 1-60.

e. **Construction Site Erosion and Sediment Control Training**
   Education will be the foundation of the WSDOT temporary erosion and sediment control program. Training programs have been developed for WSDOT Design, Construction, and Engineering staff as well as for the contracting community. These programs will be specific to highway construction under all state-wide conditions and will give attendees some of the tools necessary to implement an effective program. The goal is to prevent discharge of contaminated stormwater from construction sites. Feedback received from participants in the previous training workshops will be evaluated and used to guide development of future training efforts. The Olympic Service Center (OSC) Hydraulic and Water Quality Unit will jointly coordinate the program.

f. **Past Training**
   During fiscal year 1994, WSDOT contracted with the University of Washington to present the training course, “Construction Site Erosion and Sediment Control” to WSDOT’s design and construction staff. Workshops were held and attended by approximately 150 WSDOT staff. Participants received a copy of the Construction...g. **Site Erosion and Sediment Control Inspector Training Manual.**
   In preparation for the Contractor Certification Program, training in Construction Site Erosion and Sediment Control began in the Fall of 1995 and ran through Spring 1996. The International Erosion Control Association was contracted to teach this initial round of courses. The courses were taught as two one day classes, one for designers titled Plan Design and the other for contractors titled Plan Implementation. The Design course was primarily for WSDOT personnel while the other course was designed for contractors. Over 150 WSDOT personnel attended these courses and over 160 contractors, regulators and erosion control contractors attended the implementation course. Participants of the first round of training will receive a certification card enabling them to be designated as Erosion Control Officers by the prime contractor.

h. **Future Temporary Erosion and Sediment Control Training**
   Courses continue to be offered every year during the construction off-season, which runs from approximately October through March. Subsequent training will be taught by WSDOT personnel and will focus on highway-related construction. The courses will held over a two day period and will cover the difference between erosion control and sediment control, erosion prevention, proper BMP installation, maintenance, and inspection. A half day of field work with hands-on training in BMP installation will provide the opportunity to become familiar with different erosion and sediment control techniques. Also, information concerning the latest erosion and sediment control technology will be shared. It is proposed that a written test must be passed to attain certification, but this is still under review. Certification will last three years or until revisions are made to the Highway Runoff Manual.
CONSTRUCTION

Draft

i. Evaluation of TESC Program and Personnel
WSDOT will determine the total number of personnel involved in implementing the Erosion Control Program and assess the level of training they had previously received. It will be determined if the training program is meeting the identified needs by comparing participant lists with the needs list. Furthermore, course evaluation forms will be reviewed to determine if teaching styles and course materials were suitable for the participants.

j. TESC Monitoring, Research and New Product Evaluation
WSDOT will continue to participate in research efforts to identify cost effective temporary erosion control methods and to evaluate new erosion prevention and sediment control products. Some examples include:

- Current research is underway through the Hydraulics office at OSC to determine optimum filter fence lengths and configurations using slope and soil characteristics as independent variables.
- An internal Materials Review Committee, in cooperation with WSDOT’s Materials Lab and the Hydraulics office, will evaluate new commercial erosion control products submitted by contractors. Priority items to be reviewed include filter fence designs and erosion control matting.
- A site on the world wide web will be developed that is intended to distribute new TESC information in a timely manner. Given the remote office locations for WSDOT personnel through its 7400 miles highway system, improved communication can be facilitated through the internet or WSDOT’s internal equivalent, the “intranet”. WSDOT will document case histories of TESC product effectiveness in actual field construction situations. New HRM recommendations and design specifications will be developed based on this experience.
- Construction site erosion and sediment control training facilities will be developed in eastern and western Washington to provide the opportunity for hands-on experience installing various BMPs. Since these facilities will be dedicated to erosion and sediment control training, BMPs installed by course attendees will be evaluated under field conditions for effectiveness in preventing erosion and sedimentation.

k. On Site Technical Assistance and Project Monitoring
WSDOT hired a temporary full-time Erosion Control Specialist in December 1995. This position was upgraded to permanent full-time employee (FTE) status in July 1996. The Erosion Control Specialist will provide on-site technical assistance to Project Inspectors and make field visits to highway construction sites to evaluate planning and implementation of TESC plans. This will provide WSDOT with opportunity to share successful experiences and develop consistency in program implementation. A log book which documents field observations, experiences and questions will be maintained by the Water Quality Unit. Trends, successes, and failures will become evident and will help in determining deficiencies in WSDOT’s Erosion Control Program. In addition, statistics on enforcement actions taken against WSDOT will be maintained and used to eliminate future problems.

l. Contractor Certification for Erosion Control
After January 1997, a program will require all prime Contractors to provide proof of attendance at a WSDOT-approved course in Construction Site Erosion and Sediment Control Certification before any earthwork can begin on projects that require the following permits: NPDES Construction Permit; HPA with 0.5 or more acres of earthwork; Section 404 permit
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with 0.5 or more acres of earthwork; or a Short Term Water Quality Modification with 0.5 or more acres of earthwork.

This WSDOT-approved course prepares attendees to be the Erosion and Spill Control Lead person (ESC Lead) which the prime Contractor will be required to designate and make known to the Project Engineer during the pre-construction meeting. This person will have the training and authority to administer an active and effective construction site erosion and sediment control program. Contract specifications will direct the Project Engineer as to when the ESC Lead is to be available during construction operations that could have a direct impact on water quality and during installation, maintenance, inspection, and removal of BMPs. The WSDOT Project Engineer and Chief Project Inspector will coordinate with the firm’s ESC Lead to ensure that appropriate site personnel receive adequate training in BMP installation and maintenance.

m. Regional Erosion Control Liaisons
The Statewide Erosion Control Coordinator communicates with each WSDOT regional office through their Regional Erosion Control Liaison. The responsibility of each Liaison is to make sure that their Design, Construction, Hydraulics, Landscape, and Environmental office staff receive information concerning construction site erosion and sediment control technology and policy. In turn, the Liaisons receive information from their regional staff concerning effectiveness of BMPs and implementation or maintenance concerns, forwarding it to the Statewide Erosion Control Coordinator so that necessary changes in the program or policy can be made.
E. California Department of Transportation Statewide Storm Water Management Plan

For more information on referenced Tables and Appendices see this document on Caltrans’ web site @ http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/stormwater/special/index.htm

1. Headquarters Construction Program
The role of the Construction Program includes:

- **Coordination:** In conjunction with the Water Quality Program, the Construction Program provides general guidance to Construction Divisions in the Districts on implementation of construction BMPs and the review of Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plans (SWPPPs) and Water Pollution Control Programs (WPCPs).

- **Program Evaluation:** The Construction Program assesses the District’s implementation of storm water BMPs for managing the storm water discharges associated with Caltrans construction projects.

- **Reporting:** The Construction Program assists the Water Quality Program in the preparation of the Annual Report to the SWRCB, as it relates to Construction activities.

The Construction Program Manager is responsible for statewide implementation policies and procedures and the personnel and equipment of the Construction Program. This includes ensuring compliance with all elements of the Statewide SWMP required to be implemented by the Construction Program.

2. Headquarters Maintenance Program
The role of the Maintenance Program includes:

- **Coordination:** In coordination with the Water Quality Program, the Maintenance Program provides general guidance to the Maintenance Divisions in the Districts on the implementation of maintenance BMPs.

- **Program Evaluation:** The Maintenance Program assesses District implementation of BMPs in managing the storm water discharges associated with the maintenance of Caltrans facilities.

- **Reporting:** The Maintenance Program assists the Water Quality Program in the preparation of the Annual Report to the SWRCB, as it relates to Maintenance activities.

3. District Construction Divisions
The following positions within Caltrans are responsible for implementing the Construction Storm Water Management Program within the Districts:

- **Construction Division Chief:** The Construction Division Chiefs are responsible for the implementation of the policies, procedures, personnel and equipment of the District Construction Program within their respective Districts. This includes ensuring compliance with all elements of the Statewide SWMP required to be implemented by the District Construction Division.

- **Construction Storm Water Coordinators:** The Construction Storm Water Coordinator is responsible for conducting inspections to assist the RE in ensuring that storm water controls are implemented on construction sites and to assist the REs in reviewing SWPPPs/WPCPs for adequacy.
• **Resident Engineer (RE):** The RE is the Caltrans representative charged with administering construction contracts and is responsible for ensuring that storm water controls are implemented on construction sites. The RE makes decisions regarding acceptability of material furnished and work performed, and exercises contractual authority to direct the contractor. The RE may impose sanctions if the contractor fails to take appropriate actions specified in the contract to correct deficiencies. See Section 4.2.2 for additional RE responsibilities.

• **Contractor:** The Contractor is responsible for carrying out the contract per the plans and specifications. The contract requires a contractor to develop and implement elements of the construction program subject to the review and approval of the RE. These activities include preparation, amendments and updates of the SWPPP/WPCP (subject to the approval of the RE), implementation of the SWPPP/WPCP, inspection and maintenance of temporary control practices (BMPs), construction of permanent BMPs and completion of the annual certification for projects requiring an SWPPP.

4. **District Maintenance Divisions**
   The following positions within Caltrans are responsible for implementing the Maintenance Storm Water Management Program within the Districts:
   - **Maintenance District Division Chiefs:** The Maintenance District Division Chiefs are responsible for the implementation of the policies, procedures, personnel and equipment of the District Maintenance Storm Water Management Program within their respective Districts. This includes ensuring compliance with all elements of the SWMP required to be implemented by the District Maintenance Divisions.
   - **Maintenance Managers:** The Maintenance Managers direct maintenance activities within regions or programs of a District. Each region is subdivided into Maintenance

5. **Construction Storm Water Management Program**
   The following positions within Caltrans are responsible for implementing the Construction Storm Water Management Program within the Districts:
   - **Construction Division Chief:** The Construction Division Chiefs are responsible for the implementation of the policies, procedures, personnel and equipment of the District construction program within their respective Districts. This includes ensuring compliance with all elements of the Statewide SWMP required to be implemented by the District Construction Division.
   - **Resident Engineer:** The RE is the Caltrans representative charged with administering construction contracts and responsible for ensuring that storm water controls are implemented on construction sites. The RE makes decisions regarding the acceptability of material furnished and work performed and exercises contractual authority to direct the contractor. The RE may impose sanctions if the contractor fails to take appropriate actions specified in the contract to correct deficiencies. The RE reviews and approves the WPCP or SWPPP and indicates to the contractor any required changes. The RE must approve the WPCP or SWPPP prior to the commencement of soil-disturbing activities. Amendments to the WPCP or SWPPP must also be approved by the RE. The RE periodically inspects the construction site for proper installation and maintenance of BMPs and overall implementation of the approved WPCP or SWPPP. The RE also ensures that the contractor is practicing self-monitoring as required in the contract. The RE is responsible for ensuring annual certification of compliance for projects that require an SWPPP is completed. Additional duties of the RE include maintaining SWPPP or WPCP documentation;
inspecting for, reporting, and, under certain circumstances, directing the cleanup and/or removal of illegally dumped material, spills or discharges through illicit connections within the limits of the construction site and forwarding noncompliance reports to the Construction Storm Water Coordinator.

- **Contractor:** The contractor is responsible for carrying out the contract per the plans, specifications and all applicable permits. The contract requires a contractor to develop and implement elements of the construction program subject to the review and approval of the RE. These activities include preparation, amendments and updates of the SWPPP/WPCP (subject to the approval of the RE), implementation of the SWPPP/WPCP, inspection and maintenance of construction site BMPs, construction of permanent BMPs and completion of the annual certification for projects requiring an SWPPP.

6. **Construction Site BMPs**

See Table 4-3 at Caltrans’ web site @ http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/stormwater/special/index.htm for the matrix of the construction site BMPs (Category II) that Caltrans will implement, as appropriate, on construction sites. The temporary control practices are consistent with the BMPs and control practices required under the State of California NPDES General Permit for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Construction Activity, and are intended to achieve compliance with the requirements of the Permit. The selected BMPs are directed at reducing pollutants in storm water discharges and eliminating non-storm water discharges. The selection of BMPs is accomplished through an evaluation process summarized in Section 3.2 and described in detail in Appendix B. Detailed descriptions and guidance regarding implementation of these BMPs are provided in Appendix B and the Guidelines. As described in Section 2.3.9, third parties conducting construction activities are required to implement BMPs comparable to those required of Caltrans.

At least 30 days prior to the start of construction, Caltrans will submit a Notice of Construction to the appropriate RWQCB for all construction projects that require an SWPPP to be prepared for the site. SWPPPs shall be prepared in accordance with the requirements set forth in the State 315 of California NPDES General Permit for Storm Waters Discharges Associated With 316 Construction Activity (General Permit). WPCPs must be prepared for all construction project that do not require the preparation of an SWPPP. The SWPPP or WPCP shall be approved by the RE prior to commencement of soil-disturbing activities.

Caltrans implements storm water pollution management on construction sites year-round. The temporary control practices deployed on construction sites will be regularly inspected in accordance with Section 4.2 of the Guidelines, and improperly installed or damaged practices shall be corrected immediately, or by a later date and time, if requested by the Contractor and approved by the RE in writing, but not later than the onset of subsequent rain events.

For projects that require an SWPPP, Caltrans will submit a Notice of Completion to the appropriate RWQCB when construction is complete and when the construction site is stabilized. In accordance with the General Permit, a site is stabilized when a uniform vegetative cover with 70 percent of the native background vegetative coverage has been established or equivalent stabilization measures have been employed.

The individual BMPs designated by an “X” in Table 4-3 as being applicable to a particular typical construction activity, will not necessarily be appropriate for all projects involving the noted activity. For example, not all projects will have on-site vehicle fueling and maintenance operations; however, those that do will be required to conduct those operations in a manner
consistent with the intent of the BMP description contained in Appendix B and BMP implementation detailed in the Guidelines.

There may be instances where project and site conditions allow implementation of enhanced temporary construction pollution management practices that go beyond those set forth in Table 4-3, described in Appendix B, and detailed in the Guidelines. Caltrans will continue to encourage experimentation and innovation on deploying such measures to minimize pollution. Information will be gathered from the use of innovative measures and analyzed and reported in the Annual Report process. Through feedback stemming from these enhanced efforts, Caltrans expects that the statewide temporary construction management practices identified herein will continue to evolve and improve in their effectiveness in managing pollution.

It is important to note that there will be instances where project and site conditions require deviation from the noted BMPs and the descriptions thereof in Appendix B and the implementation details of the Guidelines. However, the practices shown in Table 4-3, described in Appendix B, and detailed in the Guidelines are typical of those that will be implemented on a project-specific basis.

There is one notable exception to these commitment levels of protection: the proposed implementation of desilting basins. Caltrans engineering staff has developed design criteria for basins that are sized appropriately to better accommodate linear construction projects. The size 351 of the desilting basin is smaller than the detention basin design provided in the General Permit. Based on Caltrans calculations, desilting basins will capture particles 0.02 mm in size and greater and some portion of the particles between 0.01 and 0.02 mm in size. Since this does not meet the General Permit requirement to capture particles 0.01 mm and greater, desilting basins will not be allowed as a “stand-alone” sediment control BMP on any project site. Only detention basins sized in accordance with the General Permit requirements will be allowed as stand-alone sediment control BMPs. The SWRCB and RWQCB staff have agreed to the desilting basin design criteria for use in projects where the General Permit design criteria cannot be accommodated subject to specific siting restrictions identified in the Guidelines. This is a new commitment and has not been incorporated into existing designs. In addition, the nature of linear projects and constrained rights-of-way inherent to Caltrans work may preclude the use of desilting or detention basins of any size at some locations on certain projects and on some projects altogether. Implementation of desilting or detention basins will be considered on a project-specific basis. Caltrans is committed to refining the desilting and detention basin deployment criteria during the term of this Permit while implementing the desilting or detention basins on new projects where practicable.

Clean dirt removed from a construction site will remain the responsibility of Caltrans until it is disposed of or reused in a legal manner.

The SWMP submitted in August 2000 rejects the use of level spreaders and limits the use of stabilized construction entrances/exits. The SWRCB and RWQCBs consider these viable BMPs that could be effectively used at Caltrans construction sites, when appropriate, because these same BMPs are currently used by building contractors at non-Caltrans construction sites in California and throughout the Country. **Within one (1) year** of approval of this SWMP, Caltrans will revise the SWMP and Guidelines, subject to the approval of the Executive Director of the SWRCB, to either:

- Provide adequate justification to reject, limit or omit the BMPs identified above for all construction sites, or
- Amend the list of approved BMPs to include them. Revisions to the SWMP may include appropriate criteria for the selection and implementation of approved BMPs.
Appendix C of the August 2000 SWMP and Section 4 of the Guidelines contain definitions of the rainy season for different areas of the State. These definitions are used in conjunction with Tables 4-3 and 4-4 of the Guidelines to determine the erosion and sediment control BMPs to be implemented at active and non-active disturbed areas of construction sites during the rainy and non-rainy seasons, respectively. The current definitions do not adequately define the rainy season for areas in the Lahontan RWQCB jurisdictional area.

Within 30 days of approval of this SWMP, Caltrans will revise its definition of rainy season for the Lahontan RWQCB (Region 6) to be defined as:

August 1 through October 1 and November 1 through May 1 in areas of the Lahontan Region above 1200 meters

This change will ensure construction site BMPs are implemented during winter rain and snow storms and summer thunder and flash flood storms.

Further, within 30 days of approval of this SWMP, Caltrans will revise Tables 4-2, 4-3 and 4-4 of the Guidelines as follows:

Remove any definition of rainy season for areas of Regions 6 and 7 below 1200 meters, delete these areas from Tables 4-3 and 4-4, and address these areas separately in the Guidelines as follows:

- Caltrans will notify the Regional Board staff of construction projects in these areas at least 30-days prior to the start of construction.
- During the 30-day notification period Regional Board staff may request to review the SWPPP or meet with Caltrans to discuss the project.
- If Board staff does not respond within the 30-day review period then Caltrans can proceed with its construction activities.

The Board may still inspect the site and take enforcement, if necessary, pending inspection findings.

Within 30-days of approval of the SWMP, the notification format will have been developed cooperatively between Caltrans, SWRCB and Regions 6 and 7 staff. The notification may require such items as expected start and stop dates, site location (including USGS coordinates), size of the construction contact name, etc. The SWPPP will not have to be submitted as part of the notification.

F. Mississippi Guidance and Resources
(For more information contact MDEQ at 601/961-5171 General Permits Branch)

1. Development of a Construction Storm Water Management Program
MDEQ will continue to issue permit coverages for construction sites that disturb more than 1 acre. The construction site runoff control minimum measure for the Small MS4 Program proposes to induce more localized site regulation and enforcement efforts, and to enable owners/operators of regulated small MS4s to more effectively control construction site discharges into their MS4.

In developing a construction storm water management program, MDEQ recommends the State’s existing construction storm water permitting program be used as a guide. EPA’s Phase I Storm
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Water Regulations {published on November 16, 1990 - 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14)(xi)} requires construction activity disturbing five or more acres be covered under a Storm Water NPDES Permit. EPA’s Phase II Regulations {published on December 8, 1999} extends the existing Phase I Regulations to apply to construction activity that results in the disturbance of 1 acre or more. Mississippi’s existing NPDES Construction Storm Water General Permit covers the entire state for Phase I construction activities. This permit requires the development of a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). This SWPPP must describe and ensure the implementation of practices, which will reduce pollutants in storm water discharges and assure compliance with the terms and conditions of the permit. In addition MDEQ has developed a SWPPP guidance manual for construction activities that provides assistance in developing a SWPPP. These documents can be obtained by contacting MDEQ’s General Permit Branch at 601/961-5171 or can be found at MDEQ’s web site: www.deq.state.ms.us.

For a more thorough description of erosion and sediment controls, see “Mississippi’s “Planning & Design Manual for the Control of Erosion, Sediment & Stormwater”, MDEQ, MSSWCC, U. S. Dept. of Agriculture NRCS, Jackson, MS, 1994. This manual may be purchased by contacting MDEQ’s Non-Point Source Branch at 601/961-5171 and is also available electronically at http://abe.msstate.edu/csd/p-dm/.

MDEQ will continue to regulate all construction projects through the Storm Water Small Construction General Permit (one acre to less than 5 acres) and the Storm Water Construction General Permit (five acres and greater). Therefore, we recommend you use the state’s existing construction storm water permitting program as a guide. This would make the two construction programs (MDOT and MDEQ) consistent for contractors, etc. This is especially important for large construction projects (five acres and above) because they will be submitting a copy of the CNOI and Storm Water Pollution Plan to copy to MDEQ and one copy to MDOT. It would be a significant burden reduction for the applicants if the requirements were similar. This would also be helpful for MDOT because MDEQ has a lot of available information concerning storm water permitting programs that will satisfy the Construction Site Runoff Minimum Measure Control requirements.

2. Minimum Design Criteria

A standard operating procedure must be developed to guide contractors in the selection and design of appropriate erosion and sediment control BMPs and waste control measures. Therefore, the following is a minimum level of effort expected for this minimum measure component.

MDEQ strongly recommends MDOT review and update it’s “Storm Water Pollution Plan”dated October 1992. At a minimum it must be consistent with the standards set forth in the "Planning and Design Manual for the Control of Erosion, Sediment & Stormwater," MDEQ, MSSWCC, U. S. Dept. of Agriculture SCS, Jackson, MS, 1994 and the construction general permits of DEQ. It is preferable that an independent contractor perform the review and evaluation.

Specifically the updated manual should include at a minimum:

- Inspections of BMPs after a 0.5 inch rain.
- Revegetation/mulching within 7 days of disturbed areas that will remain undisturbed for 30 or more days (does not include roadbeds, lay-down areas, top soil stockpiles).
- Procedures and BMPs for water way crossings.
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- New diagrams and more descriptive language (including the purpose of the practice, condition where the practice applies, and construction specifications) for temporary erosion check dams, haybales barriers, and silt fences.
- Include several more types of common inlet protection filters.
- Information and design specifications for turf reinforcement BMPs

The standard operating procedure should incorporate guidelines for the following areas.

a. Best Management Practices (BMPs)

Guidelines for the appropriate selection and design of construction, structural and non-structural BMPs should be provided. MDEQ has two such manuals and a field inspector’s erosion and sediment control manual. When considering construction structural and non-structural BMPs, it is often more effective to stress performance-based specifications than method-based specifications. In other words, it might be better to require contractors to minimize or eliminate the transportation of sediment off site than to specifically require silt fences or detention ponds.

b. Materials Handling

Materials handling BMPs must be required. At a minimum, such BMPs must include controlling waste, such as discarded building materials, concrete truck washout, chemicals, litter, and sanitary waste at the construction site, that may cause adverse impacts to water quality. Spill prevention and containment practices should be included such as, but not limited to, providing containment for materials, waste, and fuel stored on the construction site.

3. Storm Water Control Site Plans

The construction program must include a mechanism to determine if a construction site is in compliance with the above provisions. MDOT must develop a standard operating procedure for the development of a storm water control site plan based on the Minimum Design Criteria (see Section 1). The regulation also requires that MDOT develop procedures for plan submittal and review. It is the MDEQ’s expectation that storm water control site plans will be submitted to MDOT for all areas of significant development and redevelopment. The following items are suggested for the development of the standard operating procedure:

a. Procedures for reviewing and approving storm water control site plans.

b. System to track the status of the storm water control site plans.

The regulation does not require that all submitted plans be reviewed and/or approved. However, MDOT must provide adequate project oversight to prevent inadequate storm water control site plans from being implemented and resulting in degradation of state waters.

4. Inspections and Enforcement

As construction commences, BMPs should be in place and MDOT’s inspection and enforcement activities should begin. A standard operating procedure for site inspection and enforcement of control measures must be developed. The standard operating procedure for site inspections can incorporate two segments: an inspection program through the reviewing authority to ensure and maintain compliance, and a separate inspection program maintained by the operator of each construction site.
a. MDOT Inspection Program

It is suggested that a monitoring and inspection guide be developed for MDOT’s Inspection Program to ensure that consistent actions are taken. Specific items to include are:

- The following is a minimum level of effort expected for this minimum measure component. MDOT should prepare and implement an employee education program with regular refresher classes on: MDOT’s storm water management program, proper implementation of erosion and sediment controls using MDOT’s “Guidelines for the Control of Erosion and Sediment”, and the responsibilities required by this permit. In addition, MDOT employees involved in erosion and sediment control oversite must attend erosion and sediment control classes for highway construction offered by erosion and sediment control professionals.

- A standard for performing inspections. This could include a single inspection form applicable to construction projects. As part of the development of the standard, an evaluation should be made of the frequency of inspections for high and low priority sites based on proximity to sensitive areas, seasonal climactic changes, or other area-specific concerns. A system that prioritizes sites may benefit both MDOT and environment, by ensuring that resources are targeted at those sites with the highest potential to affect water quality.

- A system for tracking the storm water control site plans. The system should be capable of identifying sites due for inspections and those sites for which there has been an enforcement action, and provide needed information for the Annual Report.

Inspection protocols can be tailored to the specifics of MDOT’s Construction Program plan. Some inspections might be complaint driven, while some inspections may be more inspection-driven and, thus, more extensive. At a minimum, the programs should include:

(1) Compliance Inspections. Compliance inspections are routine inspections conducted to ensure that the BMPs are implemented according to approved plans or as required by the site conditions and are receiving proper maintenance. The inspector not only verifies that the BMPs are functioning according to design and that only allowable discharges are occurring, but also confirms that the required documentation of inspection and BMP modification is occurring. This should include an appropriate level of follow-up when deficiencies are discovered.

Compliance inspections are advisable at the onset of construction to confirm the proper implementation of the storm water control site plan. Additionally, final inspections may be needed to ensure that proper revegetation and stabilization is in place, and that all temporary erosion and sediment controls have been removed, before program coverage terminates.

(2) Complaint Response Inspections. MDOT must have the ability to respond to third party concerns regarding the implementation of a site’s storm water control site plan and BMPs. This could include a point of contact, response protocol (either a telephone call to operator, inspection of site by representative of that reviewing authority, or some other means of follow-up with the construction site), and review of the plan, as appropriate. An appropriate level of follow-up should be included when deficiencies are discovered.
(3) Reconnaissance Inspections. These can be used to facilitate compliance inspections by adding a random, visual check that a construction site is in compliance, or that a construction site has filed the storm water control site plan with MDOT. These inspections can be performed by MDOT staff already in the field, such as right-of-way inspectors, or by staff performing numerous brief erosion and sediment control inspections in one day.

The following can be considered for inclusion when developing a schedule for any of the inspection programs listed above.

- The size of the disturbed area. The larger the area of disturbed land, the more sediment likely to run off the site and the more difficult it is for the contractor to ensure that BMPs are implemented properly. In general, the larger construction sites will require more frequent inspections.

- The length of time that the site will be left disturbed. The longer a site remains unstabilized, the greater the potential for a water quality impact. Therefore, sites that will be under construction for long periods should be inspected more frequently.

- The proximity of the construction site to areas of significant environmental concern. Sites located close to environmentally sensitive areas, such as wetlands or streams, endangered species habitat, on steep slopes or where the slopes are erosive should be inspected more frequently to ensure that the BMPs necessary to protect these areas are implemented and properly maintained. Sites located close to streams should be watched more closely.

- The phase of construction. The impact from a construction site is directly related to the type of activity on site. During initial ground clearing and excavation, the potential for impact is at its greatest. Inspections should be more frequent during this phase of construction than during subsequent phases.

- Past experiences with contractors and/or their representatives. Inspections should be more frequent if a contractor with whom MDOT has experienced past compliance difficulties runs the site.

b. **Contractor Inspections**

Separate procedures should be developed to document the inspection of construction sites by the contractor and by MDOT. This includes a means of tracking and documenting the inspections performed and following up to ensure that corrective actions are taken.

Requirements for inspections by the contractor should include regularly scheduled inspections, as well as post-event (storm event) inspections, to ensure that the BMPs are operating as designed, determine if maintenance is needed, and to locate and clean up any areas where sediment and debris have run off the site.

Results of all regularly scheduled and storm event inspections should be required to be readily accessible to representatives of MDOT.
5. **Training and Education for Construction Site Operators**

An education and training program must be developed for construction contractors. At a minimum, the program must include an informational program for contractors unfamiliar with the MDOT’s regulatory requirements. This would likely be provided with other pre-development documents.

Additional components of this part of the program could include:

a. An informational and training program on BMPs (requiring them to watch a video or hand out a construction guidance manual).

b. A resource list for existing storm water training programs.

c. Notification of upcoming educational opportunities.

d. Encouraging contractor groups or associations to provide such training to their members. This training would benefit their members by providing the information needed to comply with MDOT and State regulations to avoid enforcement actions and penalties.

6. **Enforcement**

An Enforcement Program for construction site erosion, sediment and materials handling controls must be developed. The program should address appropriate responses to common noncompliance issues, such as failure to implement or maintain BMPs. The system should also specify when and how enforcement procedures, such as non-monetary penalties, fines, bonding requirements, will be used, and how these activities would be tracked. There are several options available for formal action. They include:

- Warning letter/inspection report
- Letter of noncompliance
- Permit revocation
- Forfeiting bond money
- Notice of violation with monetary fines

7. **Responses**

It is important that MDOT address the range of problems that can occur at a construction site in a manner that reflects the seriousness of the situation. The following is a sample guide that addresses the more common situations that can occur and those measures that should be taken prior to formal action.

a. **Failure to Obtain a State Permit**

Some contractors may need to be reminded of the need to obtain a State storm water construction permit. If it is determined that a permit is not obtained from the State for a site that requires permit coverage, some suggested actions are:

1. Deny approval for construction until a State permit is obtained.
2. Inform the developer/contractor of the need to obtain a State permit and give them a deadline to apply for a permit from the State, beyond which MDOT will notify the MDEQ.
3. Notify the MDEQ.
b. **Failure to Develop a Storm Water Control Site Plan**

One of the most elementary violations that can occur at the site is the failure to develop the required storm water control site plan. In most cases, it is recommended that MDOT not approve any development plans without the completion and/or approval of the storm water control site plan. This will ensure that construction cannot commence until the plan is completed. A suggested action if a plan is not developed is to withhold approval of the development plan.

c. **Failure to Implement the Storm Water Control Site Plan**

The most significant violation that can occur at the site is the failure to implement the storm water control site plan. This can range from failing to implement all, or a portion of the plan, to failing to properly install the BMPs. It is suggested that MDOT try to determine why the plan is not being implemented as approved before deciding on appropriate follow-up. Specifically, determine if it is the result of ignorance of the requirements, a change in site conditions, or a general disregard for the requirements.

d. **Failure to Maintain BMPs**

It is important to not only implement the plan, but to ensure that the BMPs implemented are maintained. As with failure to implement the plan, it is necessary to determine the cause of the noncompliance. Suggested actions include:

1. Document the need for maintenance on the inspection report. Provide time for the contractor to address the concerns. A follow-up inspection will need to be conducted.
2. If the contractor fails to take the necessary measures, meet with contractor to discuss the necessary measures and time frames for addressing the problems.
3. If actions are not taken in the specified time frame, issue a Letter of Noncompliance that requires the necessary measures to be taken. (Also see options under Enforcement, F. 6. above.)

e. **Failure to Modify the Erosion and Sediment Plan**

Since it is not always possible to anticipate site conditions, it may be necessary for the storm water control site plan to be modified to reflect changing site conditions. It is also important for the construction site operator to have a plan that accurately reflects the site. Compliance with the plan as drafted is required. The construction site operator should note those areas of the plan that prove to be inadequate or unnecessary and take the steps necessary to implement any necessary changes and modify the plan. Enforcement for failing to modify the plan may only need to be considered if the construction site operator fails to make modifications when the plan has proven to be inadequate to protect water quality. MDOT should also consider setting up an approval process for amended plans.

f. **Failure by Contractor to Perform Inspections**

In addition to those violations that directly affect water quality, there are other violations of the plan that are related to the required documentation of the activities. These violations are handled differently than the others. If there is a failure to perform inspections, the following actions can be taken.

1. Document the need to perform inspections in the inspection report.
(2) Advise the contractor of the requirement to perform the inspections, and have them submit inspection reports to MDOT at a set frequency.

(3) Re-inspect the site to determine if inspections have been performed.

(4) If the contractor fails to perform inspections at the required frequency, begin formal enforcement that requires the performance of the inspections and submission of the reports on a predetermined basis.

8. Obtaining Public Input

A program or procedures must be developed for the receipt and consideration of public inquiries, concerns and information submitted regarding construction sites. This provision is intended to further reinforce the public participation program (see Chapter II), and to recognize the crucial role that the public can play in identifying instances of noncompliance.

MDOT is required only to consider the information submitted, and may not need to follow up and respond to every complaint or concern. Although some form of enforcement action or reply is not required, MDOT is required to demonstrate acknowledgment and consideration of the information submitted. A simple tracking process will suffice in which submitted public information, both written and verbal is recorded and then given to the construction site inspector for possible follow-up.

G. EXAMPLES OF PHASE II BMPS AND ASSOCIATED MEASURABLE GOALS

(From EPA, Office of Water and Office of Wastewater Management at http://www.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater/measurablegoals/part3.htm)

**Hypothetical Case Study:** Smalltown, USA, is experiencing significant new development and the City plans to establish a regulatory and enforcement mechanism for both erosion and sediment controls at construction sites.

**Minimum Measure Objective:** Establish a set of minimum erosion and sediment control (ESC) requirements for construction sites that disturb more than 5,000 square feet, including planning, installation, inspection, and maintenance of ESC practices.

**BMP:** Require ESC plans for any land disturbance greater than 5,000 square feet

**Measurable Goal:** A draft ordinance and guidance will be prepared within one year. A final ordinance and ESC guidance will be available within two years.

**Justification:** Small construction sites have been shown to contribute as much sediment as large sites on a per acre basis. Therefore, planning for erosion and sediment control practices and procedures in advance of starting construction is an important step in preventing sediment from entering the MS4.

**BMP:** Require the use of appropriate perimeter controls on construction sites

**Measurable Goal:** ESC requirements will be revised to require all construction sites on slopes in excess of 5 percent and in areas where calculations indicate pooling of water behind the structure to use steel-reinforced silt fencing. Additional requirements include proper installation and maintenance of these and other perimeter controls.

**Justification:** Traditional perimeter controls, such as standard silt fence, have higher failure rates when water pools behind the control. Requiring steel-reinforced silt fence, which is standard silt fence fortified with chain-link fencing and steel stakes, in critical areas will reduce damage to perimeter controls during storm events.
**BMP: Develop a certification program for contractors**

**Measurable Goal:** Achieve 80% compliance with ESC requirements by the end of the first permit term.

**Justification:** Educating contractors about the proper selection, installation, inspection, and maintenance of BMPs will help to ensure compliance with ESC requirements.

### EXAMPLE TABLE:

**BMPs, Associated Measurable Goals Implementation Year**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMP</th>
<th>Measurable Goals</th>
<th>YR 1</th>
<th>YR 2</th>
<th>YR 3</th>
<th>YR 4</th>
<th>YR 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ordinance</td>
<td>Adopt ordinance or other regulatory mechanism</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public input</td>
<td>Procedures for receipt and consideration of information submitted by the public developed and in place</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site inspections</td>
<td>Procedures for site inspection developed</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement &amp; compliance</td>
<td>Enforcement &amp; compliance policy developed</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site inspections</td>
<td>Site inspections conducted</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance</td>
<td>60 percent rate of compliance achieved by construction operators</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance</td>
<td>90 percent rate of compliance achieved by construction operators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER V

POST-CONSTRUCTION STORM WATER MANAGEMENT IN NEW DEVELOPMENT AND REDEVELOPMENT

Post-construction storm water management in areas undergoing new development or redevelopment is necessary because runoff from these areas has been shown to significantly affect receiving water bodies. Many studies indicate that prior planning and design for the minimization of pollutants in post-construction storm water discharges is the most cost-effective approach to storm water quality management. There are generally two forms of substantial impacts from post-construction runoff. The first is caused by an increase in the type and quantity of pollutants in storm water runoff. As runoff flows over areas altered by development, it picks up harmful sediment and chemicals such as oil and grease, pesticides, and heavy metals. These pollutants often become suspended in runoff and are carried to receiving waters, such as lakes, ponds, and streams. Once deposited, these pollutants can enter the food chain through small aquatic life, eventually entering the tissues of fish and humans. The second kind of post-construction runoff impact occurs by increasing the quantity of water delivered to the water body during storms. Increased impervious surfaces interrupt the natural cycle of gradual percolation of water through vegetation and soil. Instead, water is collected from surfaces such as asphalt and concrete and routed to drainage systems where large volumes of runoff quickly flow to the nearest receiving water. The effects of this process include stream bank scouring and downstream flooding, which often lead to a loss of aquatic life and damage to property.

A. Program Requirements

1. To satisfy this minimum control measure, MDOT must:

   a. Develop, implement, and enforce a program to address storm water runoff from new development and redevelopment projects that disturb greater than or equal to one acre, including projects less than one acre that are part of a larger common plan of development or sale, that discharge into the regulated entity’s small MS4. The program must ensure that controls are in place that would prevent or minimize water quality impacts.

   b. Develop and implement strategies, which include a combination of structural and/or non-structural best management practices (BMPs), appropriate for the regulated entity.

   c. Use an ordinance or other regulatory mechanism to address post-construction runoff from new development and redevelopment projects to the extent allowable under State or local law. If this ordinance or regulatory mechanism is already developed, include a copy of the relevant sections with the program.

   d. Ensure adequate long-term operation and maintenance of BMPs.

   e. Define appropriate BMPs for this minimum control measure and measurable goals for each BMP.
Document the decision process for the development of a post-construction storm water management program. The regulated entity’s rationale statement must address the overall post-construction storm water management program, the individual BMPs, measurable goals, and responsible persons for this program. The rational statement must include the following information, at a minimum:

1. The regulated entity’s program to address storm water runoff from new development and redevelopment projects. Include in this description any specific priority areas for this program.

2. How the regulated entity’s program will be specifically tailored for the regulated entity, minimize water quality impacts, and attempt to maintain pre-development runoff conditions.

3. Any non-structural BMPs in the program, including, as appropriate:
   a. Policies and ordinances that provide requirements and standards to direct growth to identified areas, protect sensitive areas such as wetlands and riparian areas, maintain and/or increase open space (including a dedicated funding source for open space acquisition), provide buffers along sensitive water bodies, minimize impervious surfaces, and minimize disturbance of soils and vegetation and encourage infill development in higher density urban areas, and areas with existing storm sewer infrastructure.
   c. Education programs for developers and the public about project designs that minimize water quality impacts.
   d. Measures such as minimization of the percentage of impervious area after development, and minimization directly connected impervious areas.

4. Any structural BMPs in the program, including, as appropriate:
   a. Storage practices such as wet ponds and extended-detention outlet structures.
   b. Filtration practices such as grassed swales, bioretention cells, sand filters and filter strips.
   c. Infiltration practices such as infiltration basins, infiltration trenches and pervious concrete.

5. Ensure the appropriate implementation of the structural BMPs by considering some or all of the following:
   a. Pre-construction review of BMP design
   b. Inspections during construction to verify BMPs are built and properly designed
   c. Post-construction inspection and maintenance of BMPs
   d. Penalty provisions for non-compliance
(6) How the regulated entity will evaluate the success of this minimum measure, including how the regulated entity selected the measurable goals for each of the BMPs.

(7) Responsibility for overall management and implementation of the regulated entity’s post-construction storm water management program and, if different, who is responsible for each of the BMPs identified for this program.

B. From EPA’s BMP Menu

(For more information regarding implementation, effectiveness, benefits, limitations, cost and references, call MDEQ or see EPA’s web site @ http://www.epa.gov/npdes/menuofbmps/menu.htm

1. Wet Pond
Postconstruction Storm Water Management
in New Development and Redevelopment

a. Description
Wet ponds (a.k.a. storm water ponds, retention ponds, wet extended detention ponds) are constructed basins that have a permanent pool of water throughout the year (or at least throughout the wet season). Ponds treat incoming storm water runoff by settling and algal uptake. The primary removal mechanism is settling as storm water runoff resides in this pool, and pollutant uptake, particularly of nutrients, also occurs through biological activity in the pond. Wet ponds are among the most cost-effective and widely used storm water practices. While there are several different versions of the wet pond design, the most common modification is the extended detention wet pond, where storage is provided above the permanent pool in order to detain storm water runoff in order to provide settling.

b. Applicability
Wet ponds are widely applicable storm water management practices. Although they have limited applicability in highly urbanized settings, and in arid climates, they have few other restrictions.

2. Infiltration Basin
Postconstruction Storm Water Management
in New Development and Redevelopment

a. Description
An infiltration basin is a shallow impoundment that is designed to infiltrate storm water into the ground water. This practice is believed to have a high pollutant removal efficiency, and can also help recharge the ground water, thus restoring low flows to stream systems. Infiltration basins can be challenging to apply on many sites, however, because of soils requirements. In addition, some studies have shown relatively high failure rates compared with other management practices.

b. Applicability
Infiltration basins have select applications. Their use is often sharply restricted by concerns over ground water contamination, soils, and clogging at the site.
Draft

3. Infiltration Trench
   Postconstruction Storm Water Management
   in New Development and Redevelopment
   
   a. Description
      An infiltration trench (a.k.a. infiltration galley) is a rock-filled trench with no outlet that receives storm water runoff. Storm water runoff passes through some combination of pretreatment measures, such as a swale and detention basin, and into the trench. There, runoff is stored in the voids of the stones, and infiltrates through the bottom and into the soil matrix. The primary pollutant removal mechanism of this practice is filtering through the soil.

   b. Applicability
      Infiltration trenches have select applications. While they can be applied in most regions of the country, their use is sharply restricted by concerns due to common site factors such as potential ground water contamination, soils, and clogging.

4. Porous Pavement
   Postconstruction Storm Water Management
   in New Development and Redevelopment
   
   a. Description
      Porous pavement is a permeable pavement surface with an underlying stone reservoir to temporarily store surface runoff before it infiltrates into the subsoil. This porous surface replaces traditional pavement, allowing parking lot storm water to infiltrate directly and receive water quality treatment. There are a few porous pavement options, including porous asphalt, pervious concrete, and grass pavers. Porous asphalt and pervious concrete appear to be the same as traditional pavement from the surface, but are manufactured without "fine" materials, and incorporate void spaces to allow infiltration. Grass pavers are concrete interlocking blocks or synthetic fibrous gridded systems with open areas designed to allow grass to grow within the void areas. Other alternative paving surfaces can help reduce the runoff from paved areas but do not incorporate the stone trench for temporary storage below the pavement (see Green Parking fact sheet). While porous pavement has the potential to be a highly effective treatment practice, maintenance has been a concern in past applications of the practice.

   b. Applicability
      The ideal application for porous pavement is to treat low traffic or overflow parking areas. Porous pavement may also have some application on highways, where it is currently used as a surface material to reduce hydroplaning (see Roadway Maintenance).

5. Bioretention
   Postconstruction Storm Water Management
   in New Development and Redevelopment
   
   a. Description
      Bioretention areas are landscaping features adapted to provide on-site treatment of storm water runoff. They are commonly located in parking lot islands or within small pockets of residential land uses. Surface runoff is directed into shallow, landscaped depressions. These depressions are designed to incorporate many of the pollutant removal mechanisms that
operate in forested ecosystems. During storms, runoff ponds above the mulch and soil in the system. Runoff from larger storms is generally diverted past the facility to the storm drain system. The remaining runoff filters through the mulch and prepared soil mix. Typically, the filtered runoff is collected in a perforated underdrain and returned to the storm drain system.

b. Applicability
Bioretention systems are generally applied to small sites, and in a highly urbanized setting. Bioretention can be applied in many climatological and geologic situations, with some minor design modifications.

6. Sand and Organic Filters
Postconstruction Storm Water Management in New Development and Redevelopment

a. Description
Sand filters are usually two-chambered storm water practices; the first is a settling chamber, and the second is a filter bed filled with sand or another filtering media. As storm water flows into the first chamber, large particles settle out, and then finer particles and other pollutants are removed as storm water flows through the filtering medium. There are several modifications of the basic sand filter design, including the surface sand filter, underground sand filter, organic media filter, and Multi-Chamber Treatment Train. All of these filtering practices operate on the same basic principle. Modifications to the traditional surface sand filter were made primarily to fit sand filters into more challenging design sites (e.g., underground and perimeter filters) or to improve pollutant removal (e.g., organic media filter).

b. Applicability
Sand filters can be applied in most regions of the country and on most types of sites. Some restrictions at the site level, however, might restrict the use of sand filters as a storm water management practice (see Siting and Design Considerations).

7. Storm Water Wetland
Postconstruction Storm Water Management in New Development and Redevelopment

a. Description
Storm water wetlands (a.k.a. constructed wetlands) are structural practices similar to wet ponds (see Wet Pond fact sheet) that incorporate wetland plants into the design. As storm water runoff flows through the wetland, pollutant removal is achieved through settling and biological uptake within the practice. Wetlands are among the most effective storm water practices in terms of pollutant removal and they also offer aesthetic value. Although natural wetlands can sometimes be used to treat storm water runoff that has been properly pretreated, storm water wetlands are fundamentally different from natural wetland systems. Storm water wetlands are designed specifically for the purpose of treating storm water runoff, and typically have less biodiversity than natural wetlands both in terms of plant and animal life. Several design variations of the storm water wetland exist, each design differing in the relative amounts of shallow and deep water, and dry storage above the wetland.
A distinction should be made between using a constructed wetland for storm water management and diverting storm water into a natural wetland. The latter practice is not recommended because altering the hydrology of the existing wetland with additional storm water can degrade the resource and result in plant die-off and the destruction of wildlife habitat. In all circumstances natural wetlands should be protected from the adverse effects of development including impacts from increased storm water runoff. This is especially important because natural wetlands provide storm water and flood control benefits on a regional scale.

b. Applicability
Constructed wetlands are widely applicable storm water management practices. While they have limited applicability in highly urbanized settings and in arid climates, wetlands have few other restrictions.

8. Grassed Swale
Postconstruction Storm Water Management in New Development and Redevelopment

a. Description
The term swale (a.k.a. grassed channel, dry swale, wet swale, biofilter) refers to a series of vegetated, open channel management practices designed specifically to treat and attenuate storm water runoff for a specified water quality volume. As storm water runoff flows through these channels, it is treated through filtering by the vegetation in the channel, filtering through a subsoil matrix, and/or infiltration into the underlying soils. Variations of the grassed swale include the grassed channel, dry swale, and wet swale. The specific design features and methods of treatment differ in each of these designs, but all are improvements on the traditional drainage ditch. These designs incorporate modified geometry and other features for use of the swale as a treatment and conveyance practice.

b. Applicability
Grassed swales can be applied in most situations with some restrictions. Swales are very well suited for treating highway or residential road runoff because they are linear practices.

9. Grassed Filter Strip
Postconstruction Storm Water Management in New Development and Redevelopment

a. Description
Grassed filter strips (a.k.a. vegetated filter strips, filter strips, and grassed filters) are vegetated surfaces that are designed to treat sheet flow from adjacent surfaces. Filter strips function by slowing runoff velocities and filtering out sediment and other pollutants, and providing some infiltration into underlying soils. Filter strips were originally used as an agricultural treatment practice, and have more recently evolved into an urban practice. With proper design and maintenance, filter strips can provide relatively high pollutant removal. One challenge associated with filter strips, however, is that it is difficult to maintain sheet flow, so the practice may be "short circuited" by concentrated flows, receiving little or no treatment.
b. Applicability
Filter strips are applicable in most regions, but are restricted in some scenarios because they consume a large amount of space relative to other practices. Filter strips are best suited to treating runoff from roads and highways, roof downspouts, very small parking lots, and pervious surfaces. They are also ideal components of the "outer zone" of a stream buffer (see Buffer Zones fact sheet), or as pretreatment to a structural practice. This recommendation is consistent with recommendations in the agricultural setting that filter strips are most effective when combined with another practice (Magette et al., 1989). In fact, the most recent storm water manual for Maryland does not consider the filter strip as a treatment practice, but does offer storm water volume reductions in exchange for using filter strips to treat some of a site.

10. Catch Basin
Postconstruction Storm Water Management in New Development and Redevelopment

a. Description
A catch basin (a.k.a. storm drain inlet, curb inlet) is an inlet to the storm drain system that typically includes a grate or curb inlet and a sump to capture sediment, debris, and associated pollutants. They are also used in CSO watersheds to capture floatables and settle some solids. Catch basins act as pretreatment for other treatment practices by capturing large sediments. The performance of catch basins at removing sediment and other pollutants depends on the design of the catch basin (e.g., the size of the sump), and maintenance procedures to retain the storage available in the sump to capture sediment.

b. Applicability
Catch basins are used in drainage systems throughout the United States. However, many catch basins are not ideally designed for sediment and pollutant capture. Ideal application of catch basins is as pretreatment to another storm water management practice. Retrofitting existing catch basins may help to improve their performance substantially. A simple retrofit option is to ensure that all catch basins have a hooded outlet to prevent floatable materials, such as trash and debris, from entering the storm drain system.

11. In-Line Storage
Postconstruction Storm Water Management in New Development and Redevelopment

a. Description
In-line storage refers to a number of practices designed to use the storage within the storm drain system to detain flows. While these practices can reduce storm peak flows, they are unable to improve water quality or protect downstream channels. Storage is achieved by placing devices in the storm drain system to restrict the rate of flow. Devices can slow the rate of flow by backing up flow, as in the case of a dam, or weir, or through the use of vortex valves, devices that reduce flow rates by creating a helical flow path in the structure. A description of various flow regulators is included in Urbonas and Stahre (1990).

b. Applicability
In-line storage practices serve the same purpose as traditional detention basins (see Dry Extended Detention Pond). These practices can act as a surrogate for aboveground storage when little space is available for these aboveground storage facilities.
12. Alum Injection
Postconstruction Storm Water Management
in New Development and Redevelopment

a. Description
Alum injection is the addition of alum (an aluminum sulfate salt) solution to storm water, causing fine particles to flocculate (i.e., gather together to form larger particles), and settle out. Other pollutants can be scavenged also. Alum injection can help meet downstream pollutant concentration loads by reducing the concentrations of fine particles and soluble phosphorus. Alum treatment systems generally consist of a flow-weighted dosing system designed to fit inside a storm sewer manhole, remotely located storage tanks to provide the doser with alum, and a downstream pond which allows the alum, pollutants, and sediments to settle out (Kurz, 1998). When alum is injected into storm water it forms harmless precipitates, AlPO4 and Al(OH)3. These precipitates combine with heavy metals and phosphorus causing them to be deposited into the sediments in a stable, inactive state (WEF, 1992). The collected mass of alum precipitates, pollutants, and sediments are commonly referred to as floc.

b. Applicability
The injection of liquid alum into storm sewers has been used to reduce the water quality impacts of storm water runoff to lakes and receiving waterbodies, particularly to reduce high phosphorus levels. Because of high installation and operation costs, alum injection is best applied in situations where a large volume of water is stored in one area, as in the case of CSO storage areas at wastewater treatment plants. Alum treatment can also be implemented as a pretreatment step to further reduce turbidity and TSS (Kurz, 1998).

13. On-Lot Treatment
Postconstruction Storm Water Management
in New Development and Redevelopment

a. Description
The term "on-lot treatment" refers to a series of practices that are designed to treat runoff from individual residential lots. The primary purpose of most on-lot practices is to manage rooftop runoff and, to a lesser extent, driveway and sidewalk runoff. Rooftop runoff, and particularly residential rooftop runoff, generally has low pollutant concentrations compared with other urban sources (Schueler, 1994). The primary advantage of managing runoff from rooftops is to disconnect these impervious surfaces, reducing the effective impervious cover in a watershed. Many of the impacts of urbanization on the habitat and water quality of streams are related to the fundamental change in the hydrologic cycle caused by the increase of impervious cover in the landscape (Schueler, 1994a).

Although there are a wide variety of on-lot treatment options, they can all be classified into one of three categories: 1) practices that infiltrate rooftop runoff; 2) practices that divert runoff or soil moisture to a pervious area; and 3) practices that store runoff for later use. The best option depends on the goals of a community, the feasibility at a specific site, and the preferences of the homeowner.
The practice most often used to infiltrate rooftop runoff is the dry well. In this design, the storm drain is directed to an underground rock-filled trench that is similar in design to an infiltration trench (see Infiltration Trench fact sheet). French drains or Dutch drains can also be used for this purpose. In these designs, the relatively deep dry well is replaced with a long trench with a perforated pipe within the gravel bed to distribute flow throughout the length of the trench.

Runoff can be diverted to a pervious area or to a treatment area using site grading, or channels and berms. Treatment options can include grassed swales, bioretention, or filter strips. The bioretention design can be simplified for an on-lot application by limiting the pretreatment filter and in some cases eliminating the underdrain (see Bioretention fact sheet). Alternatively, rooftop runoff can simply be diverted to pervious lawn areas, as opposed to flowing directly to the street, and thus the storm drain system.

Practices that store rooftop runoff, such as cisterns and rain barrels, are the simplest in design of all of the on-lot treatment systems. Some of these practices are available commercially and can be applied in a wide variety of site conditions. Cisterns and rain barrels are particularly valuable in the arid southwest, where water is at a premium, rainfall is infrequent, and reuse for irrigation can save homeowners money.

b. Application
Some sort of on-lot treatment can be applied to almost all sites, with very few exceptions (e.g., very small lots or lots with no landscaping). Traditionally, on-site treatment of residential storm water runoff has been encouraged, but has not generally been an option to meet storm water requirements. There are currently at least two jurisdictions; however, who offer "credits" in exchange for the application of on-site storm water management practices. In Denver, Colorado, sites designed with methods to reduce "directly connected impervious cover," including disconnection of downspout runoff from the storm system, are permitted to use a lower site impervious area when computing the required storage of storm water facilities (DUDFCD, 1992). Similarly, new regulations for Maryland allow designers to subtract each rooftop that is disconnected from the total site impervious cover when calculating required storage in storm water management practices (CWP, 1998).

14. Buffer Zones
Postconstruction Storm Water Management in New Development and Redevelopment

a. Description
An aquatic buffer is an area along a shoreline, wetland, or stream where development is restricted or prohibited. The primary function of aquatic buffers is to physically protect and separate a stream, lake or wetland from future disturbance or encroachment. If properly designed, a buffer can provide storm water management and act as a right-of-way during floods, sustaining the integrity of stream ecosystems and habitats. Technically, aquatic buffers are one type of conservation area, function as an integral part of the aquatic ecosystem, and can also function as part of an urban forest.

The three types of buffers are water pollution hazard setbacks, vegetated buffers, and engineered buffers. Water pollution hazard setbacks are areas that separate a potential pollution hazard from a waterway. By providing setbacks from these areas in the form of a
buffer, the potential for pollution can be reduced. Vegetated buffers are any number of natural areas that exist to divide land uses or provide landscape relief. Engineered buffers are areas specifically designed to treat storm water before it enters into a stream, lake, or wetland.

b. Applicability
Buffers can be applied to new development by establishing specific preservation areas and sustaining management through easements or community associations. For existing developed areas, an easement may be needed from adjoining landowners. A local ordinance can help set specific criteria for buffers to achieve storm water management goals.

In many regions of the country, the benefits of buffers are amplified if they are managed in a forested condition. In some settings, buffers can remove pollutants traveling in storm water or ground water. Shoreline and stream buffers situated in flat soils have been found to be effective in removing sediment, nutrients, and bacteria from storm water runoff and septic system effluent in a wide variety of rural and agricultural settings along the East Coast and with some limited capability in urban settings. Buffers can also provide wildlife habitat, recreation, and be reestablished in urban areas as part of an urban forest.

15. Urban Forestry
Postconstruction Storm Water Management in New Development and Redevelopment

a. Description
Urban forestry is the study of trees and forests in and around towns and cities. Since trees absorb water, patches of forest and the trees that line streets can help provide some of the storm water management required in an urban setting. Urban forests also help break up a landscape of impervious cover, provide small but essential green spaces, and link walkways and trails.

Successful urban forestry requires a conservation plan for individual trees as well as forest areas larger than 10,000 ft². A local forest or tree ordinance is one technique for achieving conservation, and by including specific measures to protect and manage these areas, urban forests and trees can also help reduce storm water management needs in urban areas.

b. Applicability
From a stream preservation perspective, it is ideal to retain as much contiguous forest as possible. At the same time, this may not be an option in many urban areas. If forested areas are fragmented, it is ideal to retain the closest fragments together.

In rapidly urbanizing areas, where clearing and grading are important, tree preservation areas should be clearly marked. Delineating lines along a critical root zone rather than a straight line is essential to preserving trees and can help reduce homeowner complaints about tree root interference into sewer or septic lines.
16. Eliminating Curb and Gutters
Postconstruction Storm Water Management in New Development and Redevelopment

a. Description
This better site design practice involves promoting the use of grass swales as an alternative to curb and gutters along residential streets. Curb and gutters are designed to quickly convey runoff from the street to the storm drain and, ultimately, the local receiving water. Consequently, curb and gutters provide little or no removal of storm water pollutants. Indeed, curbs often act as a pollutant trap where deposited pollutants are stored until they are washed out in the next storm. Many communities require curb and gutters as a standard element of their road sections, and discourage the use of grass swales. Revisions to current local road and drainage regulations are needed to promote greater use of grass swales along residential streets, in the appropriate setting. The storm water management and pollutant removal benefits of grass swales are documented in detail in the Grassed Swale fact sheet.

b. Applicability
The use of engineered swales in place of curb and gutters should be encouraged in low and medium density residential zones where soils, slope and housing density permit. However, eliminating curb and gutters is generally not feasible for streets with high traffic volume or extensive on-street parking demand (i.e., commercial and industrial roads), nor is it a viable option in arid and semi-arid climates where grass cannot grow without irrigation. Moreover, the use of grass swales may not be permitted by current local or state street and drainage standards.

17. Green Parking
Postconstruction Storm Water Management in New Development and Redevelopment

a. Description
Green parking refers to several techniques applied together to reduce the contribution of parking lots to the total impervious cover in a lot. From a storm water perspective, application of green parking techniques in the right combination can dramatically reduce impervious cover and consequently, the amount of storm water runoff. Green parking lot techniques include setting maximums for the number of parking lots created, minimizing the dimensions of parking lot spaces, utilizing alternative pavers in overflow parking areas, using bioretention areas to treat storm water, encouraging shared parking, and providing economic incentives for structured parking.

b. Applicability
All of the green parking techniques can be applied in new developments and some can be applied in redevelopment projects, depending on the extent and parameters of the project. In urban areas, application of some techniques, like encouraging shared parking and providing economic incentives for structured parking, can be very practical and necessary. Commercial areas can have excessively high parking ratios and application of green parking techniques in various combinations can dramatically reduce the impervious cover of a site.
18. Alternative Pavers
Postconstruction Storm Water Management
in New Development and Redevelopment

a. Description
Alternative pavers are permeable surfaces that can replace asphalt and concrete and can be used for driveways, parking lots, and walkways. From a storm water perspective, this is important because alternative pavers can replace impervious surfaces, creating less storm water runoff. The two broad categories of alternative pavers are paving blocks and other surfaces, including gravel, cobbles, wood, mulch, brick, and natural stone. While porous pavement is an alternative paver, as an engineered storm water management practice it is discussed in detail in the Porous Pavement fact sheet.

Paving Blocks
Paving blocks are concrete or plastic grids with gaps between them. Paving blocks make the surface more rigid and gravel or grass planted inside the holes allows for infiltration. Depending on the use and soil types, a gravel layer can be added underneath to prevent settling and allow further infiltration.

Other Alternative Surfaces
Gravel, cobbles, wood, and mulch also allow varying degrees of infiltration. Brick and natural stone arranged in a loose configuration allow for some infiltration through the gaps. Gravel and cobbles can be used as driveway material, and wood and mulch can be used to provide walking trails.

b. Applicability
Alternative pavers can replace conventional asphalt or concrete in parking lots, driveways, and walkways. At the same time, traffic volume and type can limit application. For this reason, alternative pavers for parking are recommended only for overflow areas. In residential areas, alternative surfaces can be used for driveways and walkways, but are not ideal for areas that require handicap accessibility.

19. BMP Inspection and Maintenance
Postconstruction Storm Water Management
in New Development and Redevelopment

a. Description
To maintain the effectiveness of postconstruction storm water control best management practices (BMPs), regular inspection of control measures is essential. Generally, inspection and maintenance of BMPs can be categorized into two groups--expected routine maintenance and non-routine (repair) maintenance. Routine maintenance refers to checks performed on a regular basis to keep the BMP in good working order and aesthetically pleasing. In addition, routine inspection and maintenance is an efficient way to prevent potential nuisance situations (odors, mosquitoes, weeds, etc.), reduce the need for repair maintenance, and reduce the chance of polluting storm water runoff by finding and correcting problems before the next rain.
In addition to maintaining the effectiveness of storm water BMPs and reducing the incidence of pests, proper inspection and maintenance is essential to avoid the health and safety threats inherent in BMP neglect (Skupien, 1995). The failure of structural storm water BMPs can lead to downstream flooding, causing property damage, injury, and even death.

b. Applicability
Under the proposed Storm Water Phase II rule, owners and operators of small MS4 facilities would be responsible for implementing BMP inspection and maintenance programs and having penalties in place to deter infractions (USEPA, 1999). All storm water BMPs should be inspected for continued effectiveness and structural integrity on a regular basis. Generally, all BMPs should be checked after each storm event in addition to these regularly scheduled inspections. Scheduled inspections will vary among BMPs. Structural BMPs such as storm drain drop inlet protection may require more frequent inspection to ensure proper operation. During each inspection, the inspector should document whether the BMP is performing correctly, any damage to the BMP since the last inspection, and what should be done to repair the BMP if damage has occurred.

C. Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) Storm Water Management Program
(For more information see MDOT’s web site at http://www.mdot.state.mi.us)

1. Areas of New Development and Significant Redevelopment
MDOT’s control of residential, commercial, and industrial areas is limited. In the areas covered by this NPDES permit, very little new development or redevelopment is expected. Nevertheless, MDOT does have a comprehensive storm water master planning process in place that will protect the receiving streams from effects of any significant changes within MDOT’s right-of-way in the watershed.

MDOT’s role in assuring that controls to reduce the discharge of pollutants to its separate storm sewer system is essentially established in its review of driveway construction activities adjacent to state highway rights-of-way, which require the developer to obtain a permit from MDOT for access onto MDOT’s right-of-way. Act 200 of the Public Acts of 1969, as amended by Act 83 of 1978 and Act 177 of 1981, established MDOT’s legal authority to control access and drainage adjacent to MDOT roadway rights-of-way. Public Act 200, as amended, requires all parties who propose driveway construction adjacent to MDOT’s right-of-way to obtain a permit from MDOT. MDOT’s permit process involves a review of the proposed drainage for the site. The permit requires that the runoff from the site not exceed the pre-developed condition. MDOT will continue to enforce the runoff rate restriction and will maintain its efforts to emphasize water quality control from adjacent construction sites through the use of applicable BMPs.

2. Roadways
MDOT utilizes performance guidelines for maintenance activities, including procedures and frequency of actions. Proper use of this system helps MDOT maintain the roadways in good condition, which minimizes the discharge of pollutants into the storm water drainage system. The performance guidelines are documented in MDOT’s maintenance management system manual. MDOT staff, contract agencies, and private contractors perform MDOT’s maintenance activities. MDOT has guidance for correct implementation of maintenance activities, as outlined in the
Public Education Plan, Internal Training. Street sweeping occurs in the urban areas at least annually. In addition, many MDOT construction projects require contractor sweeping on a regularly scheduled basis. In addition to street sweeping, other maintenance activities that MDOT conducts that have a positive effect on preventing storm water pollution include catch basin cleanout, ditch clean out, culvert and underdrain maintenance, litter pick-up, mowing, brush control, and bank stabilization.

MDOT utilizes deicing salts when conditions warrant. MDOT’s goal in implementing its deicing program is to provide adequate winter maintenance service and control salt usage and winter maintenance expenditures. MDOT classifies each roadway by traffic volume and assigns a level of maintenance during winter storm conditions. With these procedures in place, MDOT minimizes the amount of excess salt applied to the roadways that could potentially enter the receiving waters. MDOT recently conducted a study comparing deicing alternatives based on cost and environmental effects. It was concluded that, for the most part, salt is more cost-effective and no worse environmentally than the other alternatives reviewed.

3. Flood Control Projects

There is currently one detention pond in the MDOT drainage system in Sterling Heights. MDOT maintains this pond in good working order, according to procedures outlined in the maintenance management system documentation, to ensure proper operation of the pond to detain and subsequently drain storm water runoff.

4. Pesticides and Fertilizers

Herbicides are applied on MDOT rights-of-ways by certified applicators (either MDOT staff or its contractors) in accordance with state and federal regulations. MDOT has a pesticide and herbicide program that is tailored to each district based on its needs. The objectives of the program are:

a. create and maintain the highway roadside for a safe traveling condition by controlling the vegetation with herbicides and growth regulators;
b. preserve the structures and facilities by eliminating vegetation growth in areas that cause breakup of structures;
c. create an atmosphere of care and pride at recreation facilities by maintaining the turf areas in a weed-free, vigorous growing condition; and
d. protect MDOT’s resources from insects and other harmful pests.

Pesticides have been used in the management of roadside vegetation in Michigan for over 40 years and the programs have proven to be an effective and economical management tool. In Michigan, only a registered or certified Pesticide Applicator Category 6 is eligible to apply either general-use or restricted-use pesticides to the highway right-of-way. Some of the application included in the district pesticide program include:

a. guardrail vegetation control;
b. broadleaf weed control - roadsides, and tourist facility lawns;
c. shoulder spraying;
e. woody plant control;
f. grass growth retardant; and
g. insect & disease control.
The need for herbicides is established annually by each departmental district. However, MDOT’s policy is to use herbicides only on an as needed basis. The type of herbicides used by MDOT generally binds with the soil and thus is not mobilized with storm water runoff and transmitted to receiving streams. The Department of Agriculture is conducting a research study on the effect of pesticides and herbicides application. The Department sampled vegetation and soil alongside a number of MDOT highways in the summer of 1997 and will compare the level of pesticides observed in the soil and vegetation to the amount that had been applied. Vegetation and soil sampling were conducted before herbicide and pesticide application, on the day of application, and at least three times after application at different intervals. This will indicate how much of the applied material is being absorbed and/or degraded. The initial focus of the study was on the levels of pesticides and herbicides and the risk to volunteer clean-up crews in the “Adopt-A-Highway” program. This study will also give MDOT valuable information on the amount of these chemicals that remain in vegetation and soils and are therefore available to be mobilized into storm water. The Department of Agriculture expects to issue a report by the end of 1997 summarizing the study findings and making recommendations to MDOT to continue or revise its pesticide and herbicide application programs, in accordance with the study findings. MDOT will report the progress of this research study in its annual report submissions to DEQ.

5. Spill Prevention and Response
State and federal laws dictate spill notification and response procedures. Parties responding to the spill are required to have appropriate Hazardous Materials Training. Local fire agencies, police and Department of State Police are the first responders in any spill incident. MDOT serves a support function for traffic control and to supply certain maintenance equipment. In addition, MDOT maintains a list of pre-qualified contractors who have permits for cleaning up spills in highway right-of-ways. The owner or operator of the vehicle that had the spill is responsible for cleaning up the spill. In the event the owner or operator does not cleanup the spill, the first responders contact the contractors to clean up the spill. Environmental Emergency Guidelines, the Maintenance Division’s operating instructions for Spillage of Materials on Right-of-way, and a draft memorandum of understanding with the Michigan Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) were submitted with MDOT’s Part 2 application. Spill response procedures are outlined in MDOT’s Construction Permit Manual.

For pesticide application procedures, all certified applicators are trained in spill response procedures. Every pesticide application truck is supplied with one round point shovel, 5 48-inch absorbent socks, and a 5-gallon bucket with lid. Each application truck is also equipped with a procedure sheet with listed activities to perform in the event of a spill.

D. Washington State Department of Transportation Stormwater Management Plan
(For more information on referenced Tables and Appendices see this document on Washington DOT’s web site @ http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/eesc/environmental/programs/hazwqec/docs/SWMP1.pdf)

1. New Development Projects

WSDOT plans to build very few new roads, i.e. new development projects, in Washington over the next 20 years. The majority of the state roads in Washington State were originally constructed in the 1950’s, 60’s and 70’s, during the era when the National Highway System (NHS) was being established. The costs of building new roadways now are extremely expensive, except in the few
situations where an outstanding need is identified. WSDOT’s plans for the next 20 years to rely on expanding and improving existing state highways while promoting alternative modes of transportation and overall efficiency (HOV, van pools, etc.) improvements.

In April 1996 WSDOT published its State Highway System Plan, 1997-2016, which describes all transportation improvement projects, which fit under its fiscally constrained budget structure (reference d3.2.5 of this document). Of the 236 Mobility projects (subprogram I1) listed in the 1997-2016 plan, only 7 statewide (4 in the permit areas) utilize a new roadway footprint and are thereby classified as new development. These new development projects are described in Table 20:

Table 20 - WSDOT New Development Projects Scheduled for the Puget Sound NPDES Permit Areas - 1997-20161

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SR</th>
<th>Begin MP</th>
<th>End MP</th>
<th>Project Description / Location</th>
<th>No. of lanes</th>
<th>Storm water Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.80</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>Monroe By-pass / Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>New 167 corridor I-5 @ Fife to Puyallup w/ HOV lanes and/or commuter rail. / Pierce Co</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>Parallel arterial / City of Redmond / King Co.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>509</td>
<td>19.61</td>
<td>23.13</td>
<td>SR 509 extension w/ HOV to I-5 / Pierce Co</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. **Redevelopment Projects**

The remaining projects that are listed in the 20-year mobility plan are High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lane additions, road widening, truck climbing lanes, and intersection expansions. These improvements are extensions of existing roadway footprints and are thereby classified as redevelopment projects. For these redevelopment projects, all new impervious surface additions in Western Washington will be provided storm water quality treatment by constructing structural BMPs as part of the project. Whenever it is practicable, existing impervious surface will be provided treatment by expanding existing or planned BMP capacity. This is usually dependent on the availability of land, as right-of-way purchases tend to be prohibitively expensive, particularly in the Puget Sound region.

3. **Storm water Controls for New Development/Redevelopment Projects**

WSDOT has, since the institution of the Highway Runoff Manual, been committed to providing both water quantity and water quality treatment for 100% of all new impervious surfaces that are constructed as part of its highway projects. WSDOT will also attempt to incorporate storm water treatment for existing impervious surfaces as is practicable. The amount of pre-existing impervious surface that can be incorporated into storm water BMPs will vary depending on site-specific conditions, including:

- The amount of WSDOT right-of-way available to construct BMPs. Purchasing new right-of-way is always extremely expensive, and in many circumstances impossible because existing land uses, urbanization, shorelines, wetlands/buffers, etc.
- Configuration of the existing hydraulic conduits. In some cases, particularly when the storm water drainage system has been extensively culverted, it may be extremely expensive and/or logistically and physically difficult to excavate and install new drainage system(s) to re-route the storm water to a new or expanded BMP.
- Topography. In many situations the topography and road grade may preclude draining storm water runoff to new BMP, since WSDOT uses gravity as the driving force for all of its drainage systems and BMPs.
- Cost. When the cost of treating existing impervious surfaces significantly raises the project budget, it is very likely that it will be categorized as not practicable.
WSDOT is actively investigating storm water treatment systems that can be operated and maintained in confined or highly urbanized areas. If such systems can be developed, it would allow WSDOT to provide quality treatment to new and existing impervious surfaces without having to purchase additional right-of-way to construct BMPs.

WSDOT has completed investigations of catch basin inserts at 24 locations in the town of Chinook, located in Pacific County along the lower Columbia estuary. WSDOT found that the inserts reached their solids removal capacity very quickly, were maintenance intensive, and mechanically failed on a few occasions. For these reasons WSDOT has decided not to use catch basin inserts to treat permanent drainage systems, although they still may be used for temporary sediment control and spill control / containment at construction sites.

E. California Department of Transportation Statewide Storm Water Management Plan
(For more information on referenced Tables and Appendices see this document on Caltrans’ web site @ http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/stormwater/special/index.htm)

1. Incorporation of Design BMPs into Projects
As discussed in Section 3, during the process of planning and design of all new facilities and reconstruction or expansion of existing facilities, the Project Engineer considers and, as appropriate, incorporates Design Pollution Prevention BMPs. These BMPs are standard technology-based, non-treatment controls selected to reduce pollutant discharges to the MEP requirements. The evaluation and approval of BMPs to be considered on a project-by-project basis statewide was accomplished through the process summarized in Section 3.2 (and defined in 90 detail in Appendix B). Table 4-1 lists the Design Pollution Prevention BMPs that have been selected by Caltrans for project-specific consideration statewide. Detailed descriptions and guidance regarding implementation of these BMPs are provided in Appendix B and the Guidelines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 4-1: DESIGN POLLUTION PREVENTION BMPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(MEP BASED) (CATEGORY IB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consideration of Downstream Effects Related to Potentially Increased Flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation of Existing Vegetation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentrated Flow Conveyance Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditches, Berms, Dikes and Swales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overside Drains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flared Culvert End Sections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Protection/Velocity Dissipation Devices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope/Surface Protection Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetated Surfaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard Surfaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Project-specific BMP consideration is an iterative process that begins with initial project planning and scoping activities. As the project moves into detailed design, Caltrans revisits the BMP consideration process and detailed BMP selection and design commences together with detailed design of the highway and drainage facilities.
During the project development process, expected storm water run-on to the project site will be calculated and provided to the RE prior to construction so that appropriate control measures can be implemented to convey concentrated flows around or through the site in a nonerodible fashion. To determine run-on, the tributary drainage area will be examined and evaluated to determine the quantities and locations where run-on can be expected to enter the project area.

New construction may have an effect on downstream channel stability through changes in the rate and volume of runoff, the sediment load due to changes in the land surface, and other hydraulic changes from stream encroachments, crossings or realignment. The peak flow rate, runoff velocities, and erosive characteristics of the soils in the area will be assessed with regard to downstream watercourses to determine potential impacts.

During the design of both new and reconstructed facilities, Caltrans often incorporates additional surface paving as needed to enhance the operational safety and functionality of the facility. Total paved area is kept to a practical minimum to reduce project costs and driver confusion.

Where an increase in paved surfacing leads to an increase in either total or peak runoff discharges, a thorough evaluation is performed to determine if any adverse effects will result. If increased runoff will result in an increased potential for downstream effects in channels, Caltrans will consider the following:

- Modifications to channel (both natural and man-made) lining materials, including vegetation, geotextile mats, rock and rip-rap;
- Energy dissipation devices at culvert outlets;
- Smoothing the transition between culvert outlets/headwalls/wingwalls and channels to reduce turbulence and scour; and
- Incorporating retention or detention facilities to reduce peak discharges.

Caltrans will implement appropriate measures to ensure that runoff from Caltrans facilities will not significantly increase downstream effects.

In new construction and reconstruction of facilities Caltrans preserves existing vegetation during the construction of the project that is providing erosion and sediment control benefits to the maximum extent feasible. This is described in the Preservation of Existing Vegetation BMP presented in Section 3.3.2 of the Guidelines.

Caltrans also designs vegetative surfaces to address stabilization of completed slope/surface areas to prevent erosion from storm water and non-storm water runoff. In designing vegetative systems for these purposes, Caltrans Design Program staff will conduct appropriate investigations to consider factors to provide a long term sustainable environment for these vegetative systems. These factors may include soil type and condition; site topography; climate and season; types of native and adapted vegetation appropriate and suited to the site; and maintenance. This is described in the Vegetated Surfaces BMP presented in Section 3.3.4 of the Guidelines.

Upon completion of the project, Maintenance will assume responsibility and implement maintenance BMPs. Vegetation maintenance is discussed in Section 2.2.5 of the Guidelines. In some instances, project and site conditions will allow implementation of enhanced permanent pollution prevention management practices that go beyond those described in Appendix B and detailed in the Guidelines. Caltrans will continue to encourage experimentation and innovation on deploying such measures to minimize pollution. Feedback from the implementation of innovative...
measures is gathered for analysis and reporting in the Annual Report process including updating the SWMP and Guidelines as appropriate. Through feedback stemming from these enhanced efforts, Caltrans expects that the statewide permanent pollution prevention management practices identified herein will continue to evolve and improve in their effectiveness in managing the quality of discharges from Caltrans facilities.

2. **Alternative Highway and Storm Drainage Design Standards**
   Current highway and storm drainage design standards hinder or prohibit Caltrans from implementing some BMPs due to safety or access concerns. To address this, Caltrans will conduct a research study (Appendix B.3.3) to investigate alternative highway and storm drainage design standards for new, major reconstruction and retrofit projects. Caltrans will complete its study by January 1, 2003 and will submit a technical report of its findings, subject to the approval of the Executive Director of the SWRCB, in the April 1, 2003 Annual Report. Progress reports on the study will be submitted in each Annual Report until the final report is submitted.

3. **Treatment BMPs (Category III)**
   Where there is, or is proposed to be, a storm drain system discharging directly or indirectly to a surface water, the treatment BMPs listed in Table 4-2 will be considered. Once a BMP is approved for statewide use, it will be considered in all proposed new construction and major reconstruction projects. This applies to both improvement projects and existing discharges.

   **TABLE 4-2: APPROVED TREATMENT BMPs (CATEGORY III)**
   - Biofiltration: Strips/Swales
   - Infiltration Basins
   - Detention Devices
   - Traction Sand Traps
   - Dry Weather Flow Diversion

   Project-specific BMP consideration is an iterative process that begins with initial project planning and scoping activities. As the project moves into detailed design, Caltrans revisits the BMP consideration process and detailed BMP selection and design commences together with detailed design of the highway and drainage facilities. The approved treatment BMPs listed in Table 4-2 are considered to be technically and fiscally feasible. Caltrans experience has found these BMPs to be constructable, maintainable, and effective at removing pollutants to the maximum extent practicable.

F. **Mississippi Guidance and Resources**
   *(For more information contact MDEQ at 601/961-5171 General Permits Branch)*

1. **Regulatory Mechanism**
   The permit requires that MDOT establish an ordinance or other regulatory mechanism requiring the implementation of post-construction runoff controls. MDOT should evaluate the area under consideration and identify management objectives for streams, wetlands, and other receiving waters. Areas where urban development is likely to occur and areas that are sensitive to the effects of urbanization should be identified. Consideration should be given to receiving waters, topography, soil types, groundwater uses and potential impacts, and other relevant factors. This evaluation will help improve water quality by guiding the development of a community away from sensitive areas, and by restricting certain types of growth to areas that can support it without compromising water quality.
POST-CONSTRUCTION

2. Review and Approval Procedures
The regulation requires the post-construction program to include controls to determine if new development and redevelopment designs incorporate adequate structural and/or nonstructural BMPs. MDOT should review their existing “review and approval” procedures to determine if the current program includes elements required in the regulation, or if additional improvements are warranted. If a review and approval procedure does not exist, a program should be developed.

Elements that should be considered for inclusion in the review and approval procedure are described in the following.

- **Develop a standard operating procedure** for the new development or redevelopment plan reviews and approval. The standard operating procedure should identify the department(s) to be included in the process, and should summarize minimum nonstructural and structural BMP requirements as a checklist.

- **Develop a system to track the status of plans.** This system can be combined with existing tracking systems.

3. Design Criteria and Standards
A standard operating procedure should be developed for the selection and design of appropriate non-structural and structural BMPs. The design criteria and standards can be provided by reference to existing criteria manuals such as Mississippi’s “Planning and Design manual for the Control of Erosion, Sediment and Stormwater” (See Appendix H for order form). The standard operating procedure should incorporate guidelines for the following minimum measures.

- **Planning** – Runoff problems can be addressed effectively with sound planning procedures. The planning process should include Master Plans and Comprehensive Plans that should be reflected in zoning ordinances.

- **Non-Structural Practices** – These controls are intended to prevent or control the sources of pollutants. These can include controls on disposal of household waste and toxins, use of pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizer, illicit discharges, good housekeeping, preventative maintenance, and protect sensitive areas such as wetlands and riparian areas, maintain or increase open space, maintain buffers along waterbodies and minimize impervious surfaces.

- **Structural Practices.** – These controls are intended to reduce the amount of pollutants that enter state waters. They include:
  - **Storage Practices** – Storage or detention BMPs control storm water by gathering runoff in wet ponds, dry basins, or multi-chamber catch basins and slowly releasing it to receiving waters or drainage systems. These practices control storm water volume and settle out particulates for pollutant removal.
  - **Infiltration Practices** – Infiltration BMPs are designed to facilitate the percolation of runoff through the soil to groundwater, thereby reducing both storm water quantity and mobilization of pollutants. Examples are infiltration basins/trenches, dry wells, and porous pavement.
  - **Vegetative Practices** – Vegetative BMPs are landscaping features that, with optimal design and good soil conditions, enhance pollutant removal, maintain/improve natural site hydrology, promote healthier habitats, and increase aesthetic appeal. Grassy swales, filter strips, and artificial wetlands are examples.
4. Ensure Adequate Long-Term Operation and Maintenance of BMPs

Under this program, a regulated entity must develop, implement and enforce a program that ensures adequate long-term operation and maintenance of BMPs.

The standard for permit compliance for MS4 permits is that MDOT ensure maintenance and operation of BMPs to the maximum extent practicable (MEP). In determining if an MS4 operator has complied to the MEP, the MDEQ may consider such factors as the adequacy of MDOT’s post-construction program, how MDOT has carried out the post-construction program, and MDOT’s ability to provide appropriate mechanisms to ensure such maintenance and operation.

It is expected that MDOT will put into place procedures, other mechanisms that will require BMPs be appropriately designed and planned, and provide for operation and maintenance by MDOT. Factors such as the extent of the inspection/verification system, and the procedures in place and implemented for instances when BMPs are not operated and/or maintained, can be evaluated by the MDEQ to determine if MDOT’s program meets the MEP standard. Some additional measures that MDOT may consider for inclusion in this management program are:

- Regular inspections of BMPs and a report noting compliance or deficiencies, as discussed below in section 5.
- Establish a maintenance contract with a private subcontractor for maintenance of MDOT-owned BMPs.

5. Monitoring Long-Term Compliance

The permit requires MDOT to ensure adequate long-term operation and maintenance of BMPs. It would be helpful if MDOT developed a database of all new post-construction BMPs in its jurisdiction. In addition to being an important tool for other elements of the Post-Construction minimum measure, such as inspections, the database could be used as a reminder to perform necessary maintenance. This would aid in providing information for the annual report and would allow MDOT to receive “credit” for a TMDL implementation plan (if required).

G. EXAMPLES OF PHASE II BMPS AND ASSOCIATED MEASURABLE GOALS

(From EPA, Office of Water and Office of Wastewater Management at http://www.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater/measurablegoals/part3.htm)

**Hypothetical Case Study:** Smalltown, USA, has substantial existing development and many neighborhoods that are still growing. For existing development, the City plans to use on-lot treatment to handle some storm water by disconnecting impervious surfaces. The City also wants to ensure that existing storm water controls are functioning properly. Growing areas will also be targeted by requiring impervious area disconnection and new storm water controls.

**Minimum Measure Objective:** Reduce the volume and improve the quality of storm water runoff by disconnecting impervious surfaces and installing and maintaining structural storm water controls.

**BMP:** Reduce directly connected impervious surfaces in new developments and redevelopment projects by requiring that grassed swales or filter strips be installed along roadsides in lieu of curbs and gutters.

**Measurable Goal:** Directly connected impervious road surfaces in new developments and redevelopment areas will be reduced by 30 percent (relative to the traditional scenario in which curbs and gutters are used) over the course of the first permit term.

**Justification:** Opportunities abound to provide treatment and infiltration of runoff in the right-of-way adjacent to roads. This practice would provide on-lot treatment of storm water, reduce the total volume...
of storm water being discharged from sites, and increase the time of concentration of the runoff that is generated from road surfaces.

**BMP:** Develop a program for maintenance of structural storm water controls

**Measurable Goals:** In the first year, conduct an inventory of structural runoff controls. In year 2, develop a GIS to integrate the location of these controls with schedules for regular inspection and maintenance. Conduct four inspections of each structural control per year and conduct regular maintenance as prescribed for each type of practice.

**Justification:** There are many structural controls located throughout the municipality that are owned and operated by both public and private entities. Before a comprehensive maintenance plan can be implemented to address all of the practices, a complete list of BMPs and their locations and site conditions needs to be compiled. An inspection and maintenance schedule can be developed to maximize efficiency and minimize labor requirements. The system can be expanded to include other types of MS4 maintenance, including street sweeping, catch basin cleaning, storm drain flushing, etc.

**BMP:** Develop and implement a storm water ordinance and guidance or a design manual that include performance standards designed to control runoff impacts

**Measurable Goal:** By year 3 of the permit term, 95% of all building permits will include descriptions and plans regarding storm water control practices and site designs that comply with the criteria and guidance specified or referenced in the municipal code.

**Justification:** Ordinances are an effective way to establish performance standards for runoff controls. These performance standards might, for example, specify a target for percent removal of annual post-development total suspended solids loadings, require maintenance of annual ground water recharge rates, or limit runoff volumes and rates such that receiving waters are not negatively impacted.

**EXAMPLE TABLE:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMPs, Associated Measurable Goals and Implementation Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMP</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordinance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce impervious surfaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMP implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve water quality</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This measure requires the MDOT to examine and subsequently alter their own actions to help ensure a reduction in the amount and type of pollution that: (1) collects on state and interstate highways, their right-of-ways and thoroughfares (including highways, streets, roads, bridges, maintenance facilities, service areas, and rest areas) and is discharged into local waterways; and (2) that may result from actions such as maintenance, environmentally damaging land development and flood management practices, or poor maintenance of storm sewer systems. While this measure is meant primarily to improve or protect receiving water quality by altering MDOT activities, operations and property management, MDOT can also realize cost savings from such things as spill prevention (thus reducing clean-up costs), inventory control, and reuse/recycling of materials.

A. Program Requirements

1. To satisfy this minimum control measure, MDOT must:
   a. Develop and implement an operation and maintenance program that includes a training component and has the ultimate goal of preventing or reducing pollutant runoff from the regulated entity’s operations.
   b. Using training materials that are available from EPA, the State, or other organizations, the regulated entity’s program must include employee training to prevent and reduce storm water pollution from activities such as park and open space maintenance, fleet and building maintenance, new construction and land disturbances, and storm water system maintenance.
   c. Define appropriate BMPs for this minimum control measure and measurable goals for each BMP.
   d. Document the decision process for the development of a pollution prevention/good housekeeping program for municipal operations. The regulated entity’s rationale statement must address the overall pollution prevention/good housekeeping program, the individual BMPs, measurable goals, and responsible persons for this program. The rationale statement must include the following information, at a minimum:
      (1) The regulated entity’s program must specifically list the municipal operations that are impacted by this operation and maintenance program. The regulated entity must also include a list of industrial facilities that the regulated entity owns or operates which are covered by General Storm Water Permits or have individual NPDES Storm Water Permits. Include the facility’s coverage number and/or permit number.
      (2) Any employee training program the regulated entity will use to prevent and reduce storm water pollution from activities such as park and open space maintenance, fleet and building maintenance, new construction and land disturbances, and storm water system maintenance. Describe any existing, available materials the regulated entity
plans to use. Describe how this training program will be coordinated with the outreach programs developed for the public information minimum measure and the illicit discharge minimum measure.

(3) The regulated entity’s program description must specifically address the following areas:

(a) Maintenance activities, maintenance schedules, and long-term inspection procedures for controls to reduce floatables (including froth, oil and floating solids) and other pollutants to the MS4.

(b) Controls for reducing or eliminating the discharge of pollutants from streets, roads, highways, municipal parking lots, maintenance and storage yards, waste transfer stations fleet or maintenance shops with outdoor storage areas, salt/sand storage locations and waste transfer stations.

(c) Procedures for the proper disposal of waste removed from the MS4 and regulated entity’s operations, including dredge spoil, accumulated sediments, floatables, and other debris.

(d) Procedures to ensure that new flood management projects are assessed for impacts on water quality and existing projects are assessed for incorporation of additional water quality protection devices or practices.

(4) How the regulated entity will evaluate the success of this minimum measure, including how the regulated entity selected the measurable goals for each of the BMPs.

(5) Responsibility for overall management and implementation of the pollution prevention/good housekeeping program and, if different, who is responsible for each of the BMPs identified for this program.

B. From EPA’s BMP Menu

(For more information regarding implementation, effectiveness, benefits, limitations, cost and references call MDEQ or see EPA’s web site @ http://www.epa.gov/npdes/menuofbmeps/menu.htm)

1. Automobile Maintenance

Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations

a. Description

This pollution prevention measure involves creating a program of targeted outreach and training for businesses and municipal fleets (public works, school buses, fire, police, and parks) involved in automobile maintenance about practices that control pollutants and reduce storm water impacts. Automotive maintenance facilities are considered to be storm water "hot spots" where significant loads of hydrocarbons, trace metals, and other pollutants can be produced that can affect the quality of storm water runoff. Some of the waste types generated at automobile maintenance facilities and at homes of residents performing their own car maintenance include the following:

- Solvents (paints and paint thinners)
- Antifreeze
• Brake fluid and brake lining
• Batteries
• Motor oils
• Fuels (gasoline, diesel, kerosene)
• Lubricating grease

Estimates show that each year over 180 million gallons of used oil is disposed of improperly (Alameda CCWP, 1992) and that a single quart of motor oil can pollute 250,000 gallons of drinking water (DNREC, 1994). For this reason, automotive maintenance facilities' discharges to storm and sanitary sewer systems are highly regulated. Fluid spills and improper disposal of materials result in pollutants, heavy metals, and toxic materials entering ground and surface water supplies, creating public health and environmental risks. Alteration of practices involving the cleanup and storage of automotive fluids and cleaning of vehicle parts can help reduce the influence of automotive maintenance practices on storm water runoff and local water supplies.

b. Applicability
The automotive repair industry is the leader in number of generators and amount of total waste produced for small quantity generators of hazardous waste in the United States (USEPA, 1985). Common activities at maintenance shops that generate this waste include the cleaning of parts, changing of vehicle fluids, and replacement and repair of equipment. These activities are also performed by residents at home in their driveway in the course of normal vehicle care. Since the use of automobiles is not limited by geographic or climatic conditions, maintenance facilities are present nationwide and the concerns involving waste created during vehicle repair are similar across the country. In ultra-urban areas, the impacts of automotive maintenance practices are more pronounced due to the greater concentrations of vehicles and higher levels of impervious surface.

2. Vehicle Washing
Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations

a. Description
This management measure involves educating the general public, businesses, as well as municipal fleets (public works, school buses, fire, police, and parks) on the water quality impacts of the outdoor washing of automobiles and how to avoid allowing polluted runoff to enter the storm drain system. Outdoor car washing has the potential to result in a high loads of nutrients, metals, and hydrocarbons during dry weather conditions in many watersheds, as the detergent-rich water used to wash the grime off our cars flows down the street and into the storm drain. Commercial car wash facilities often recycle their water or are required to treat their wash water discharge prior to release to the sanitary sewer system, so most storm water impacts from car washing are from residents, businesses, and charity car wash fundraisers that discharge polluted wash water to the storm drain system. According to the surveys, about 55 to 70 percent of households wash their own cars, with the remainder going to a commercial car wash. 60 percent of residents could be classified as "chronic car-washers" that wash their cars at least once a month (Smith, 1996 and Hardwick, 1997). Between 70 and 90 percent of residents reported that their car wash water drained directly to the street, and presumably, to the nearest stream. It has been estimated that 25 percent of the population of the United States may be classified as chronic car washers, which translates into about 27 million potential residential car wash polluters (Center for Watershed Protection, 1999).
b. Applicability
Car washing is a common routine for residents and a popular way for organizations such as scout troops, schools, and sports teams to raise funds. This activity is not limited by geographic region, but its impact on water quality is greatest in more urbanized areas with higher concentrations of automobiles. Currently, only a few pollution prevention programs incorporate proper car washing practices as part of an overall message to residents on ways to reduce nonpoint source pollution. Other programs have extended this message to include charity car washes and provide these charity groups with equipment and training to alleviate the problems associated with polluted wash water entering the storm drain system.

3. Illegal Dumping Control
Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations

a. Description
Illegal dumping control as a management practice involves using public education to familiarize residents and businesses with how illegal dumping can affect storm water. By locating and correcting illegal dumping practices through education and enforcement measures, the many risks to public safety and water quality associated with illegal disposal actions can be prevented. For storm water managers, illegal dumping control is important to preventing contaminated runoff from entering wells and surface water, as well as averting flooding due to blockages of drainage channels for runoff.

Several types of illegal dumping can occur. The first is the illegal dumping (also known as "open dumping," “fly dumping,” or "midnight dumping") of litter that occurs at abandoned industrial, commercial, or residential buildings, vacant lots, and poorly lit areas such as rural roads and railway lines. This dumping primarily happens to avoid disposal fees or the time and effort required for proper disposal at landfills or recycling facilities. A second type of illegal dumping involves disposal of water that has been exposed to industrial activities and then released to the storm drainage system, introducing pollutants into storm water runoff.

b. Applicability
Illegal dumping can occur in both urban and rural settings and happen in all geographic regions. The effects of illegal dumping may be more pronounced in areas with heavier rainfall, due to the greater volume of runoff. In more urbanized areas, illegal dumping may occur due to inaccessibility of recycling or solid waste disposal centers that are often located on the suburban-rural fringe.

4. Landscaping and Lawn Care
Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations

a. Description
This management measure seeks to control the storm water impacts of landscaping and lawn care practices through education and outreach on methods that reduce nutrient loadings and the amount of storm water runoff generated from lawns. Research has indicated that nutrient runoff from lawns has the potential to cause eutrophication in streams, lakes, and estuaries (CWP, 1999a and Schueler, 1995a). Nutrient loads generated by suburban lawns as well as municipal properties can be significant, and recent research has shown that lawns produce more surface runoff than previously thought (CWP, 1999b). Pesticide runoff (see the Factsheet on Pest Control in the Pollution Prevention Category) can contribute pollutants that contaminate drinking water supplies and are toxic to both humans and aquatic organisms.
Landscaping, lawn care, and grounds maintenance are a big business in the United States. It has been estimated that there are 25 to 30 million acres of turf and lawn in the United States (Robert and Roberts, 1989, Lawn and Landscape Institute, 1999). If lawns were classified as a crop, they would rank as the fifth largest one in the country on the basis of area, after corn, soybeans, wheat, and hay (USDA, 1992). In terms of fertilizer inputs, nutrients are applied to lawns at about the same application rates as those used for row crops (Barth, 1995a). The urban lawn is also estimated to receive an annual input of five to seven pounds of pesticides per acre (Schueler, 1995b).

Not many residents understand that lawn fertilizer can cause water quality problems—overall, less than one fourth of residents rated it as a water quality concern (Syferd, 1995 and Assing, 1994), although ratings were as high as 60 percent for residents that lived adjacent to lakes (Morris and Traxler, 1996, MCSR, 1997). Interestingly, in one Minnesota survey, only 21 percent of homeowners felt their own lawn contributed to water quality problems, while over twice as many felt their neighbors lawn did (MCSR, 1997). Unlike farmers, suburban and rural landowners are often ignorant of the actual nutrient needs of their lawns. According to surveys, only 10 to 20 percent of lawn owners take the trouble to take soil tests to determine whether fertilization is even needed (CWP, 1999). The majority of lawn owners are not aware of the phosphorus or nitrogen content of the fertilizer they apply (Morris and Traxler, 1996) or that mulching grass clippings into lawns can reduce or eliminate the need to fertilize. Informing residents, municipalities, and lawn care professionals on methods to reduce fertilizer and pesticide application, limit water use, and avoid land disturbance can help alleviate the potential impacts of a major contributor of nonpoint source pollution in residential communities.

b. Applicability
Lawn care, landscaping, and grounds maintenance are done in all parts of the country, in all types of climates, and in every type of community from rural to urban. Lawn fertilization is one of the most widespread watershed practices conducted by homeowners. In a survey of resident attitudes in the Chesapeake Bay, 89 percent of residents owned a yard, and of these, about fifty percent applied fertilizer every year (Swann, 1999). The average rate of fertilization in ten other resident surveys was even higher, at 78 percent, although this could reflect the fact that these surveys were biased towards predominantly suburban neighborhoods, or excluded non-lawn owners. Because lawn care, landscaping, and grounds maintenance are such common practices, education programs for both residents, municipalities, and lawn care professionals on methods to reduce fertilizer and pesticide application, limit water use, and avoid land disturbance can help alleviate the potential impacts of a major contributor of nonpoint source pollution in residential communities.

5. Pest Control
Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations

a. Description
This management measure involves limiting the impact of pesticides on water quality by educating residents and businesses on alternatives to pesticide use and proper storage and application techniques. The presence of pesticides in storm water runoff has a direct impact on the health of aquatic organisms and can present a threat to humans through contamination of drinking water supplies. The pesticides of greatest concern are insecticides, such as diazinon and chloropyrifos, (CWP, 1999 and Schueler, 1995) that even at very low levels can be harmful to aquatic life. A recent study of urban streams by the U.S. Geological Survey
found that some of the more commonly used household and garden insecticides occurred at higher frequencies and concentrations in urban streams than in agricultural streams (USGS, 1999). The study also found that these insecticide concentrations were frequently in excess of USEPA guidelines for protection of aquatic life.

The major source of pesticides to urban streams is home application of products designed to kill insects and weeds in the lawn and garden. It has been estimated that an average acre of a well maintained urban lawn receives an annual input of five to seven pounds of pesticides (Schueler, 1995). Pesticide pollution prevention programs try to limit adverse impacts of insecticides and herbicides by providing information on alternative pest control techniques other than chemicals or explaining how to determine the correct dosages needed to manage pests. Lawn care and landscaping management programs often include pesticide use management as part of their outreach message.

6. Parking Lot and Street Cleaning
Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations

a. Description
This management measure involves employing pavement cleaning practices such as street sweeping on a regular basis to minimize pollutant export to receiving waters. These cleaning practices are designed to remove sediment debris and other pollutants from road and parking lot surfaces that are a potential source of pollution impacting urban waterways (Bannerman, 1999). Although performance monitoring for the Nationwide Urban Runoff Program (NURP) indicted that street sweeping was not very effective in reducing pollutant loads (USEPA, 1983), recent improvements in street sweeper technology have enhanced the ability of present day machines to pick up the fine grained sediment particles that carry a substantial portion of the storm water pollutant load. Many of today's sweepers can now significantly reduce the amount of sediment entering streams and rivers, some by significant amounts (Runoff Report, 1998). A debate as to whether this ability to pick up finer particles will improve the overall pollutant removal effectiveness of street sweepers is ongoing, and further research is required to establish the optimal sweeping frequency for pollutant removal and what streets are most appropriate for a sweeping program.

b. Applicability
Street sweeping is practiced in most urban areas, often as an aesthetic practice to remove sediment buildup and large debris from curb gutters. In colder climates, street sweeping is used during the spring snowmelt to reduce pollutant loads from road salt and to reduce sand export to receiving waters. Seventy percent of cold climate storm water experts recommend street sweeping during the spring snowmelt as a pollution prevention measure (CWP, 1997). The frequency and intensity of rainfall for a region are also key variables in determining how streets need to be swept to obtain a desired removal efficiency. Other factors that affect a street sweeper's ability to reduce nonpoint pollution include the condition of the street, its geographical location, the operator's skill, the presence of parked cars, and the amount of impervious area devoted to rooftop.

7. Roadway and Bridge Maintenance
Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations

a. Description
This practice involves pollution prevention techniques that reduce or eliminate pollutant loadings from existing road surfaces as part of an operation and maintenance program.
POLLUTION PREVENTION/GOOD HOUSEKEEPING

Substantial amounts of sediment and pollutants are generated during daily roadway and bridge use and scheduled repair operations, and these pollutant loadings can threaten local water quality by contributing heavy metals, hydrocarbons, sediment, and debris to storm water runoff. Table 1 shows some of the constituents that can be present in highway runoff and their primary sources.

As Table 1 demonstrates, numerous pathways for pollutant deposition on roadways and bridges influence the water quality of storm water runoff. Routine performance of general maintenance activities such as sweeping, vegetation maintenance, and cleaning of runoff control structures can help alleviate the impacts of these pollutants. Modifications in roadway resurfacing practices and application techniques for salt and other deicers can also help reduce pollutant loads to storm water runoff and protect the quality of receiving waters.

**Table 1.** Highway runoff constituents and their primary sources (Source: USEPA, 1993)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>Primary Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Particulates</td>
<td>Pavement wear, vehicles, atmosphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen,</td>
<td>Atmosphere, roadside fertilizer application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Tire wear, auto exhaust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>Tire wear, motor oil, grease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>Auto body rust, steel highway structures, moving engine parts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>Metal plating, brake lining wear, moving engine parts, bearing and bushing wear, fungicides and insecticides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>Tire Wear, insecticides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>Metal plating, moving engine parts, brake lining wear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>Diesel fuel and gasoline, lubricating oil, metal plating, brake lining wear, asphalt paving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>Moving engine parts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanide</td>
<td>Anticake compound used to keep deicing salt granular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium, Calcium, Chloride</td>
<td>Deicing salts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphate</td>
<td>Roadway beds, fuel, deicing salts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum</td>
<td>Spills, leaks or blow-by of motor lubricants, antifreeze and hydraulic fluids, asphalt surface leachate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**b. Applicability**

Roadway systems are a large part of the infrastructure of urban areas across the country, and require regular repairs and maintenance due to traffic use and climatic conditions. The level of pollutants found in road and bridge runoff is variable and is determined by a number of factors in addition to traffic volume and climate. Other factors affecting pollutant levels include surrounding land use, the design of the bridge or roadway, the presence of roadside vegetation, the use of insecticides, and the frequency of accidents and spills that can introduce hazardous chemicals. In colder climates, the amount of deicer applied to melt ice and snow can also influence the level of certain pollutants in road runoff and its impacts on local water quality.
8. Storm Drain System Cleaning
Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations

a. Description
Storm drain systems need to be cleaned regularly. Routine cleaning reduced the amount of pollutants, trash, and debris both in the storm drain system and receiving waters. Clogged drains and storm drain inlets can cause the drains to overflow, leading to increased erosion (Livingston et al., 1997). Benefits of cleaning include increasing dissolved oxygen, reduced levels of bacteria, and support of instream habitat. Areas with relatively flat grades or low flows should be given special attention because they rarely achieve high enough flows to flush themselves (Ferguson et al., 1997).

b. Applicability
This measure is applicable to all storm drain systems. The same principles can be applied to material and waste handling areas, paved and vegetated areas, waterways, and new development projects (Ferguson et al., 1997).

9. Alternative Products
Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations

a. Description
Using alternatives to toxic substances drastically reduces their presence in storm water and receiving waters. The most common toxic substances found in the home are cleaners, automotive products, and pesticides. Fertilizers, paints, and fuels are among other common hazardous substances frequently found in ground water because of improper disposal (WEF and ASCE, 1998).

b. Applicability
The promotion of safer alternative products should be coupled with other programs designed to reduce the presence of hazardous or toxic materials in homes and storm water runoff. Examples of such programs are hazardous materials collection, good housekeeping or material management practices, oil and automotive waste recycling, and spill response and prevention (WEF and ASCE, 1998).

Examples of commonly used products and safer alternatives are as follows (adapted from Washington State Department of Ecology):

- Aerosols: Pump-type, or non-aerosol products, should be used.
- Chemical Fertilizers: Composting yard clippings and food scraps is an option. Manure (in measured amounts) is another alternative to chemical fertilizers.
- Household Cleaners and Detergents: Many other non- or less-toxic alternatives to harsh cleansers exist—a listing of these alternatives can be found at www.healthdept.co.pierce.wa.us/water/haz/alter.html.
- Motor Oil: Re-refined motor oil should be used. Doing so will spur the market for recycled motor oil and decrease reliance on new oil supplies.
- Pesticides: Keeping homes and gardens free from food and breeding areas for insect pests prevents the need for pesticides. Onion, garlic, and marigold plants help keep garden pests at bay.
10. Hazardous Materials Storage
Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations

a. Description
Failure to properly store hazardous materials dramatically increases the probability that they will end up in local waterways. Many people have hazardous chemicals stored throughout their homes, especially in garages and storage sheds. Practices such as covering hazardous materials or even storing them properly can have dramatic impacts.

b. Applicability
Hazardous material storage is relevant to both urban and rural settings and all geographic regions. The effects of hazardous material leakage may be more pronounced in areas with heavier rainfall, due to the greater volume of runoff.

11. Road Salt Application and Storage
Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations

a. Description
The application and storage of deicing materials, most commonly salts (sodium chloride), can lead to water quality problems for surrounding areas (Koppelman et al., 1984). Salts, gravel, sand, and other materials are applied to highways and roads to reduce the amount of ice during winter storm events. Salts lower the melting point of ice, allowing roadways to stay free of ice buildup during cold winters. Sand and gravel increase traction on the road, making travel safer.

b. Applicability
This practice is applicable to areas that receive snowfall in winter months and require deicing materials. Municipalities in these areas must ensure proper storage and application for equipment and materials.

12. Spill Response and Prevention
Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations

a. Description
Spill response and prevention plans should clearly state measures to stop the source of a spill, contain the spill, clean up the spill, dispose of contaminated materials, and train personnel to prevent and control future spills.

b. Applicability
Spill prevention and control plans are applicable to construction sites where hazardous wastes are stored or used. Hazardous wastes include pesticides, paints, cleaners, petroleum products, fertilizers, and solvents.

13. Used Oil Recycling
Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations

a. Description
Used motor oil is a hazardous waste because it contains heavy metals picked up from the engine during use. Fortunately it is recyclable because it becomes dirty from use, rather than actually wearing out. However, as motor oil is toxic to humans, wildlife, and plants, it should be disposed of at a local recycling or disposal facility. Before disposal, used motor oil should be stored in a plastic or metal container with a secure lid, rather than dumped in a landfill or
POLLUTION PREVENTION/GOOD HOUSEKEEPING

down the drain. Containers that previously stored household chemicals, such as bleach, gasoline, paint, or solvents should not be used. Used motor oil should also never be mixed with other substances such as antifreeze, pesticides, or paint stripper.

Used motor oil is recycled in a number of different ways. It can be reprocessed into fuel for heating and cooling homes. Reprocessing is the most common method of recycling used oil in the United States. Approximately 750 million gallons of used oil are reprocessed every year and marketed to asphalt plants, steel mills, boilers, pulp and paper mills, cement/lime kilns, and a number of other places. Motor oil can also be burned in furnaces for heat or in power plants to generate electricity for homes, businesses, or schools. It can also be blended for marine fuels, mixed with asphalts for paving, or be used in industrial burners. Used motor oil can also be used in specially designed municipal garages, space heaters, and automotive bays. Finally, used motor oil can be re-refined into lubricating oils that meet the same standards as virgin/new oil. All of these methods of recycling help to conserve valuable energy resources.

When establishing oil recycling programs, municipalities should provide the public with the proper informational resources. Programs should encourage the public to contact local service stations, municipal governments, the county government office, or the local environmental or health departments, if they are unsure where to safely dispose of their oil. The public can also call 1-800-RECYCLE or contact Earth's 911 at www.1800cleanup.org/ for more information. Finally, state government contacts, who might be able to provide information about oil recycling, can be obtained by the public at www.noraoil.com/where.html.

Municipalities also need to address oil filter recycling in their recycling programs. Programs should encourage the public to check with local collection facilities to determine whether oil filters are recycled locally. The Filter Manufacturers Council, which was established in 1971 to monitor regulatory and technological developments that affect the oil industry, can also be used as a resource for the public. The Council operates a hotline (1-800-99-FILTER) and a web site (www.filtercouncil.org/) to provide information about state regulations and companies that transport, recycle, and process used oil filters. If oil filters are not recycled locally, empty filters should be wrapped in newspaper and disposed of with regular household waste. Oil filters must always be drained of oil whether recycling or disposing of the filter. The public should also check with trash collectors to determine if their state permits disposal of oil filters in landfills.

b. Applicability

Motorists that have their oil changed can be classified as a do-it-yourselfer or a do-it-for-me. A do-it-yourselfer changes their own oil because they want to save money, they enjoy it, or they take pride in the quality of their own workmanship. According to a recent survey, more than 30 percent of motorists change their own oil. Between 43 to 62 million gallons of used oil were collected and recycled by do-it-yourselfers in 1997 (API, 2000). Therefore, it is important that do-it-yourselfers recycle their used oil. A do-it-for-me has their oil changed at places such as service stations or quick lubes and should be sure to check if their mechanic recycles motor oil. To make recycling motor oil more convenient for the do-it-yourselfers, oil recycling programs should be located throughout all communities. Although oil-recycling programs are appropriate in any community, urban areas are in particular need of programs, as more motor oil is used in these areas to maintain a larger number of vehicles. Therefore, oil recycling programs should more heavily target urban areas and provide a greater number of facilities for recycling oil in these areas.
14. **Materials Management**

Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations

a. **Description**

Responsibly managing common chemicals, such as fertilizers, solvents, paints, cleaners, and automotive products, can significantly reduce polluted runoff (WEF and ASCE, 1998). Such products must be handled properly in all stages of their useful lives. Materials management entails the selection of the individual product, the correct use and storage of the product, and the responsible disposal of associated waste(s).

b. **Applicability**

In many cases, industries can implement simple housekeeping practices in order to manage materials more effectively. Proper management reduces the likelihood of accidental spills or releases of hazardous materials during storm events. In addition, health and safety conditions at the facility will improve.

Some simple practices for managing materials are improving maintenance of industrial machinery, material storage and inventory controls, routine cleaning and inspection of facilities where materials are stored or processed, maintaining organized workplaces, and educating employees about the benefits of the above practices (USEPA, 1992).

C. **Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) Storm Water Management Program**

(For more information see MDOT’s web site at [http://www.mdot.state.mi.us](http://www.mdot.state.mi.us))

1. **Internal Training**

MDOT’s various design and operating procedures contain detailed procedures on soil erosion and sedimentation controls at MDOT projects. MDOT also has controls and regulations that require other entities working in or adjacent to MDOT’s right-of-way to manage storm water runoff and control sediment discharges. The locations where these procedures and practices are outlined and the procedures for educating MDOT employees and contractors are presented in this section. Due to the voluminous nature of this material, the documents themselves are not included in this Storm Water Management Plan.

MDOT plans to inform its employees and contractors about the effects of storm water pollution associated with highway operation and maintenance and MDOT’s responsibilities under its NPDES permit. The following list of training events will be implemented by MDOT.

a. Make education and training videos on storm water pollution prevention-related issues available to MDOT staff and routinely schedule showings during training sessions and meetings. MDOT will obtain appropriate erosion and sedimentation control videos from the Michigan DEQ or the Local Technical Assistance Program (LTAP) at Michigan Technical University. MDOT may also request that LTAP prepare an instructional video that focuses primarily on storm water permitting and management issues, such as illicit discharges, BMPs, water quality impacts, and importance of regular maintenance on storm water system structures.
b. At a substantial number of regularly scheduled inter-departmental meetings and training sessions, discuss general and/or specific storm water-related issues. Such issues could include MDOT’s street sweeping and catch basin cleanout program or nonstructural and structural best management practices (BMPs). More general issues such as the contents of this Storm Water Management Plan would also be discussed, as appropriate. The experience and responsibilities of the meeting participants would dictate the topic, in part.

c. MDOT shall have Regional and Lansing personnel for contact with staff who have questions about storm water issues and MDOT’s permit responsibilities. The individuals are the information resources for meetings with MDOT staff and/or contractors dealing with storm water issues.

d. MDOT has two publications that all employees receive. MDOT will place articles in these publications discussing storm water-related issues and MDOT’s responsibilities under its NPDES permits.

2. Structural Controls

Part II.C.1 of MDOT’s NPDES permit requires MDOT maintain its structural controls. Specifically, the permit states:

Any storm water structural controls over which the permittee has jurisdiction shall be operated and maintained in accordance with the procedures described in the approved Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan so as to reduce the discharge of pollutants (including floatable and settleable material). At a minimum, the permittee shall do the following:

a. maintain the effectiveness of the present catch basin cleaning program. The catch basin cleaning program may need to be enhanced in the future if other source identification and control measures fail to adequately reduce the discharge of sediments and other pollutants that may be found in the permittee’s catch basins.

b. develop a map of the municipal storm water drainage system sufficient to identify drainage patterns to all outfalls authorized to discharge under this permit.

MDOT’s design manual provides guidance to designers for managing storm water runoff pollution. The manual suggests that vegetation, detention, infiltration, or specific wetland systems be utilized prior to discharging into receiving waters, thereby avoiding the problems associated with direct discharges (relative to both water quantity and quality). MDOT adds these structures to the drainage system where possible. Vegetative ditches and slopes are widely used by MDOT to reduce polluting sediment transport to the waters of the state. Vegetative controls are very cost effective and have a high efficiency for removing polluting sediments.

MDOT or its contractor performs routine maintenance on state roadways and adjacent rights-of-way. Catch basin cleaning is scheduled to occur at least once a year, or more frequently, as needed, to remove accumulated dirt and debris to ensure proper drainage. In addition, MDOT maintenance staff or its agents are trained to observe and report catch basin maintenance problems. Maintenance staff typically drive past these catch basins on a bi-weekly basis. Any catch basin reported as having a maintenance problem is scheduled for cleaning.

MDOT submitted drainage maps identifying the storm water drainage system as part of its Part 2 application in 1993.
D. Washington State Department of Transportation Stormwater Management Plan

(For more information on referenced Tables and Appendices, see this document on Washington DOT’s web site @ http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/eesc/environmental/programs/hazwqec/docs/SWMP1.pdf)

1. Roadside Integrated Vegetation Management (IVM) Program

Commensurate with WAC 173-270, WSDOT has started an Integrated Vegetation Management (IVM) program for roadside maintenance activities. The principals of IVM are much like storm water management, integrated within the daily maintenance and activities. Due to elimination of previous funding sources, IVM, like storm water, is not a stand-alone service objective. Within WSDOT's budgeting system, programs which focus on a single service object has little possibility of funding.

Roadside maintenance includes management of vegetation using mechanical and chemical control methods. Roadsides are maintained as nearly as possible in their natural condition or subsequently developed, and in a manner that makes a satisfactory contribution to the safety, reliability, and pleasure of the public and protection of the roadway itself. Pesticides, herbicides and fertilizer (chemical controls) are utilized as a part of the highway roadside management program.

WSDOT uses chemical controls in the optimum weather conditions, using trained licensed operators and with strict adherence to the manufacturer's label directions. These provisions correspond to the maximum extent practicable the prevention of pollutant discharges to storm water. WSDOT is committed to develop a statewide program of integrated vegetation management to reduce WSDOT's utilization on chemical controls through locally based, long-term planning and good management.

IVM Principles

The IVM decision-making process promotes localized, site specific decision making within the current department-wide management strategies. This IVM program is systematic process based on the Quality principal of continuous improvement and contains the following components:

- Monitor for quality (identify and document improvement opportunities).
- Determine action thresholds - priorities and performance measures to determine when action is necessary.
- Select and execute appropriate strategy (take action).
- Evaluate results (document for future assessment & improvement).

WSDOT has developed action strategies for each of the IVM components. They include:

a. Quality Monitoring:
   i. Develop area wide maintenance inventories
   ii. Begin documenting identified problems in each maintenance area.
   iii. Develop site specific management plans as needed to address significant problems.

b. Determine Action Thresholds:
   i. Establish maintenance priorities & performance measures
   ii. Communicate with local weed boards to determine weed control priorities.
   iii. Identify level of service possible within funding and resource constraints
c. Select And Execute Appropriate Strategies:
   i. Utilize the appropriate methods to achieve long term effectiveness
   ii. Establish sustainable desirable vegetation to minimize weed invasions.

d. Evaluate Results:
   i. Document results to develop a history of efforts and achievements.
   ii. Evaluate effectiveness of strategy.
   iii. Adjust management plans as necessary to reflect finding.

2. Employee Training
   WSDOT offers annual training opportunities for vegetation management employees on changing 
   regulations, products, and new developments in vegetation management. Training includes all 
   aspects of vegetation management to develop employee awareness of project development and 
   design concepts. Training and other technology transfer is accomplished by several means: 1. Field 
   Review with local personnel and Region representatives in order to address specific problems and 
   recommend methods and materials to solve these problems. 2. Training seminars and programs on 
   methods and materials for vegetation management. The seminars involve agency and non-agency 
   personnel at both field and management levels. These training seminars include an annual 
   herbicide training program. Maintenance Newsletter which presents information on roadside 
   management to WSDOT employees in a “news” format. WSDOT staff presentations discussing 
   methods of accomplishing and planning roadside management programs. WSDOT has issued the 
   following directives regarding roadside activities: Adopt-Highway Litter Control Program (D 51- 
   0) and Maintenance Manual (M 51-01)

3. Pesticide Applicators Certification and Recertification
   All WSDOT employees engaged in pesticide application obtain a pesticide applicators license 
   from the Washington State Department of Agriculture (DOA) prior to handling pesticides or 
   giving recommendation on the use of pesticides. DOA’s licensing program requires employees to 
   attend 16 hours of training and completion of an examination in a DOA approved training course. 
   Recertification is accomplished through 40 hours of accredited training courses completed in a 
   five-year period.

   WSDOT's annual herbicide training program includes such topics as principles, methods, 
   techniques, safety, record keeping, and public relations. It emphasizes Integrated Vegetation 
   Management (IVM) and stresses the use of pesticides as only a portion of an overall program. A 
   portion of this 16-hour course is approved by DOA and counts toward the pesticides applicators, 
   recertification. Employees making recommendations and/or applying pesticides do not exceed/ 
   label restrictions. The label recommendations on each pesticide restrict the authorized use of the 
   product. State and federal regulations prohibit pesticide uses that are inconsistent with label 
   instructions. All used pesticide containers are triple rinsed and disposed or reused in the proper 
   manner, as listed on the pesticide container.

4. Record Keeping
   State law (RCW 17.21) requires that records be kept for a seven-year period following all pesticide 
   applications. These records are intended as resources to be used during any pesticide investigation. 
   WSDOT has a developed system of pesticide accountability for all pesticides stored, issued and 
   used by WSDOT. There are two different computer form and record keeping systems, the Stores 
   Issue Form and the Pesticide Application Record. The Stores Issue form records the WSDOT
region material inventory, amount of material ordered, and designates to whom the material was issued. The Stores Issue record provides information for compliance with standards in handling pesticides and accomplishes the task of accountability of material issue and use.

The Pesticide Application record provides a detail record including: state road, county, date, location of application, “pest” to be controlled, method of control, weather condition (start and finish), material name, type, EPA registration number, lot number, product applied per acre, total daily usage, application information (including equipment number, calibration date, vehicle speed, nozzle pressure, width of spray pattern, method of application), operator name and license number, and pesticide sensitivity.

E. California Department of Transportation Statewide Storm Water Management Plan

(For more information on referenced Tables and Appendices, see this document on Caltrans’ web site @ http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/stormwater/special/index.htm)

1. Maintenance Facility Housekeeping Practices

Good housekeeping practices are intended to reduce the potential for discharge of pollutants to drainage paths, storm water drainage systems or watercourses by promoting efficient and safe storage, use and cleanup of potentially harmful materials.

Outdoor Storage of Raw Materials Maintenance facilities (and activities based out of maintenance facilities) store a variety of products that may be harmful to the environment if they come into contact with storm water runoff. This BMP is intended to reduce the potential for the discharge of products from outdoor raw material storage sites to storm water drainage systems or watercourses by minimizing exposure of the products to storm water. Storage areas are to be located to avoid runoff to drain inlets or watercourses. Storage areas are to be regularly inspected and good housekeeping practices will be promoted.

2. Good Housekeeping:

A common practice related to the storage, use or cleanup of materials performed in a manner that minimizes the discharge of pollutants.

3. Maintenance Facility Pollution Prevention Plans

Facility Pollution Prevention Plans (FPPP) have been developed for each maintenance facility owned or operated by Caltrans. The FPPPs describe the activities conducted at the facility and the BMPs to be implemented to reduce the discharge of pollutants in storm water runoff from these facilities. Supervisors inspect their maintenance facilities monthly to monitor the implementation and adequacy of the BMPs. A report that includes the date of the inspection, the name of the inspector, observations, and recommended corrective actions is prepared by the Supervisor. All inspection records will be maintained for a period of 3 years. Any observed instances of non-compliance will be reported to the District Maintenance Storm Water Coordinator.

District Maintenance Storm Water Coordinators are responsible for ensuring that Facility Pollution Prevention Plans (FPPPs) are developed for each maintenance facility. The FPPPs identify the work activities at each facility along with the corresponding BMPs that should be implemented.

In addition to monthly facility inspections conducted by the facility supervisor, the District Maintenance Storm Water Coordinators will review at least 20 percent of each District’s facilities each year. These reviews will monitor each facility’s documentation (e.g., FPPP, monthly
Draft

POLLUTION PREVENTION/GOOD HOUSEKEEPING

inspection reports, etc.) and include a thorough yard inspection. Each District Maintenance Storm Water Coordinator will prepare a report including the date of the inspection, name(s) of the inspector, observations, and recommended corrective actions. All FPPP records will be maintained for a period of 3 years by the Maintenance Supervisor. Any observed instances of noncompliance will be reported in accordance with the procedures provided in Section 9.3.

In addition to inspections conducted by the facility supervisors and the Maintenance Storm Water Coordinators, maintenance facilities may be subject to additional compliance reviews under the Maintenance Compliance Monitoring Program identified in Section 8 of this SWMP (Section 8.3.2).

F. Mississippi Guidance and Resources
(For more information contact MDEQ at 601/961-5171 General Permits Branch)

In developing a Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping Management Program, MDEQ recommends the Industrial Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) requirements found in Mississippi’s existing NPDES Baseline Storm Water General Permit be used as a guide. In addition MDEQ has developed an Industrial SWPPP Guidance Manual that may provide some assistance in developing a municipal pollution prevention program. These documents can be obtained by contacting MDEQ’s General Permit Branch at 601/961-5171 or can be found at MDEQ’s web site: www.deq.state.ms.us.

The intent of this control measure is to ensure that existing and future MDOT operations are performed in ways that will minimize contamination of storm water discharges. The MDEQ encourages MDOT to consider the following components when developing their program for this measure:

1. Maintenance activities, maintenance schedules, and long-term inspection procedures for structural and non-structural controls to reduce floatables and other pollutants discharged from storm sewers.

2. Controls for reducing or eliminating the discharge of pollutants from municipal facilities/areas such as:

   - Streets
   - Roads
   - Highways
   - Parking lots
   - Maintenance and storage yards
   - Fleet or maintenance shops with outdoor storage areas
   - Sand/salt storage locations
   - Waste transfer stations

and from activities such as:

   - Fleet and building maintenance
   - Street maintenance
   - New construction of MDOT facilities
   - Storm water system maintenance
Documentation of the BMPs to be used can take the form of a runoff control plan or the equivalent. Such a plan should include the following elements, as applicable:

a. Activity description
b. Facility/area site map
c. Description of potential pollutant sources, including an evaluation of that potential.
d. Stormwater Management Controls. The description of storm water management controls should address the following minimum components, including a schedule for implementing such controls:
   (1) Preventive maintenance
   (2) Good housekeeping
   (3) Spill prevention and response procedures
   (4) BMPs for pollutant sources
   (5) Employee training
e. Inspection procedures
f. Reporting procedures. The Annual Report to the MDEQ should include information on overall conformity with the runoff control plan for each facility and activity covered.

During the initial evaluation, if MDOT determines that there is a very low risk for potential storm water impacts from the facility or activity (i.e., no industrial activities or materials exposed to storm water, wastes recycled or disposed of properly, etc.), then a runoff control plan (or the equivalent) does not need to be developed for the facility. If such facilities or activities are subject to changing circumstances, they should be periodically re-evaluated to determine if plan development for the facility or activity is needed.

3. **Procedures for the proper disposal of waste removed from storm sewer systems** and other areas listed in 2, above, including dredge spoil, accumulated sediments, floatables, and other debris.

4. **Ways to ensure that new flood management projects assess the impacts on water quality** and examine existing projects for incorporation of additional water quality protection devices or practices. The MDEQ encourages coordination with flood control managers for the purpose of identifying and addressing environmental impacts from such projects.

The effective performance of this control measure hinges on the proper maintenance of the BMPs used, particularly for sections 1 and 2, above. For example, structural controls, such as grates on outfalls to capture floatables, typically need regular cleaning, while non-structural controls, such as training materials and recycling programs, need periodic updating.

5. **Procedures for bridge sand blasting and painting.**
Hypothetical Case Study: Smalltown, USA, hopes to reduce the amount of pollutants generated by municipal operations that accumulate on ground surfaces and are transported by runoff to receiving waters. Because the appearance of algal blooms during spring and summer indicates a nutrient problem, the City plans to target municipal lawn and garden activities and spill prevention to reduce the amount of chemicals entering the MS4 through improper storage, use, and handling practices. They also intend to reduce the amount of salt applied to roads during winter road maintenance operations.

Minimum Measure Objective: Reduce the amount of nutrients entering receiving waters through education of municipal employees about lawn care activities, spill prevention and control, and vehicle washing.

BMP: Training program for grounds maintenance and landscaping crews

Measurable Goals: In the first year, develop a pollution prevention workshop for all municipal employees responsible for grounds maintenance and landscaping at public facilities. Once per year, hold an additional workshop for new employees and crew managers. Achieve a 40% reduction in fertilizer and pesticide use and a 25% reduction in water use after 3 years.

Justification: Grounds maintenance and landscaping crews use substantial quantities of water and artificial chemicals, the combination of which has led to elevated levels of nutrients and toxics in receiving waters. The workshop will emphasize the benefits of recycling organic material; reducing the use and planning the timing of application of chemicals and water; selecting native vegetation to reduce water, nutrient, and maintenance demand; and achieving cost savings through reduced labor and material inputs.

BMP: Develop spill prevention and control plans for municipal facilities

Measurable Goals: Develop plans describing spill prevention and control procedures by the end of Year 1. Conduct annual spill prevention and response training sessions for all municipal employees. Distribute educational materials, e.g., posters and pamphlets, to each municipal facility by the end of Year 2.

Justification: Municipal employees do not receive formal training in spill prevention and containment practices, but in recent years several spills have resulted in hazardous chemicals reaching the storm drain system due to improper handling and containment procedures. The poster, which will be posted in chemical storage and use areas, will describe materials and techniques that should be used to contain a spill as well as preventative measures that can reduce the likelihood of spills.

BMP: Incorporate the use of road salt alternatives for roadway deicing

Measurable Goals: During the 1st year, reduce the amount of road salt applied to roadways by 50% through the use of less-toxic alternatives, such as liquid calcium magnesium acetate (CMA).

Justification: CMA is just as effective as road salt at deicing, but it appears to be much less harmful to the environment and is less corrosive.
## EXAMPLE TABLE:
### BMPs, Associated Measurable Goals Implementation Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMP</th>
<th>Measurable Goals</th>
<th>YR 1</th>
<th>YR 2</th>
<th>YR 3</th>
<th>YR 4</th>
<th>YR 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pollution Prevention Plan</td>
<td>Pollution Prevention Plan completed</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Education</td>
<td>Employee training materials developed</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance Plan developed</td>
<td>Maintenance schedule for BMPs established, procedures developed for catch basin cleaning and street sweeping.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Training</td>
<td>Pollution Prevention training for appropriate employees performed twice per year</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling</td>
<td>Recycling program implemented.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollution Prevention Plan</td>
<td>Pollution Prevention Plan implemented</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floatables reduction</td>
<td>50 percent reduction in floatables discharged</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in pesticide, salt and sand use.</td>
<td>25 percent reduction in pesticide and salt and sand use.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix A - DEFINITIONS

All definitions contained in Section 502 of the Act and 40 CFR 122 shall apply to this permit and are incorporated herein by reference. For convenience, simplified explanations of some regulatory/statutory definitions have been provided, but in the even of a conflict, the definition found in the Statute or Regulation takes precedence.

Best Management Practices or “BMPs” - Best Management Practices (BMPs) means schedules of activities, prohibitions of practices, maintenance procedures, and other management practices to prevent or reduce the pollution of State. BMPs also include treatment requirements, operating procedures, and practices to control runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw material storage.

CFR or Code of Federal Regulations - A document containing all finalized regulations. The contents of 40 CFR are all related to the environmental aspects.

Control Measure - Control Measure as used in this permit, refers to any Best Management Practice or other method used to prevent or reduce the discharge of pollutants to waters of the United States.


Illicit Connection - Illicit Connection means any man-made conveyance connecting an illicit discharge directly to a municipal separate storm sewer.

Illicit Discharge - Illicit discharge is defined at 40 CFR 122.26(b)(2) means any discharge to a municipal separate storm sewer that is not composed entirely of storm water except discharges pursuant to a NPDES permit (other than the NPDES permit for discharges from the municipal separate storm sewer) and those non-storm water discharges identified in Part I.B.3. of this permit.

Major Receiving Water(s) - Major receiving water(s) are those waters of the State that are named on a United States Geological Quadrangle Map.

Maximum Extent Practicable (MEP) - MEP is the statutory standard that establishes the level of pollutant reductions that operators of regulated MS4s must achieve. The CWA requires that NPDES permits for discharges from MS4s “shall require controls to reduce the discharge of pollutants to the maximum extent practicable, including management practices, control techniques and system, design and engineering methods.” Compliance with the conditions of the general permit and the series of steps associated with identification and implementation of the minimum control measures will satisfy the MEP standard. EPA has intentionally not provided a precise definition of MEP to allow maximum flexibility in MS4 permitting. MS4s need the flexibility to optimize reductions in storm water pollutants on a location-by-location basis. EPA envisions that this evaluative process will consider such factors as conditions of receiving waters, specific local concerns, and other aspects included in a comprehensive watershed plan. Other factors may include MS4 size, climate, implementation schedules, current ability to finance the program, beneficial uses of receiving water, hydrology, geology, and capacity to perform operation and maintenance. The pollutant reductions that represent MEP may be different for each small MS4, given the unique local hydrologic and geologic concerns that may exist and the differing possible pollutant control strategies. Therefore, each permittee will determine appropriate BMPs to satisfy each of the six minimum control measures through an evaluative process.

EPA envisions application of the MEP standard as an iterative process. MEP should continually adapt to current conditions and BMP effectiveness and should strive to attain water quality standards. Successive iterations of the mix of BMPs and measurable goals will be driven by the objective of assuring maintenance of water quality standards. If, after implementing the six minimum control measures there is still water quality impairment associated with discharges from the MS4, after successive permit terms the permittee will need to expand or better tailor its BMPs within the scope of the six minimum control measures for each subsequent permit. EPA envisions that this process may take two to three permit terms.

Measurable Goals - Measurable goals are a municipality’s storm water program goals, which are intended to gauge permit compliance and program effectiveness.
Municipality- A municipality is a city, town, county, district, association, or other public body created by or under State law and having jurisdiction over disposal of sewage, industrial wastes, or other wastes.

MS4 - MS4 is an acronym for "Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System" and is used to refer to either a Large, Medium, or Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (e.g., "the Jackson MS4"). The term is used to refer to either the system operated by a single entity or a group of systems within an area that are operated by multiple entities (e.g., the Jackson MS4 includes MS4s operated by the city of Jackson, the Mississippi Department of Transportation, the University Medical Center and others). Municipal Separate Storm Sewer is defined at 40 CFR 122.26(b)(8) and means a conveyance or system of conveyances (including roads with drainage systems, municipal streets, catch basins, curbs, gutters, ditches, man-made channels, or storm drains): (i) Owned or operated by a State, city, town, borough, county, parish, district, association, or other public body (created by or pursuant to State law) having jurisdiction over disposal of sewage, industrial wastes, storm water, or other wastes, including special districts under State law such as a sewer district, flood control district or drainage district, or similar entity, or an Indian tribe or an authorized Indian tribal organization, or a designated and approved management agency under section 208 of the CWA that discharges to waters of the United States; (ii) Designed or used for collecting or conveying storm water; (iii) Which is not a combined sewer; and (iv) Which is not part of a Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) as defined at 40 CFR 122.2.

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System or “NPDES” – Section 402 of the federal Clean Water Act.

NOI - NOI is an acronym for “Notice of Intent” to be covered by this permit and is the mechanism used to “register” for coverage under a general permit.

Permittee- The MS4 operator to whom the storm water discharge permit is issued.

Phase II - Second stage of the State and Federal storm water permit regulations.

Regulated Entity- a small MS4 within the State of Mississippi and located fully or partially within an urbanized area as determined by the latest Decennial Census pursuant to 40 CFR ’122.32, or designated by MDEQ pursuant to 40 CFR 123.35.

Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System - Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System is defined at 40 CFR 122.26(b)(16) and refers to all separate storm sewers that are owned or operated by the United States, a State, city, town, borough, county, parish, district, association, or other public body (created by or pursuant to State law) having jurisdiction over disposal of sewage, industrial wastes, storm water, or other wastes, including special districts under State law such as a sewer district, flood control district or drainage district, or similar entity, or an Indian tribe or an authorized Indian tribal organization, or a designated and approved management agency under section 208 of the CWA that discharges to waters of the United States, but is not defined as “large” or “medium” municipal separate storm sewer system. This term includes systems similar to separate storm sewer systems in municipalities, such as systems at military bases, large hospital or prison complexes, and highways and other thoroughfares. The term does not include separate storm sewers in very discrete areas, such as individual buildings.

Storm Water- Storm water is defined at 40 CFR 122.26(b)(13) and means storm water runoff, snowmelt runoff, and surface runoff and drainage.

Storm Water Management Program (SWMP) - Storm Water Management Program (SWMP) refers to a comprehensive program to manage the quality of storm water discharged from the municipal separate storm sewer system.

Total Maximum Daily Load or "TMDL" - means the calculated maximum permissible pollutant loading to a waterbody at which water quality standards can be maintained. The sum of wasteload allocations (WLAs) and load allocations (LAs) for any given pollutant.

Urbanized Area - An urbanized area (UA) is a land area comprising one or more places {core and fringe} with urban limits defined by a population density of 1,000 people per square mile and its contiguous census tracks of 500 people per square mile — that together have a residential population of at least 50,000.
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Guidance/Resources for Storm Water Phase II

Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ)
Environmental Permits Division (EPD)
General Permits Branch
(601) 961-5171

The following is a list of resources that are recommend for developing and implementing a Phase II storm water program. See MDEQ’s web page @[http://www.deq.state.ms.us](http://www.deq.state.ms.us) for a list of the designated municipalities requiring NPDES Storm Water Permitting under Phase II and other relevant information concerning storm water (i.e. permits & guidance manuals are found under the General Permits Branch). Current census information may be found at [http://www.census.gov/](http://www.census.gov/) Special thanks to the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment for a partial list of the following resources.

A. EPA

A.1. EPA Storm Water Phase II

EPA has several web sites with lots of information and good FAQs:

- [http://www.epa.gov/sbrefa/documents/sbar_panel3.htm](http://www.epa.gov/sbrefa/documents/sbar_panel3.htm) A copy of the EPA regulation is available at this site.
- [http://www.epa.gov/owm/sw/phase2](http://www.epa.gov/owm/sw/phase2) More information can be obtained by calling EPA's Storm water Phase II Rule Hotline at (202) 260-5816, or by sending an e-mail to sw2@epa.gov.
- [http://www.tetratech-test.com/bmpmanual/htmfolder/index.htm](http://www.tetratech-test.com/bmpmanual/htmfolder/index.htm) A draft menu of BMPs that addresses each of the six minimum control measures and two draft model permits for small construction activities and regulated small municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4s).
- [http://www.epa.gov/owm/sw/toolbox/](http://www.epa.gov/owm/sw/toolbox/) EPA is providing the tools necessary to facilitate implementation of the Final Phase II storm water program in an effective and cost-efficient manner. The “tool box” includes the following components: Fact Sheets, Guidance Documents, Menu of BMPs, Information Clearinghouse, Training and Outreach Efforts, Technical Research, EPA’s Office of Research & Development’s Urban Watershed Management Branch, Support for Demonstration Projects, Compliance Monitoring/Assistance Tools, Local Government Environmental Assistance Network. EPA will have a fully operational tool box in place by the time the general permits are issued.
- [http://www.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater/measurablegoals/part2.htm#what](http://www.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater/measurablegoals/part2.htm#what) Measurable goals are BMP design objectives or goals that quantify the progress of program implementation and the performance of your BMPs. Measurable goals become requirements of the storm water management program.

A.2. EPA Compliance Assistance Centers

EPA has established ten sector-specific Compliance Assistance Centers. They cover broader environmental issues than just storm water, but might be useful. The first one is the most relevant to Phase II municipalities.

- National Metal Finishing Resource Center – [www.nmfcrc.org](http://www.nmfcrc.org)
- Paints and Coatings Resource Center – [www.paintcenter.org](http://www.paintcenter.org)
- Printed Wiring Board Resource Center – [www.pwbrc.org](http://www.pwbrc.org)
- Printers’ National Environmental Assistance Center – [www.pneac.org](http://www.pneac.org)
- Transportation Environmental Resource Center – [www.transource.org](http://www.transource.org)
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B. General BMPs

With the approach of the Phase II deadline for implementation, many municipalities, consultants and water resource planners have begun the process of determining what mix of the best management practices (BMPs) will be needed to comply with Phase II’s six minimum measures and to obtain permit coverage. Because Phase II is a narrative rule generally requiring the implementation of BMPs for obtaining permit coverage, selecting the right mix of BMPs is critical. The following guidance will help in selecting the proper of BMPs for your particular municipality.

See Also:
- [http://www.epa.gov/npdes/menuofbmps/BMP_files.htm](http://www.epa.gov/npdes/menuofbmps/BMP_files.htm)
- [http://www.epa.gov/owm/sw/toolbox/](http://www.epa.gov/owm/sw/toolbox/) EPA’s “tool box” which a menu of BMPs
- Item C.3. - This guidance offers insight on developing the 6 minimum control measures

B.1. Center for Watershed Protection

The center provides objective and scientifically sound information on effective techniques to protect and restore urban watersheds. Workshops, journals, publications and links are available. There is a strong focus on Low Impact Development. Also a good section on model ordinances and several quality reference books.

- 8391 Main Street
  Ellicott City, MD 21043-4605
  Phone: (410) 461-8323
  [http://www.cwp.org](http://www.cwp.org)

  Some recommended publications (visit their web page for more information):
  - Better Site Design: A Handbook for Changing Development Rules in Your Community - $35+s/h. This handbook outlines 22 guidelines for better developments and provides detailed rationale for each principle. Includes a sample Codes & Ordinances Worksheet.

B.2. Know Your Watershed

Tips, tools, and resources for watershed management. Sponsored by the Conservation Technology Information Center (CTIC) at Purdue University. Available publications include a Local Ordinance Manual and an Urban Stream Restoration Field Manual.

- [http://www.ctic.purdue.edu/KYW/](http://www.ctic.purdue.edu/KYW/)


Report available for download from the net on community responses to runoff pollution, including 100 case studies.


Database of monitoring results showing effectiveness of structural and non-structural BMPs. Currently, the database and web site do not include much analysis of the data; this will be added in the future. Data contributions are being solicited on an on-going basis. Available as CD-ROM, or on the web at [http://www.bmpdatabase.org](http://www.bmpdatabase.org).

- Call Jane Clary or Jonathan Kelly at Wright Water Engineers, Inc., (303) 480-1700, or email at clary@wrightwater.com for a copy of the CD-ROM and user’s guide (free).


Proceedings from a recent conference with several quality papers on topics such as Phase II cost estimates, developing a storm water utility fee, tools for eliminating illicit connections, public information projects, and many more directly applicable to Phase II and the six minimum measures. Highly recommended.

- Copies in either paper or CD-ROM are available free of charge from the EPA; call (800) 490-9198 or visit the web site at [http://www.epa.gov/ncephihtm](http://www.epa.gov/ncephihtm)
B.6. Storm Water News
A good source of technical information on storm water. Includes a large library of technical papers.
  • [http://www.stormwater-resources.com/](http://www.stormwater-resources.com/)

B.7. Texas Nonpoint Source Book
A web site with storm water information geared to public works professionals and other interested parties. The development of this site was funded in part through a U.S. EPA 319(h) grant. A great resource for storm water BMP information.
  • [http://www.txnpsbook.org](http://www.txnpsbook.org)

B.8. Planning & Design Manual for the Control of Erosion, Sediment & Storm Water
Mississippi’s manual provides technical guidance for the control of erosion, sediment, and storm water from nonpoint sources (NPDES) and for the preparation of erosion, sediment, and storm water control plans as needed. The manual is a cooperative effort by Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality, Mississippi Soil & Water Conservation Commission and USDA Soil Conservation Service. The manual is also available (free) electronically at [http://abe.msstate.edu/csd/p-dm/](http://abe.msstate.edu/csd/p-dm/)

Manual $20
Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 20305
Jackson, MS 39289-1305
Phone: (601) 961-5171
[http://www.deq.state.ms.us](http://www.deq.state.ms.us)

B.9. Field Testing of a Sock-Type Catch Basin Insert
This report presents the findings of the most comprehensive study to date of catch basin inserts used for storm water pollution control on existing developed sites. The study was funded by a grant from the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation and commissioned by PlanetCPR - a non-profit organization.
  • For obtaining a copy call PlanetCPR @ (206) 285-3888
  Fax: (206) 285-3887
  email: www.planetcpr.org

C. General Phase II Program Guidance
See Also:
  • Item A.1. - EPA Storm Water Phase II
  • Item B.7. – This Nonpoint Source Book contains guidance on program planning and implementation

C.1. California Model Urban Runoff Program (MURP)
A how-to guide for developing urban runoff programs for small municipalities. Developed by the City of Monterey, CA.
    Copy King
    MURP Order
    498 Calle Principal
    Monterey, CA 93940
    (831) 373-1251

C.2. Designing and Implementing an Effective Storm Water Management Program (APWA)
110 page manual with good information for getting your Phase II program started. A CD-ROM is also available with the complete Phase II regulation, the EPA BMP database (Item B.4.) and case studies, and other ancillary information. Also available is a Storm Water Facilitators Guide with step by step instructions for an APWA chapter or agency to conduct its own storm water workshop, and videotape of the 2/15/2000 APWA videoconference with the EPA.
  • For questions about content call (816) 472-6100 x3582
  Questions about ordering, call (816) 472-6100 x3560
  **Costs:**
    Videotape of Teleconference = $69 + s/h
    Storm Water Manual for Phase II Programs w/ CD= $35 + s/h
    Storm Water Facilitators Guide = $75 + s/h
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This guidance manual was developed by the Troy State University Center for Environmental Research and Service as an element of a U.S.EPA funded cooperative study. This manual focuses on storm water program development in Phase II communities, and offers insight on developing the 6 minimum control measures. This guide is available at the ADEM website: [http://www.adem.state.al.us/EnviroProtect/WatershedMan/watman/Documents/watershed/StrmwtrPhaseIIMan.pdf](http://www.adem.state.al.us/EnviroProtect/WatershedMan/watman/Documents/watershed/StrmwtrPhaseIIMan.pdf)

- Contact Mr. Michael William Mullen (Director of the Center for Environmental Research and Service) at Troy State University (313) 670-3624. Email: mmullen@troyst.edu

C.4. The Stormwater Manager's Resource Center (SMRC) web site
The Stormwater Manager’s Resource Center (SMRC) web site is made possible through a grant from the Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Office of Wastewater Management, Assistance Agreement #828077-01. The SMRC site is managed and published by the Center for Watershed Protection, Inc., a 501(c) 3 organization located in Ellicott City, Maryland. The SMRC web site was funded to provide Phase II communities with the tools and techniques necessary to protect their watersheds and to enhance and restore their local water resources. The SMRC is designed specifically for stormwater practitioners, local government officials and others that need technical assistance on stormwater management issues.

- [http://www.stormwatercenter.net/](http://www.stormwatercenter.net/)

D. Tools for the Six Minimum Measures

See Also:
- Item A.1. - The EPA has developed Fact Sheets on each of the 6 Minimum Measures.
- Item C.3. – This guide offers insight on developing the 6 minimum control measures.

D.1. Public Education & Outreach

See Also:
- Item B.1. – The Center for Watershed Protection includes education & outreach in their watershed planning publications.
- Item B.5. – These proceedings include several papers covering successful education & outreach efforts.
- Item B.6. – The “Library” section of this site contains several articles on Education.
- Item B.7. – The Nonpoint Source Book contains guidance on planning and implementing education and outreach programs and examples of materials available for use.
- Item C.1. – The MURP covers public education.

D.1.i. League of Women Voters Education Fund – Water Resources

Information regarding educational programs and resources offered through the League of Women Voters, including the Wetlands Citizen Education Program. The League of Women Voters is very active in storm water education in Colorado.

- [http://www.ourwater.org/](http://www.ourwater.org/)
- [http://www.lwv.org/where/protecting/water.html](http://www.lwv.org/where/protecting/water.html)

D.2. Public Involvement/Participation

See:
- MDEQ Power Point presentation with notes that may be used for public outreach efforts. This presentation can be found at MDEQ’s web page @ [http://www.deq.state.ms.us](http://www.deq.state.ms.us) under the General Permit Branch (Technical Guidance).
- Item B.5. – These proceedings include papers covering successful public involvement programs.
- Item C.2. – This manual includes guidance on building community support with stakeholder involvement.

D.3. Illicit Discharge Detection & Elimination

See Also:
- Item B.5. – These proceedings include papers covering illicit discharge detection tools and programs.
• Available from the National Technical Information Service (NTIS Order Number PB93-131472INZ) for $34+ s/h. Order online at http://www.ntis.gov/ or call 1-800-553-6847.

D.3.ii Rouge River National Wet Weather Demonstration Project - Illicit Connection Reports
Free downloadable report on illicit connections and other technical topics.
• http://www.wcdoe.org/rougeriver/techtop/

D.4. Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control
See Also:
• Items B.4., B.5., B.6., B.7., and B.8. – All of these have good BMP information for construction site controls.
• Item B.5. and B.7. – Both of these contain guidance on setting up Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control programs.

D.4.i International Erosion Control Association
Their website provides information regarding news, conferences, training, and exhibits regarding erosion control, as well as links to other sites. They also publish a journal called Erosion Control.
• http://ieca.org/

D.5. Post Construction Storm Water Management
See Also:
• Item B.1. – The Center for Watershed protection has extensive information on BMPs for post construction runoff controls, as well as guidance on how to develop your municipal program. This is a highly recommended source for information on this minimum measure.
• Item B.4. – Although not complete, the database does contain some data on the effectiveness of post construction BMPs.
• Item B.5. – These proceedings include papers on Post Construction Storm Water Management practices and programs.
• Item B.8. – MDEQ’s manual is recommended as a resource post construction BMPs.

D.5.i Low Impact Development Manuals (Prince George County, Maryland Department of Environmental Resources, Programs and Planning Division, EPA 841-B-00-003 and EPA 841-B-00-002, 1/2000)
Two technical manuals on Low Impact Development (LID): Low Impact Development, an Integrated Design Approach (EPA 841-B-00-003) was prepared by local planners, engineers, developers, and officials. This document details how to develop and implement LID methods from an integrated design perspective. Low Impact Development Hydrologic Analysis (EPA 841-B-00-002) is the companion document to the LID design manual. This document contains methodology that can be used to estimate changes in site hydrology due to new development, and also to design appropriate treatment systems to maintain the pre-development hydrology of the site.
• Copies available free of charge from the EPA; call (800) 490-9198, or visit the website at http://www.epa.gov/ncepihom/

D.6. Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations
See:
• Items B.4., B.5., B.6.,and B.7. – All of these have good information on BMPs for municipal operations.

E. Financing Storm Water Management
E.1. The Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ), The Office of Pollution Control, Construction Branch
MDEQ’s Construction Branch has funding available under the Water Pollution Control Revolving Loan Fund Program for certain planning, permit application, design, construction, and other implementation activities needed (exclusive of operation and maintenance) to comply with the Phase II storm water requirements. These low-interest loans of 2.5% may have up to a 20-year repayment period. Call Mark Smith at 601/961-5130 (Email: Mark_Smith@deq.state.ms.us) for further information.
E.2. Center for Urban Policy and the Environment, Indiana University

The Center for Urban Policy and the Environment at Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI) in cooperation with the Watershed Management Institute, Inc. has used EPA grant money to develop a website designed to help communities find ways to pay for storm water management projects.

- [http://stormwaterfinance.urbancenter.iupui.edu/home.htm](http://stormwaterfinance.urbancenter.iupui.edu/home.htm)

See Also:

- Item B.5. – These proceedings include papers on administering and funding storm water programs.
- Item B.7. – The Nonpoint Source Book contains guidance on setting up storm water utilities.

F. Upcoming Phase II Workshops

F.1. The American Public Works Association (APWA) in cooperation with the U.S. EPA

It’s possible that EPA will have new funding available for APWA to do a new program series on Storm Water. Right now, EPA has indicated some interest in a program that highlights case studies from both Phase I and Phase II communities. If we hold such a program, the expected date(s) will start appearing on our calendar of events at [http://www.apwa.net/Education](http://www.apwa.net/Education) sometimes this fall. For more information contact Karen Wilson, Project Manager, American Public Works Association at (816) 472-6100, ext 3565 or by e-mail at kwilson@apwa.net

F.2. Be part of StormCon, the national convention for storm water engineers and program managers. Many people rely on your expertise for guidance on surface water quality-related issues, so don’t let this opportunity to meet and discuss your storm water challenges face-to-face with your peers pass you by. Our great rates at the hotel are selling out fast and classroom space is limited, so if you haven’t already done so, sign up for StormCon today!

- StormCon, The North American Surface Water Quality Conference & Exposition
  Marco Island, FL
  August 12 – 15, 2002

Information on the conference, registration forms, and hotel reservation information are all available on the web at [www.StormCon.com](http://www.StormCon.com)

F.3. A Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality grant to the Southeast Mississippi Resource Conservation and Development (RC&D) Council will fund four workshops on Urban Conservation Landscaping during the month of November 2002. The Workshops are entitled “Urban Landscapes in the 21st Century.” Workshops will be held in Meridian, Hattiesburg, Biloxi, Hancock County (city to be determined). For more information, contact Joe Doss (RC&D Coordinator) or Chris Bowen (Chairman, Southeast Mississippi RC&D Council, Inc.)

Southeast Mississippi RC&D Council, Inc.
P.O. Box 15519
Hattiesburg, MS  39404-5519
Phone: (601) 296-1187
Toll-Free Phone: 1-888-645-1187
Fax #: (601) 296-1253
E-mail: council@smrcd.org

G. Associations to Contact for Additional Information

- American Public Works Association (APWA) – 202.393.2792 or [www.apwa.net](http://www.apwa.net)
- Water Environment Federation (WEF) – 1.800.666.0206 or [www.wef.org](http://www.wef.org)
- American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) – 1.800.548.2723 or [www.asce.org](http://www.asce.org)
- International Erosion Control Association – 1.800.455.4322 or [www.ieca.org](http://www.ieca.org)
- Erosion Control Magazine – [www.erosioncontrol.com](http://www.erosioncontrol.com)
- Storm water Magazine – [www.stormh2o.com](http://www.stormh2o.com)

Contact Jim Morris ([Jim_Morris@deq.state.ms.us](mailto:Jim_Morris@deq.state.ms.us)) at MDEQ to provide comments and/or recommendations for additional resources or guidance needs. Information on what your city/county has done to this date to comply with Phase II is welcome – all information received will be shared with other regulated communities.

Last Updated – November 6, 2002
### Appendix C

**MDEQ Library – List of Available Educational Materials From Non-Point Source Group**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>NPS Category</th>
<th>Age Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H2O Facts - What You Can Do to Help Reduce Non Point Source (NPS) Pollution</td>
<td>NPS fact sheet</td>
<td>general and urban</td>
<td>grade 5th - adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conserve Mississippi poster</td>
<td>land use poster</td>
<td>general</td>
<td>grades 5th - 12th, adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair of Failing Onsite Wastewater Systems</td>
<td>brochure</td>
<td></td>
<td>adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plugging Abandoned Water Wells</td>
<td>booklet</td>
<td></td>
<td>adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Help Keep Mississippi's Waters Clean</td>
<td>fact sheet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our Little River video</td>
<td>video</td>
<td></td>
<td>grades 5th - 12th, adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enviroscape landscape model</td>
<td>NPS water model</td>
<td>general</td>
<td>grades 3-12, adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater flow model</td>
<td>NPS water model</td>
<td>general</td>
<td>grades K-12, adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning and Design Manual for the Control of Erosion, Sediment &amp; Stormwater</td>
<td>large binder</td>
<td>urban/construction</td>
<td>Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Manual for Erosion &amp; Sediment Control on Construction In Mississippi</td>
<td>field binder</td>
<td>Urban/construction</td>
<td>adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Source Book grades 3 - 5</td>
<td>lesson plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>teachers and students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Source Book grades 6 - 8</td>
<td>lesson plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>teachers and students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Source Book grades 9 - 12</td>
<td>lesson plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>teachers and students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Source Book video</td>
<td>&quot;how to&quot; video</td>
<td></td>
<td>teachers and students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Source Book grades K – 2</td>
<td>lesson plans</td>
<td></td>
<td>teachers and students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi Environmental Resource Guide</td>
<td>Educational Material Catalog</td>
<td></td>
<td>teachers and students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Story of Wendy Water coloring book</td>
<td>coloring book</td>
<td></td>
<td>pre-K- 3rd grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sammy Soil coloring book</td>
<td>Coloring book</td>
<td></td>
<td>pre-K- 3rd grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oh Give Me a Home videos</td>
<td>field trip video</td>
<td>general</td>
<td>grades K - 5th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It's Up to Us Video</td>
<td>BMP for video</td>
<td>general</td>
<td>Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backyard Conservation fact sheets</td>
<td>fact sheets</td>
<td>land owner</td>
<td>grades 6 -12, adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oh, Give Me a Home!</td>
<td>lesson plans</td>
<td>general</td>
<td>grades K - 5th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Wonders of Wetlands</td>
<td>lesson plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>grades K -12, teachers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Audience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>And Your Point Is?</td>
<td>story booklet</td>
<td>grades K - 6th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murky Water Caper</td>
<td>story booklet</td>
<td>grades K - 6th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi's Coastal Wetlands</td>
<td>general NPS and wetlands</td>
<td>grades 6 - 12, adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adopt-A-Stream Mississippi field manual</td>
<td>brochure</td>
<td>teens, adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adopt-A-Stream workshop</td>
<td>brochure</td>
<td>teens, adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Classroom</td>
<td>3-ring binder</td>
<td>general</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm Drain Marking Program</td>
<td>brochure</td>
<td>teens, adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Unclear Future of Clear Creek lesson plan</td>
<td>field trip video</td>
<td>general</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Unclear Future of Clear Creek video</td>
<td>urban NPS brochure</td>
<td>urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizen's Guide to Reducing Urban NPS Pollution</td>
<td>handbook</td>
<td>agricultural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPS Problems and Solutions brochure</td>
<td></td>
<td>grades 3 - 12, adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backyard Conservation booklet</td>
<td>fact sheets</td>
<td>Land owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backyard Conservation fact sheets</td>
<td>brochure</td>
<td>adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managing our Waters Basin by Basin brochure</td>
<td>water info booklets</td>
<td>general</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needed: Clean Water booklet</td>
<td>water info booklets</td>
<td>general</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground Water: Protecting Our Resources booklet</td>
<td>water info booklets</td>
<td>general</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Conservation booklet</td>
<td>water info booklets</td>
<td>general</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater Treatment booklet</td>
<td>lesson plan</td>
<td>teachers and students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Source Book grades K - 2</td>
<td>lesson plan</td>
<td>teachers and students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Just Passing Through Video</td>
<td>industrial education video</td>
<td>urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model Urban Natural Resources Conservation Plan</td>
<td>Handbook</td>
<td>urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizen’s Guide to Reducing Urban NPS Pollution</td>
<td>Video</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7th - adults</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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State of Mississippi

Department of Environmental Quality

Office of Pollution Control

Certificate of Permit Coverage

under Mississippi's Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System General NPDES Permit

Be it known

Mississippi Department of Transportation (MDOT)

Mississippi

having submitted an acceptable Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Notice of Intent and associated Storm Water Management Program, is hereby granted this Certificate of Permit Coverage in order to discharge storm water associated with the Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System

Receiving Stream: Anyname Creek

Anyname County

Coverage No: MSR*****
Date of Coverage: March 10, 2003
Date Coverage Expires: February 28, 2008

Chief, General Permits Branch
Appendix E
Examples of what Cities and Counties have done

Public Education and Outreach
Examples of what others have done
(from EPA’s Model MS4 General Permit)

Storm Drain Stenciling Program
The City of Monterey CA put together a storm drain stenciling kit that could be used by volunteers stenciling storm drains. The kit included stencils, traffic cones, trash bags, paint and paint rollers, buckets, latex gloves, orange vests, and wet paint signs. Instructions on stenciling were also provided. Volunteers were asked to mark the storm drains they had stenciled on city maps, as well as provide any locations of storm drains that were not on city maps. For further information contact Jennifer Hays, Public Works Engineering Division (408) 646-3920. Source: Model Urban Runoff Program, Appendix 3C.

Enviroscape Model
The cities of Monterey and Santa Cruz, CA used a 3-dimensional plastic model of a miniature city to offer a hands-on approach to demonstrate water pollution of watersheds caused by various urban runoff sources. Participants sprinkle cocoa and colored drink mixes to simulate oil, paint, herbicides and pesticides. Participants then spray water on to the site to simulate rainfall. The model was taken to schools and city events to educate and elicit support from the community. Model Available from Terrene Institute (202) 833-8317. Source: Model Urban Runoff Program, Appendix 3D.

City of Miami Beach, Florida MS4 Storm Water Permit - 9/30/93
As a public awareness and education program, the city publicized and promoted public awareness of the hazards of illicit dumping to the storm sewer system, through newspaper articles, pamphlets and bill inserts. - established and publicized a dedicated phone number to inform the public of the nearest locations for dumping used oil and hazardous household waste, and to report illegal dumping to the storm sewer system. - initiated sewer stenciling program - provide used oil collection sites and post these locations at the local oil retailers.

Baltimore County, MS MS4 Storm Water Permit - 3/30/95
Implementing a pilot educational program for the control of storm water pollutants. Components of the educational program included the development of informational materials and brochures; presentation packets for distribution to schools, businesses, and homeowners; and surveys for gauging program effectiveness. Topics covered included the identification and reporting of illicit connections, proper disposal of household toxic waste and volunteer opportunities for conducting stream surveys and cleanups. In year 2 the city performed an assessment of its educational programs and propose a schedule for expanding successful components to the entire county.
Portland, OR MS4 Storm Water Phase I Case Study
Portland has developed a program that regularly monitors storm water outfalls for pollution discharges, which has effectively halted illicit pollutant discharges, and is helping to prevent new pollutant discharges. In addition, with a 60 percent voter approval, Portland has established a $135.6 million bond measure to acquire up to 6,000 acres of land area to better manage sensitive watersheds and secure better protection of urban waterways. Portland’s industrial permit inspection program has seen storm water violations decrease from 30 to 23 percent since their permit was issued in 1995, and compliance with storm water pollution control plans has more than doubled from 41 percent to 87 percent.

Minneapolis, MN MS4 Storm Water Phase I Case Study
Minneapolis has demonstrated that outreach efforts can be correlated to reductions in pollutants; pesticide concentrations in storm water can be reduced through public outreach efforts. Pollutant concentrations of pesticides monitored in a Minneapolis lake dropped between 59 and 86 percent depending on the pesticide evaluated due to the outreach effort. Minneapolis’s outreach effort is similar to that of many Phase I cities (e.g., San Francisco) that recognize the benefit of education and reeducation of the public about their role in protecting storm water quality. Frequently, the effectiveness of public outreach is measured in terms of changes in public awareness and behavior, but the Minneapolis case study demonstrates water quality improvement does occur as a result of public outreach efforts, a common feature in the storm water programs operated by Phase I permittees.

Sacramento, CA MS4 Phase I Storm Water Case Study
Outreach/education efforts of Phase I jurisdictions also focus on businesses that produce high volumes of liquid wastes with the potential to pollute storm water (e.g., automotive cleaning operations/car lots, carpet cleaners). In Sacramento, CA, a Phase I MS4 permittee, an innovative program has been introduced to reduce wash water discharges from carpet cleaning businesses. Through a Clean Business certification program, businesses get credit for correct disposal of wash water, home-owners have a chance of winning prizes through a lottery, and wash water is treated fully at the wastewater treatment plant. While thousands of gallons of wash water are now successfully treated, monitoring to measure the change in local water quality resulting from the business outreach effort have not been funded.

Public Participation/Involvement
Examples of what others have done
(from EPA’s Model MS4 General Permit)

Public presentations
Conducting public presentations with city councils and municipal staff is a valuable way to approach the development of storm water management programs. To accomplish this aim, it is useful to have a ‘stock presentation,’ which describes current problems, including drainage deficiencies and water quality contaminants of concern. In addition, potential funding issues, possible solutions, and the NPDES regulatory background should be addressed in the presentation. In short, the objective of the presentation is to inform the community of the need for a storm water management program. This presentation can then use for neighborhood groups, businesses, commercial property owners and local service clubs. For a sample municipal Storm Water Management Program Presentation Outline, see Model Urban Runoff Program, Appendix 3A.
Community Clean Up
The City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, created a floatables-reduction program that utilized education and community participation. ‘Operation Cleansweep’ brought citizens together to clean up designated basins, pick up roadside trash, and remove obstructions from channels. For further information contact Scott Van Loo, Environmental Compliance Specialist, Public Works Department, Tulsa, OK, (918) 591-4379. Source: NRDC, Storm Water Strategies Community Responses to Runoff Pollution, May 1999.

County of Riverside, Santa Ana CA MS4 Storm Water Permit -3/8/96
The regional board recognizes the significance of Riverside County's Storm Water/Cleanwater Protection program and will conduct, participate, and/or assist with at least one workshop every year during the term of this permit to promote and discuss the progress of the storm water management program. The details of the annual workshop will be published in local newspapers and mailed to interested parties.

City of Milwaukee, WI Storm Water Permit -10/21/94
A program to promote the management of stream banks and shorelines by riparian landowners to minimize erosion and restore or enhance the ecological values of the waterway.

City of Monterey, CA MS4 Storm Water Phase II Community Case Study
In the city of Monterey, CA, a Phase II community, grass-roots efforts have assisted in identifying and implementing the necessary storm water management controls to protect the Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary in California, one of the most diverse marine environments in the United States. In particular, volunteers contribute, on average, an estimated 1,500 annual hours to monitor for unacceptable dry weather discharges for MS4s. The efforts of the volunteers have significantly reduced the amount of pollutants entering the estuary.

Sacramento, CA MS4 Storm Water Case Study
In Sacramento, CA an innovative program has been introduced to reduce wash water discharges from carpet cleaning businesses. Through a Clean Business certification program, businesses get credit for correct disposal of wash water, home-owners have a chance of winning prizes through a lottery, and wash water is treated fully at the wastewater treatment plant. While thousands of gallons of wash water are now successfully treated, monitoring to measure the change in local water quality resulting from the business outreach effort have not been funded.

Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination
Examples of what others have done
(from EPA’s Model MS4 General Permit)

Identifying and Detecting Illicit Discharges with Volunteers
In 1998, the Alabama Water Watch Association and the Birmingham Storm Water Management Authority forged a partnership to train volunteers to help identify and detect illicit discharges by monitoring the city’s 158 critical screening sites and outfalls. For further information contact Allison Newell, Alabama Water Watch Association, (888) 844-4785. Source: NRDC, Storm Water Strategies Community Responses to Runoff Pollution, May 1999.

Illicit Connections and Fluorescent Dye
Washtenaw County, MI, initiated a program whose focus was eliminating illicit connections and discharges to the storm drain system. Crews visited industrial, commercial, and residential properties
and asked permission to flush fluorescent dye through toilets or drains, then monitored nearby sanitary drain lines and storm drain lines to see where the dye had gone. Over 95 percent of the facilities contacted for dye testing cooperated. If inspectors found an illicit connection to the storm drains, the owner of the manager of the building was notified and informed of potential remedies. Recommended remedies were often very simple, such as sealing an unused floor drain. If after three letters the problem was not fixed, the program refers the site to the relevant municipality for possible enforcement action under the municipality’s building code. For further information contact Janice Bobrin, Drain Commissioner, Washtenaw County, MI, (734) 994-2525. Source: NRDC, Storm Water Strategies Community Responses to Runoff Pollution, May 1999.

Reporting Illicit Discharges
The Alameda Countywide Clean Water Program developed forms for use by inspectors during inspections of dry-weather flows. This information could then be incorporated into an Illicit Discharge Inspection Quarterly Summary Report. The number of cases of illicit discharges detected, eliminated, or status taken towards elimination are documented on the form. For further information contact Robert Hale, Alameda County Countywide Clean Water Program, Alameda County Public Works, (510) 670-5543. Source: Model Urban Runoff Program, Appendix 3I.

Collection/Recycling
The City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, organized free dump days at the landfill and the collection/recycling of used motor vehicle fluids and household hazardous wastes. The efforts were coordinated with the Metropolitan Environmental Trust, an organization that operates recycling depots around the city. To increase participation, the city sponsored two collection days each year. Participants also received education material on the importance of recycling and using environmentally friendly alternatives to hazardous household chemicals. At the same time, other community programs focused on this issue included an environmental summit for middle and high school students and a program that involves area business through clean ups, recycling, and donations. For further information contact Scott Van Loo, Environmental Compliance Specialist, Public Works Department, Tulsa, OK, (918) 591-4379. Source: NRDC, Storm Water Strategies Community Responses to Runoff Pollution, May 1999.

Baltimore County, MD MS4 Storm Water Permit -3/30/95
Within 6 months, the permittee shall begin implementing its illicit detection program as a pilot study and screen a minimum of 50 outfalls within the year. Within the next year, the permittee shall complete its Manual of Practice for Detection and Removal of Illicit Connections that shall include a detailed description of procedures for the investigation of illicit connections and enforcement. Additionally, the illicit detection program shall be expanded to screen at least 200 outfalls per year.

Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control
Examples of what others have done
(from EPA’s Model MS4 General Permit)

Guidance Brochures
The cities of Fairfield and Suisun City (CA) developed a guidance brochure, which was targeted to the development/construction community. It details storm water controls for small construction sites (less than 5 acres). The material also provides information about why storm water controls are needed and how construction activities affect storm water quality. Furthermore, the brochure includes information about plan requirements: general site information; site topography with map; sediment control practices; housekeeping practices; and materials management practices. For further information
Contact Fairfield-Suisun Urban Runoff Management Program (707) 429-8930. Source: Model Urban Runoff Program, Appendix 3O.

Educating Contractors
The city of Chattanooga, Tennessee developed an erosion control education program. Although on-site training sessions were initially conducted for contractors, the city found the most success with the development of the Erosion Control School. Both private sector and city government personnel involved in land development may sign up for the Erosion Control School, which is co-sponsored by the city and the Chattanooga Home Builders Association. In a free four-hour session, the attendees learn the city’s erosion control requirements, as well as cost-effective ways to meet those requirements. Tests before and after the course measure learning and those who pass the second test receive a certification card. For further information contact Douglas Fritz, Water Quality Supervisor, Tennessee Department of Public Works (423) 757-0013. Source: NRDC, Storm Water Strategies Community Responses to Runoff Pollution, May 1999.

Enforcement
Active enforcement of local requirements is a cornerstone of the construction runoff program for the Garland, Texas. Inspectors visit each construction site at least monthly, with some higher-priority sites receiving more frequent visits. The program uses stop-work orders (rather than citations) to get developers to correct violations such as faulty, or nonexistent, structural or source controls. Site operators were found to make corrections within 24 hours. In addition, EPA Region 6 in Dallas has assisted Garland and other cities in the region with enforcement activities of more severe violations. For further information contact Philip Welsch, Storm Water Coordinator, City of Garland, TX, (972) 205-2189. Source: NRDC, Storm Water Strategies Community Responses to Runoff Pollution, May 1999.

Bal Harbour Village, FL MS4 Storm Water Permit - 9/30/93
The permittee will amend its land development regulations to require that applicants submit specific plans for local erosion and sediment control for the development of the site. Such plans will be a combination of notes (statements) and specifically noted locations on the plan sheets. These plans will be reviewed and subject to approval simultaneously with other plan materials required by the permittee.

City of Miami Beach, FL MS4 Storm Water Permit - 9/30/93
A procedure for educating contractors and developers is being reviewed, where the contractor will go through a checklist and sign for the items that will be adopted to minimize site runoff. This list when approved at the processing stage shall become part of the issued building permit and thus be subject to regular building inspections. Building sites over 50,000 square feet shall be required to submit a site plan in addition to the above showing control measures during the various phases of construction. Some of the measures included in the checklist shall be:
- Availability of on site detention control for holding concrete truck and miscellaneous washing runoffs.
- Perimeter barrier fences with reverse slope access way to contain storm runoff
- Use of containers to confine solid waste and construction debris.

Nashville, TN MS4 Storm Water Permit - 4/3/96
The permittee shall improve its construction site inspection and enforcement procedures by carrying out the following:
  i) to hire and train three additional construction inspectors (12 months)
  ii) to update the inspector's checklist to meet the state's general permit (12 months).
iii) to establish an electronic database of construction sites to enable tracking of inspections, complaints, violations, and follow-up (12 months); iv) to purchase 4 vehicles and associated equipment for inspectors v) to conduct annual training workshop for construction inspectors. vi) to modify existing ordinances to set up greater penalties (12 months), vii) to gain greater priority in the environmental court for violations at construction sites (24 months).

Post-Construction Storm Water Management
Examples of what others have done (from EPA’s Model MS4 General Permit)

Soil Erosion and Storm Water Runoff Control Ordinance
In 1991, Grand Traverse County, Michigan, adopted an ordinance requiring on-site retention for all commercial developments and new subdivisions. The county developed the ordinance in cooperation with the community through open workshops, hearings, and a citizens’ advisory committee. The ordinance requires soil erosion and storm water runoff control permits at sites greater than 1 acre or within 500 feet of a lake or stream. For further information contact, Maureen Kennedy Templeton, Drain Commissioner, Grand Traverse County, MI (616) 922-4731. Source: NRDC, Storm Water Strategies Community Responses to Runoff Pollution, May 1999.

Development Planning
As part of the approval process for new development, the City of Pittsburg (CA) has standard conditions for all new businesses. In this manner, the city can direct development to protect water quality. Requirements for trash enclosures and drainage from paved surfaces are among the standard conditions listed. Standard conditions may not apply to each specific project; therefore, each project is reviewed individually with a Community Development staff person at the time of application. For further information contact Community Development Department, City of Pittsburgh, (510) 439-4920. Source: Model Urban Runoff Program, Appendix 3U.

Storm Infiltration Project
The City of Maplewood, Minnesota, initiated a storm water infiltration project in 1995. The project utilizes a swale system rather than a traditional curb and gutter system to manage runoff. Residents choose how they want to plant the swales with native, water-loving species. High assessments on homes for curb and gutter improvements were avoided with this approach. For further information contact Ken Haide, City Engineer, Maplewood, MN, (612) 770-4550; Cliff A Chenger, Ramsey Washington Watershed District, (617) 777-3665. Source: NRDC, Storm Water Strategies Community Responses to Runoff Pollution, May 1999.

Urban Watershed Retrofit Program
In Austin, Texas, private developers can choose to make a payment to the city based on the amount of new impervious cover instead of installing on-site water-quality controls. The ordinance fee, along with monthly drainage utility fees, generates funds for retrofitting performed by the city. The city has used this process to produce a series of interconnected wet ponds for pollutant education from storm water. For further information contact Leila Gosselink, Project Administrator, City of Austin Watershed Protection Department, TX, 512-499-1863. Source: NRDC, Storm Water Strategies Community Responses to Runoff Pollution, May 1999.
Nashville, TN MS4 Storm Water Permit -4/3/96
The permittee shall require, in areas of new development and significant redevelopment, installation of urban storm water BMP's. In particular the permittee shall: i) establish design criteria for wet and extended dry detention ponds and define the conditions when such ponds shall be installed; Due 1 year from permit date ii) collect influent and effluent data on at least three of the newly installed ponds (24-48 months from permit date); iii) report yearly on the performance of these ponds (3rd, 4th, and 5th annual reports) iv) define "significant redevelopment" and establish criteria for installing water quality control systems in redevelopment.

Nashville, TN MS4 Storm Water Permit -4/3/96
The permittee shall define its master planning effort (within 12 months) by investigating the following matters and setting forth a strategy to address each matter: i) changes to laws, ordinances, rules, etc. ii) educating and involving the city council and planning and zoning boards iii) design criteria for new development, including restrictions on impervious area; use of pervious paving material; source treatment, flow attenuation and infiltration devices; locating local and regional detention basins; provisions for recharge of groundwater; and restrictions for development in steeply sloped areas. iv) changes to administrative procedures; and v) education of land developers.

Prince George’s County, MD Phase I MS4 Community (Permit and Case Study)
All new developments [in the County] are required to treat the first 1/2-inch of runoff from their site as well as the 2, 10, and 100-year storm events. Although the Storm water Ordinance allows for waivers of on-site controls, rarely, if ever, are water quality-related (first 1/2-inch) controls waived. Quantity controls are only waived when there is no possible threat of structural flooding. The typical structural water quality control devices used for all types of development include: infiltration trenches, retention and detention basins, oil/grit separators, vegetative filters, and buffers. (Prince George's County, MD, Storm Water Management Program requirements under their Phase I MS4 permit) Prince George’s County, MD, has evolved into a leader of information management/analysis as away to provide better storm water management. The county conducts ongoing, multi-year assessments of storm water runoff, which has lead to improved land development techniques, creating a new site design process to control storm water runoff, referred to as low impact development (LID). The principle goal of LID is to provide the maximum protection to the existing stream ecology by maintaining the watershed’s pre-developed hydrologic regime (a decrease in runoff generation between 75- and 95-percent from current land development designs). LID allows the site planner/developer to use a wide array of simple, cost-effective techniques that focus on site-level hydrologic control. Several other Phase I municipalities are actively following the development of LID techniques (e.g., Portland, OR), to help shape their future storm water management efforts. Decreased pollutant concentrations in a water body are not the only measurable benefit that the LID approach addresses. Additional benefit to the environment ensues because of problems avoided. Changes in development techniques and patterns that decrease percent imperviousness and combined with BMPs that infiltrate storm water runoff from new developments mean local streams will retain their current natural condition. Where implemented appropriately, LID designs should be able to yield a pollutant load reduction simply because less runoff occurs. (from Case Study)
Austin, TX MS4 Storm Water Case Study (Assessment of Controls)
In Austin, TX, a Phase I MS4, a joint public/private enterprise between the state of Texas and a private developer is installing storm water detention ponds to minimize the impacts of a mixed-use development while providing aesthetic and economic benefits. The resulting pollutant load reduction for the detention ponds has been estimated based on local rainfall patterns, design parameters used in the pond, and removal efficiencies typical of detention ponds. Compared to an unmanaged condition, the ponds will reduce the sediment discharged annually from the site by several tons and reduce nutrients discharged between 44 and 65 percent.

Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations
Examples of what others have done
(from EPA’s Model MS4 General Permit)

Floatable Removal
The City of Cocoa Beach, Florida, developed an insert for catch basins that makes floatable removal more effective and easy. Twice per month, storm water crews inspect and clean as necessary all 760 storm water drains in Cocoa Beach. Sediment-clogged storm lines are cleaned on a schedule using a truck with a jet hose and vacuum. For further information contact City of Cocoa Beach, Florida, Storm water Department, (407) 868-3292. Source: NRDC, Storm Water Strategies Community Responses to Runoff Pollution, May 1999.

Smart Salting Program
The Vermont Agency of Transportation developed a Smart Salting Program, based on the following principle—“the warmer the roadbed, the less salt is needed to clear snow and ice.” Normally, those applying salt to roads measure temperature using a standard outdoor thermometer held or suspended at chest or eye level. However, the temperature of the roadbed is often several degrees warmer than the air temperature, especially if the sun is shining. Application rates calculated from temperatures measured by wall-mounted thermometers can therefore exceed the amount actually necessary. The Vermont Agency of Transportation installed infrared sensors on the bottoms of snowplows, which measure the temperature of the roadway as trucks pass over, allowing more accurate calculations of the required salt needed. The program has been expanded statewide, where the average education in salt usage is 28%. For further information contact Milan Lawson, Special Assistant to the Secretary, Vermont Agency of Transportation, (802) 828-5696. Source: NRDC, Storm Water Strategies Community Responses to Runoff Pollution, May 1999.

Park Design to Reduce Pesticide and Fertilizer Use
The Howard County (MD) Parks and Recreation Department found that wildflower meadows were twenty times less expensive to maintain than conventional turf grass. This strategy also reduces the amount of pesticides and fertilizers applied to county grounds. They are currently incorporating the strategy into new parks as they are being developed. For further information contact Mark Rabb, Howard County Parks and Recreation Department, MD, (410) 313-4730.

Municipal Maintenance
The Alameda Clean Water Program provides an example of a pollution prevention plan for a fleet maintenance facility. The plan requires the following: a pollution prevention team, site map, list of significant materials, description of potential pollutants, and assessment of potential pollutant sources, and storm water BMPs. For further information contact Robert Hale, Alameda County Countywide Clean Water Program, Alameda County Public Works, (510) 670-5543. Source: Model Urban Runoff Program, Appendix 3L.
City of Philadelphia, PA MS4 Storm Water Permit -9/29/95
The city will work to reduce the amount of salt used for deicing practices, consistent with its comprehensive snow emergency management procedures. The city will provide temporary cover and/or berms at the three uncovered storage piles during the first year of permit issuance. Permanent structures will be constructed within three years of permit issuance.

Denver, CO MS4 Storm Water Permit -5/10/96
Denver will assess and minimize the impacts on water quality of receiving waters from any flood management projects that it undertakes. At the time when substantial maintenance or rehabilitation work is planned, Denver will also evaluate the feasibility of retro-fitting existing structural flood control devices to provide additional pollutant removal from storm water.

Baltimore County, MD MS4 Storm Water Permit -3/30/95
Baltimore County shall conduct maintenance inspections of all storm water management facilities at least once every three years.

Prince Georges County, MD Storm Water Permit -11/17/93
Within 3 years, the permittee shall perform an assessment regarding the effects of road maintenance activities including street sweeping, litter control, deicing procedures, and the application of herbicides for vegetation control on storm water discharges. This assessment shall include an analysis alternative practices for reducing pollutants associated with road maintenance activities. Within those three years, the permittee shall incorporate effective alternative practices in its road maintenance procedures for reducing pollutants.

Palo Alto, CA MS4 Phase I Storm Water Permit
In Palo Alto, CA, a Phase I MS4 permittee, pollution prevention planning and engineering resulted in a decrease in pollutant concentrations originating from public utility yards. Concentrations of metals in storm water runoff decrease significantly with BMP employment and regular monitoring has demonstrated that improvements in storm water quality have been sustained over several years.
Appendix F

MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEMS
NOTICE OF INTENT (MS4 NOI)

FOR COVERAGE UNDER THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI'S GENERAL STORM WATER PERMIT FOR DISCHARGES ASSOCIATED WITH SMALL MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEMS (MS4s)

This Notice of Intent (NOI) covers regulated Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4s). The Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) in accordance with federal regulations has identified the regulated MS4s. **Do not apply for coverage under the Phase II Municipal Permit unless you have been notified by MDEQ.**

Submission of this application constitutes notice that the entity identified in Item 1 of this form agrees to comply with all applicable terms and conditions of the Small MS4 General Permit (MSRMS4). Furthermore, the applicant understands that implementation of the storm water management program areas as described in the application is required to begin as soon as permit coverage is issued by MDEQ.

**NOI Due Dates:** For those MS4s automatically designated pursuant to 40 CFR 122.32(a)(1), the owner or operator of the MS4 shall submit a NOI by March 10, 2003. For those MS4s designated by MDEQ pursuant to 40 CFR 122.32(a)(2) the owner or operator of the MS4 shall submit a NOI within 180 days of MDEQ notification, or March 10, 2003, whichever is later.

**NOI Completeness:** All items of the NOI, including attachments, must be completed accurately and in their entirety or the NOI will be deemed incomplete, and processing of the NOI will not begin until all information is received. One original copy of the completed NOI (no faxes) shall be submitted to:

Chief, Environmental Permits Division
Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Pollution Control
P.O. Box 2261
Jackson, Mississippi 39225-2261

**Joint NOIs:** Submit one copy. The final submittal package should also include one copy of the joint attachments per applicant.

If you have questions about this NOI, please contact the MDEQ at (601) 961-5171.
INSTRUCTIONS

Item 1. **MS4 Identification:** Provide the name and address of the MS4 (the city, town, county or district), local contact, and mailing address. Indicate the status as a city, county or other public entity. If available, include the email addresses of the local contact, and the legally responsible person (the person who signs the application – see Item 8).

Item 2. **Location Description:** Provide a narrative description of the geographical location of the MS4, including city or town (this is especially important for systems at military bases and large education, hospital or prison complexes, and special districts and associations).

Item 3. **Location Map:** A location map for the MS4 (hard copy only) must be submitted. The boundaries of permit coverage must be indicated. (This information is also necessary in order to complete Items 4 and 6.) For enforcement purposes, the map must be of sufficient detail so that the exact boundaries, by street or other demarcation, can be determined. This information is available from the US Census Bureau.

The map must show the city, town, county, district boundaries or service area, as applicable. Counties must also indicate the unincorporated area boundaries. For any MS4s that are partially within an urbanized area, the location map must show the urbanized area boundaries.

It is recommended to start with the portion of the USGS (U.S. Geological Survey) quadrangle map showing the MS4 area. These are available and easily obtainable for the entire state (call the Office of Geology 601-961-5523). Although not particularly current, they do provide a large amount of information for very little effort. You can then use the USGS map as a guide for preparing your location map, which will probably be more detailed. If alternative maps are used, they must be of sufficient scale so that individual property locations can be determined in relation to the urbanized area and the municipal boundaries. For MS4s such as universities and military bases the map should be of an appropriate scale to clearly indicate the property boundaries. Maps should be folded to 8½ x 11 inches.

Item 4. **Receiving Waters:** Identify the named receiving waters within the MS4 permitted boundaries. Receiving waters are any waters of the State of Mississippi. These can also be obtained from USGS quad maps. In addition, list all 303(d) listed impaired waterbodies.

Item 5. **Joint NOIs:** Two or more applicants may submit a joint application, i.e., a single submittal that addresses all program areas for each applicant. Each joint applicant must complete and submit their own MS4NOI form. Each joint applicant will receive permit coverage and will be responsible and liable for program implementation in their area.

Item 6. **Population:** Indicate the resident number of people living within the permitted area. For special districts such as hospitals, universities, military bases etc., indicate the average overnight population (i.e., how many people is the facility/entity designed for overnight accommodations?) If your permitted area is less than the total area of your MS4 you may estimate the population.
Item 7. **Attachments:** A Storm Water Management Plan as required by Part IV of the General Permit for Small MS4s must be submitted. (If you are a submitting a joint application, refer to Appendix A for additional requirements.) You should use the document entitled “Mississippi's Phase II Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Guidance Manual” as a basis for program development, although using additional sources is strongly encouraged. The Guide is available at http://www.deq.state.ms.us or by calling 601-961-5171. MS4s for the three coastal counties should use the “Mississippi Gulf Coast Storm Water Management Toolbox” as well.

Item 8. **NOI Certification:** The application must be signed to be considered complete. In all cases, it shall be signed as follows: in the case of a municipal, state, or other public agency, by either a principal executive officer, or ranking elected official.

**APPENDIX A - JOINT MS4 NOI INSTRUCTIONS**

Two or more MS4s may submit a joint NOI, i.e., a single submittal that addresses all program areas for each applicant. Program areas or sections of program areas may be performed jointly with another entity, or solely by another entity. Each applicant must fill out a MS4 NOI. The attachments may be jointly prepared, and the entire package jointly submitted. For filing purposes, one copy of the attachments is required per applicant.

Item A. Indicate all of the entities that are applying jointly. Include your own agency on the first line.

Item B. Indicate, for your MS4 only, which entities are responsible for carrying out which storm water program areas. If more than one entity is responsible (for either part of or the entire program area listed), check all boxes that are applicable. The numbers assigned to the entities in Item A correspond to the numbers along the top of the table.

Item C. An Interlocal Agreement or the equivalent must be submitted, covering all program areas that will be implemented partly or entirely by another entity.

Program areas or sections of program areas that will be performed jointly with another entity, or solely by another entity, must be clearly indicated. For example, under the Public Education measure, it may state that “City A and City B will perform this program area jointly.” Or, “City A will perform this program area on behalf of Special District B and as per the attached Interlocal Agreement.”
Please print or type. All items must be completed accurately and in their entirety or the application will be deemed incomplete and processing of the permit will not begin until all information is received. Please refer to the instructions for information about the required items. An original signature of the applicant is required.

1. Name and address of the MS4:
   Name ____________________________________________________________________________________
   Mailing Address ___________________________________________________________________________
   City, State and Zip Code _____________________________________________________________________
   County ____________________
   Phone Number (____)__________
   Entity Type: County □ City/Town □ Other: ___________________________________________________
   Local Contact (responsible for program implementation) __________________________________________
   Title ______________________ Phone Number (____)____________________
   Email address (local contact) _________________________________________________________________
   Email address (legally responsible person) _____________________________________________________

2. Location of the MS4:
   Location Description (narrative) ________________________________________________________________

3. Location Map/Boundaries:
   a. Where will the SWMP will be implemented?:
      □ Urbanized Area Only, or □ Entire Jurisdiction.
   b. All entities except counties
      Location map must be attached showing city, town, or district boundaries, and urbanized area (UA) boundaries, if part(s) of the MS4 is within a UA.
   c. Counties only
      Location map must be attached showing county boundaries, unincorporated area boundaries within the county, and urbanized area (UA) boundaries.
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4. **Major receiving waters (state waters) within the permitted area:**

___________________________________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________________________________

All 303(d) listed impaired waterbodies within the permitted area (cities and counties):
___________________________________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________________________________

5. **Part of a Joint NOI?**  
   No [ ]  Yes [ ]  
   If Yes, complete and attach Appendix A.

6. **Population:** ____________________

7. **Attachments.** Storm Water Management Plan as required by Part IV of the General Permit for Small MS4s. 
   (See Appendix A for additional requirements for Joint Applicants.)

8. **Signature of Legally Responsible Person**

   "I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this application and all attachments and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine or imprisonment."

   Signature of Applicant ___________________________  Date Signed ___________________________

   Name (printed)  

   [ ]  This NOI shall be signed according to the General Permit Part VI.E.

---
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APPENDIX A - JOINT NOTICE OF INTENTS

A. Joint NOI – MS4s
   List all MS4s (use additional pages as needed):
   1. (MS4 in Item 1, page ii) __________________________________________________________
   2. _______________________________________________________________________________
   3. _______________________________________________________________________________
   4. _______________________________________________________________________________
   5. _______________________________________________________________________________
   6. _______________________________________________________________________________
   7. _______________________________________________________________________________
   8. _______________________________________________________________________________

B. Responsible Entity – Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storm Water Program Areas for MS4</th>
<th>Responsible Entity (use numbers from Item A, above)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Public Education and Outreach</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Public Participation and Involvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Post-Construction Storm Water Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Pollution Prevention and Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Other _________________________</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Legal Agreement. Attach a copy of the interlocal agreement or the equivalent used between the MS4s.
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ORDER TODAY!
Mississippi’s New
Planning and Design Manual for the Control of Erosion, Sediment and Stormwater

A technical guidance manual for the control of erosion, sediment, and stormwater from nonpoint sources (NPS) and for the preparation of erosion, sediment, and stormwater control plans.

- Ch. 1. Planning and Design Manual Introduction
  WHAT IS A BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICE?
- Ch. 2. Erosion, Sediment and Stormwater Control Plan Guidance
- Ch. 3. Overview of Erosion, Sediment, and Stormwater Control Practices (BMPs)
  COLOR PHOTOGRAPHS OF 42 PRACTICES
- Ch. 4. Best Management Practice Standards
  TEMPORARY PRACTICES
  PERMANENT PRACTICES
- Ch. 5. Vegetative Practice Standards
- Ch. 6. Hydrology
  PROCEDURES FOR DETERMINING PEAK WATERSHED DISCHARGE
- Ch. 7. Sample Erosion, Sediment and Stormwater Control Plan
- Ch. 8. References

The Manual is a Cooperative Effort by:
  Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality
  Mississippi Soil and Water Conservation Commission
  USDA Soil Conservation Service

COST PER COPY:
$ 20.00
(Includes Shipping & Handling)

TO ORDER PLANNING AND DESIGN MANUAL FOR THE CONTROL OF EROSION, SEDIMENT, AND STORMWATER:
Enclose a check or money order for $20.00 per manual payable to:
Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality, R.O. Box 20305, Jackson, Mississippi 39289-1305.

NAME ________________________________________
ADDRESS ______________________________________
CITY _______ STATE _______ ZIP CODE _______
PHONE #______ | ________

Quantity Manuals Ordered ___ Total Amount Enclosed $ ______

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:
Date Payment Received
Date Manual Shipped